

THIS ISSUE: TABLE OF CONTENTS

VOL. I, NO. 1

INTERNATIONAL

Great Britain/Northern Ireland:

- General Urges Army to Take Control: "This is War"
- Military Expands Presence in Response to Increased Terrorist Activities
- Background on Terrorist Buildup in Northern Ireland

France:

The French Presidential Election: What is Francois Mitterrand?

Germany:

Brandt's Right Turn Leaves Working Class Prey to Rockefeller

Middle East:

- Israeli Government Gasping Its Last; Sinister Generals' Group Confers
- Sadat/Rockefeller "Arab Unity" Grows Shaky

India:

Rockefeller/McNamara Attempt to Sabotage Indian Government; CPI Reacts to Support Gandhi

Latin America:

Factional Situation Brewing in Cuba

United Nations Raw Materials Conference:

Rockefeller's Banking Interests Adopt Anti-Imperialism To Ensure Third World Loan Repayments

China:

China Joins Rockefeller Forces To Push For Raw Materials Hoax

CONTINENTAL

Special Research Report: Canada: Imminent Insurrection Attempt By CIA/Rockefeller Forces

Draft Resolution to the United Nations Raw Material Conference: Preamble — Circulated by the National Caucus of Labor Committees

Next Week:

The Economy Is About to Collapse! Analysis of Coming Liquidity Crunch; Includes Exclusive IPS Interview with Robert Triffin.

North American Unemployed and Welfare Rights Organization Report: Continental Mass Strike Process from the Front Lines.

Boston City Council Hearings Into CIA Takeover of the Police; Includes Research Report on the Law Enforcement Assistance Administration.

Research Report on Terrorism: How the CIA Created It and Why.

Full Text of NCLC Draft Resolution Circulated to United Nations; Includes Special Research Report on Nuclear Fusion Power Development and Energy Sources.

And Much More!

GENERAL URGES ARMY TO TAKE CONTROL IN BRITAIN: "THIS IS WAR"

April 16— Plans to turn Great Britain into an armed camp were publicized by a retired British general, former Allied Commander-in-Chief in Northern Europe and veteran of counterinsurgency campaigns in Malaya and Borneo.

In an April 15 interview with the **Daily Express** on the IRA terrorist situation, Sir Walter Walker stated bluntly: "This is war. We are on active service the same way we were in Cyprus, Malaya, Borneo, and against the Mau Mau. It makes me so angry that we've learned to do this and because it's across the water we refuse to apply the principle we know should be applied."

Walker was trained by Brigadier General Frank Kitson, whose low-intensity counterinsurgency plans are nearing the final stages prior to full military rule in Northern Ireland. Calling for outright military government and totally discrediting the Labour government, Walker asserted: "The preliminary disaster took place when Whitehall took charge of Ireland. What we should have done is acted in the same way we did in the Malayan emergency...give the army over-all power.... If Ulster has become another Aden, Malaya or Borneo, as some people sincerely believe, should not the Army be given the freedom it had, with such success, in those areas?"

As to whether British society would accept such colonial tactics, Walker continued: "Why should that make any difference?... We've tried everything and the politicians have made a mess of the whole thing.... We must put in a Director of Operations with over-all power...introduce curfews...identity cards...capital punishment."

The **Express** comments on all this: "The important aspect of General Walker's plan is that the director of operations should be able to manoeuvre his forces as he sees fit and not have to make constant reference to political masters."

Military Expands Presence in Response to Increased Terrorist Activities

April 17—The past few weeks have seen a steady build-up of military presence in Britain:

•Headlines in the British press April 17 centered on the murder of Kenneth Lennon, who was on the verge of exposing the role of British Intelligence in the IRA. Police claimed that a "Mafia-style execution squad" assassinated him. Lennon, however, told friends and a lawyer that he was afraid for his life because the Special Branch had pressured him into becoming an informer. According to Lennon, police instructions for his assignment to illegal IRA activities in Luton were that: "I should egg them on."

Police moved the search for the execution squad to London and its suburb Surrey. The Special Branch and the aptly named Murder Squad searched pubs in Luton and raided the homes of IRA sympathizers.

•In a curious escape incident at Long Kesh, the maximum-security prison for IRA detainees, April 14 a top IRA leader switched places with a paroled prisoner released to get married and walked out with no problems. Ivor Bell, called "faceless" because he disguised himself from his own men, escaped despite

sophisticated surveillance and the prison's electrical warning system, which sets off rockets.

•Firebombs exploded April 6 in London, Manchester and Birmingham, according to a Reuters report out on the wires at 3 p.m. that day (London time). In what appears to be coordinated management of the news, no word of the Saturday bombings—except for a two-sentence note in the **Sunday Express**—appeared in the British papers. The Irish and American press carried the story on Sunday. By Monday, when the British papers broke the story, the IRA was named as the probable source of the incidents.

•Attempting to generate in Britain the same kind of hysteria that permits total militarization, and playing on British xenophobia, General Walker wrote a letter to the editor of London's reactionary **London Telegraph** April 15: "The IRA is heavily infiltrated by Marxists, whose self-declared aim is to subvert Ireland and convulse Britain. Indeed, Ireland is but a pawn in the Soviet power struggle... Russia's aim is to get the British Army out, then to establish a firm revolutionary base from which to conduct operations in England itself."

•On March 16 the army announced a new "shoot to kill" course for all personnel to be based on techniques of urban fighting practices in Northern Ireland.

•In early March a busload of soldiers returning to base was blown up, precipitating another round of massive searches in the Manchester area. The search for the alleged bombers was rekindled with a warning last week for citizens to watch their neighbors for any suspicious activities.

•In early and middle February, house-to-house searches took place in the Manchester and London metropolitan areas, the two most industrialized sections of Britain. This activity came after the **London Times** had warned its readers that British subjects would have to get used to the same kind of security measures used in Belfast and Dublin.

•Also in early February, Prestwick, Glasgow and Edinburgh airports, all located in Scotland's industrial belt, were surrounded following rumors of imminent "terrorist" attacks.

Intercontinental Militarization

There is no doubt that the escalation of militarization in Britain is being monitored on an international level. Under the pretence of cracking down on drug rings, leading detectives from Interpol countries met in Paris last month.

This month, Scotland Yard is organizing a conference on kidnapping. Among the participants will be FBI agents who are "familiar" with the Patricia Hearst case, and Italian detectives who worked on the John Paul Getty kidnapping. Scotland Yard revealed the purpose of this meeting: "Its main function will be to pool ideas and perfect a universally recognized drill to combat the crime."

The reported discovery in Southampton April 5 of a shipment of arms allegedly for the IRA provoked another international police meeting on smuggling this month. The Dartline's America, which supposedly conveyed the arms shipment from Canada and the U.S.,

arrived at Southampton March 14, according to a Reuters report (and confirmed by Dart Containerline).

The arms were found three weeks later when troops searched the dock area to check on reported Arab terrorist activity. The America's containerized cargo had to pass through both Antwerp and U.S. Customs inspections before arrival at Southampton. Two Canadian nationals are being investigated by the Royal Canadian Mounted Police in connection with the arms smuggling, although according to Dart Containerline, the America did not stop in Canada.

Background on Terrorist Build-Up In Northern Ireland

April 10 — The fact that the Belfast and British population — and the Labour government — accept without resistance the 12-foot "Warsaw Ghetto" wall that the army is constructing around central Belfast indicates the success of the three-and-one-half year counterinsurgency campaign in Northern Ireland. There is now no organized force in Ulster except the army, and the army is prepared to take over.

The first phase of the army's psychological warfare runs from before the introduction of British troops to the fall of 1970, which saw the opening of Long Kesh internment and brainwashing selection center. Long Kesh was established in the aftermath of "Operation Motorman"— the army move into Catholic neighborhoods which resulted in the internment of most of the IRA leaders.

That period was marked by one consistent pattern.

The army never had enough troops of the right quality to actually deal with mass situations! That was no accident. Catholic and Protestant populations, still riding at that point on the crest of mass strike ferment, were "funneled" by the army's tactics into the arms of the IRA and extremist Protestant groups, such as the Vanguard Movement and the Loyalists. Concomitantly, all other political formations, like the unionists, were discredited and fractured.

During the same period the British military, using its agents in the Republic, established the Provisional IRA. This was not only a handy vehicle for terrorist operations. It ensured that there would be no nominally pro-working-class all-Ireland movement to take leadership of the struggle.

Discrediting Politics

In the fall of 1970 the ferment was ebbing. Army strategists like Kitson, who was at that point in charge of operations in Belfast, picked off the by now visible and vulnerable political leaderships, and then proceeded to develop the full potential of the community self-policing which had been established in major urban areas.

This phase, which lasted until the Sunningdale talks of this year, effectively saw the province turned into an army training ground while the process of discrediting political institutions and politicians was allowed to continue. It was the same process that saw the development of the Provisional IRA, as the mass Vanguard movement was counter-organized into the Ulster Defense Association, which in turn became the Ulster Volunteer Force.

WHAT IS FRANCOIS MITTERRAND?

April 15 — While the Gaullists have shattered their facade of indestructible unity presented over the past 15 years, the Left gives the impression of unity behind a single candidate — pseudo-socialist Francois Mitterrand. Actually, Mitterrand's opportunist politics identify him as an Anglo-American agent running on a pro-Rockefeller platform.

As reported in the French press April 12, Mitterrand openly stated his support of NATO and of further collaboration between the French military and the Atlantic Alliance. His pro-NATO stance has won the acclaim of various pro-CIA U.S. journalists.

Joseph Kraft writing in the April 5 **Washington Post** lauds Mitterrand for stressing as a primary objective the return of France to NATO. Writing from Paris April 11, Kraft is even more explicit: "Mr. Mitterrand, though supported by the Communists, favors an integrated Western Europe. So strong is his support for the Atlantic alliance that he startled Senator George McGovern by the vehemence of his insistence that American troops remain in West Germany."

Similarly, Mitterrand is the favorite of **New York Times** columnist C.L. Sulzberger. As the French daily **Le Figaro** put it (April 13), "Washington is not afraid of the next French president." They go on to predict that "Mitterrand will become a pillar of Atlantism...just like the socialists Wilson and Brandt."

Socialists Plan for Nuclear Defense of Europe

The French press revealed April 10 that highly placed members of Mitterrand's Socialist Party had just constituted three socialist reserve officers' associations which will campaign for the further development of a nuclear strike force. The same day **Le Monde** announced that the person in charge of the reserve officers' study groups will be Georges Sauge, a well-known red-baiter once responsible for the organization of anti-communist, extreme rightwing propaganda squads.

Sauge is also known for founding the CIA-inspired Centers for Higher Studies in Social Psychology in 1958 and for lecturing NATO officers on psychological warfare in the fifties and sixties. Through his classes at the NATO war college, Sauge was indirectly responsible for the vicious psywar programs instituted in Algeria during the war of independence.

Common Program with Communists Buried

As for the Common program adopted with the Communist Party of France (PCF) and the basis for the PCF's support of Mitterrand's candidacy — Mitterrand's unprincipled politics have humiliated Georges Marchais, Secretary General of the PCF.

On April 6, Socialist Party leader Pierre Mauroy informed the Communists that "since the Common Program of the left coalition is a government program" and since "Mitterrand is not the government but only one presidential candidate," he is by no means required

to base his electoral platform on the Common Program! (April 7 Radio Interview, France Inter.)

In a political about-face, the Communist-led CGT union is now supporting Mitterrand and his policy of "autogestion" — the very same policy of local control of the workplace that the CGT damned in March. Just last month, the CGT had vehemently denounced the Socialist Party union CFDT for its adventurism and its propensity "to lead minority actions detrimental to the interests of the working class." (M. Berteloot, CGT National Official). Now the CGT has gone so far as to cancel the traditional May Day parade on account of the election period!

Mitterrand's History:

The Career of an Anglo-American Agent

- A man who in 1952 stated his intention "to lead the struggle against communism on all levels...to use against it all existing laws, to expand them if necessary and, in particular, to declare membership in the Communist Party incompatible with administrative functions in the police and the military."
 - A 1954 Minister of the Interior who claimed that "Algeria is France," and that "the only negotiation is war."
 - A Brinkmanship specialist who endorsed the 1956 bombing of the Suez canal.
 - A "socialist" leader who currently seeks support from such CIA-controlled figures as Servan-Schreiber. A "humanist" who ruthlessly stamps out anti-capitalist tendencies in his party, the sole principled allies of the PCF.
 - A "constitutionalist" who in 1968 was ready to take power under any terms to defuse the mass strike.
 - An "intellectual," a "Jacobin" who mourns with a handful of Breton "nationalists" the loss of their "cultural heritage" and then immediately turns around to celebrate the virtues of "centralization" and national unity!
 - A man whose brother runs the Rockefeller-controlled French branch of the Sperry Rand corporation, while another brother is No. 2 in the French air force.
 - A man of whom **Washington Post** Paris correspondent Jonathan C. Randal wrote: "A telling indication of Mitterrand's respectability was provided by U.S. ambassador John Irwin who invited him to lunch at the Paris embassy residence in early February."
- As Gaullist unity continues to disintegrate, Jacques Chaban-Delmas is trailing pro-Rockefeller Giscard d'Estaing by about 5%, a loss of 10% in just one week. Meanwhile, the PCF and left Socialist Party elements nervously expect French workers to stay home from the polls in droves on election day, rather than vote for Mitterrand's austerity platform. Rockefeller is running two candidates, hoping to outflank hardline Gaullism's anti-NATO drive.

BRANDT'S RIGHT TURN LEAVES WORKING CLASS PREY TO ROCKEFELLER

April 17 — Immediately after Henry Kissinger's late March visit to Moscow, Willy Brandt, West German Chancellor, initiated a marked pro-NATO, pro-USA shift in the name of "security." Moscow's indecisive posture toward Kissinger apparently convinced Brandt that a joint West German-Soviet policy of resistance to Rockefeller, supported by France, would not be feasible. The death of French President Pompidou and the subsequent uncertainty concerning French foreign policy reinforced Brandt's judgement.

The official statement of this right turn came in the ten point program issued by the SPD (Social Democratic Party of Germany) Praesidium April 2. A German Information Center release describing this program reported that Brandt said "it may be necessary for the party to disassociate itself from some of its members. . . ." and that the statement stresses no place in the SPD for those who have abandoned the "basic values of democratic socialism."

Right Turn Signposts

— The Swedish daily *Svenske Dagblatt* April 7 featured an interview with Brandt in Bonn tellingly headlined "For Security We Trust the USA." Brandt clearly lays out the terms for his present stance: "When it doesn't work otherwise, the Federal Republic in security and monetary questions will turn to Washington" (emphasis added — Ed.).

— Ann Marie Renger, leading SPD member, recently met with Secretary of Defense James Schlesinger while in the United States. She stressed the importance of the Atlantic Alliance in talking with reporters and of close cooperation between West Germany and the U.S. on security as well as economic matters: "We want no confrontation between Europe and America in any field. . . ."

— In a letter Brandt wrote to a pro-SPD group published in the April 8 *Frankfurter Rundschau*, he speaks of **Realpolitik**: that West Germany cannot safely overlook the "real givens" in the world — a world in which Germany is dependent on raw materials which are controlled by international cartels and multinational corporations.

Young Socialists Purged from SPD

Brandt set the tone for purges of disaffected JUSO (SPD youth group) members, who won't go along with this right turn — carried out by provincial SPD leaders — by remarking that the "SPD should be more of a party and less of a debating society." As one JUSO perceived the situation: "Everyone here is intimidated. Everyone expects the first ritual murders" (*Der Spiegel*,

April 8).

Significant pockets of resistance to Brandt's right turn have developed in the SPD in South Hessen and in the JUSO leadership and membership in North Rhine Westphalia and Bavaria. In a recent Cologne JUSO congress, JUSO leadership expressed its determination to agitate among workers using an "action program" which called for the nationalization of the multinational corporations despite official SPD disapproval. They declared: "The SPD must no longer understand itself as a mere 'electoral club' for the purpose of gaining parliamentary majorities, but must establish itself as the active arm of the workers and their trade unions in the class struggle."

Realpolitik Fails at the Polls

But Brandt's **Realpolitik**, designed to secure the SPD electoral success, will surely lose him those parliamentary majorities. Signs are that the SPD losses suffered recently in state elections in Hamburg and in communal elections in Schleswig-Holstein and Rhine Palatine will turn into a disaster in the coming June 9 elections in Lower Saxony. A recent *Der Spiegel* poll "into the causes of the recent electoral loss of the SPD" entitled "The Fears of the West Germans," indicates that only 12% of the West German electorate views the developments in Germany since the 1972 federal elections as "good."

What is most stunning, as reported in the April 15 *Der Spiegel*, is the loss of support of 20% of the electorate by the SPD — represented by the February 1973 percentage of approval of Brandt's government (53%) compared with the figure in April 1974 (33%). This is the most dramatic loss of confidence in a German government since that from the summer of 1928 to the spring of 1930, involving the Mueller regime in the latter days of the pre-Hitler Weimar Republic.

It is clear that Brandt's pro-Rockefeller, pro-NATO turn cannot possibly answer the fears of the German workers expressed in the *Spiegel* poll: According to this poll, 89% of the electorate is "very concerned" about "continuing inflation," 73% about inflation's effect on their savings, 64% about "too great a dependency upon countries which produce oil and raw materials," 57% about the "threat of unemployment," 47% about a "world economic crisis."

Meanwhile, there is significant growth in the rightwing fringe movement, especially around Franz Josef Strauss of the Christian Social Union in Bavaria. Thus the potential exists for the birth of a national fascist movement.

ISRAELI LABOR GOVERNMENT GASPING ITS LAST: SINISTER GENERALS' GROUP CONFERS

April 17 — The resignation of Golda Meir's patched-together coalition government signals the final collapse of the tottering Israeli parliamentary system. Unable to cope with a devastated economy, hounded by a dozen squabbling factions and parties, under tremendous pressure from a desperate and enraged working class, Meir could carry on no longer.

As in Northern Ireland, Canada, and the San Francisco/Bay Area of the United States, an escalating terror campaign waged by CIA-created brainwashed automatons is designed to provide the cover for the military "pacification" programs. Cries for "more police protection" touched off by the brutal raid by CIA-controlled Palestinian terrorist robots will speed the process by which Israel will become an openly fascist state.

Rockefeller Backs Sadat

That the United States has not intervened to alleviate the economic and political chaos in Israel is *prima facie* evidence that the Rockefellers have placed their bets on Egyptian President Sadat and his gaggle of oil-rich sheikhs. The disintegration of Israel is conscious sabotage by Rockefeller and his Central Intelligence Agency, aimed at preventing the emergence of stubborn Zionist resistance to Rockefeller's blueprint for reorganization of the Middle East. This plan seeks to couple the advanced technology and high agricultural yield of Israel with the cheap labor and oil of the Arab countries. As outlined by David Rockefeller during his January tour of the Mideast, the plan additionally involves vast slave-labor regional "development" projects centering around the Suez Canal and the Jordan River valley.

Militarily, Israel and the Middle East are increasingly becoming part of the Southern flank of NATO. However, Israeli intransigence has threatened to throw a monkey wrench into Kissinger's carefully orchestrated Arab-Israeli peace talks. Further, Israeli stubbornness could push Syria out of the Sadat orbit into the Iraqi camp backed by the Soviet Union. In his trip to the United States the week of April 1, Israeli Defense Minister Moshe Dayan made conciliatory statements on settling the Arab-Israeli conflict following Kissinger and Rockefeller's plans. Dayan said that when Egypt demonstrated its readiness for peace by developing the Suez Canal region (i.e., along the lines of David Rockefeller's scheme put forward in January), Israel would accept its good intentions.

The Agranat Report

The collapse of the Meir government was triggered by the well-timed release of the Agranat Commission report. (The Commission was set up to investigate Israel's failure to mobilize in time to meet the Arab attack last October.) In its final report, the week of April 1, the Commission provided effective cover for the role of the U.S. Central Intelligence Agency in funneling false information about Arab troops moves to the Israeli government. Instead, the report recommended the resignation of David Elazar, Israeli Army Chief of Staff, and the top brass of the Israeli Secret Service.

The furor over the report's obvious whitewash job led immediately to the fall of the government. The Agranat report — with at least two CIA/Shinn Beth (Israeli Intelligence) agents among its authors — served a second purpose: complete reorganization of the Military Intelligence hierarchy. Following Elazar's resignation,

Dayan accepted the resignation of Intelligence Chief Eliahu Zeira, and instituted a major reorganization of the entire military and intelligence apparatus.

Generally, the Israeli military has been acting increasingly autonomously. In the face of absolute government paralysis, who has been conducting the rapidly escalating war in the Golan Heights? No doubt those same "reliable sources" (the CIA) which fed false information to Dayan et al. in October!

"Labor Mobility": Population Relocation Plans

Israel's economy has virtually ceased to exist. Money presses are working overtime to service the gigantic State debt, and Israel's trade balance is running a deficit of almost \$1.5 billion. Taxation of the working class is at least 55 per cent of every wage packet. The cost of living jumped 26% in 1973 and is expected to rise at least that much in the first six months of 1974!

Under the cover of "war mobilization" Israel is embarking on a program of labor mobility, in which workers will work **where needed**. Manpower shortages caused by the mobilization of army reserves have served as the pretext for a worldwide call for volunteers and money. Desperate for cash, the Israeli treasury is limping along on the basis of contributions supplied by the vast Zionist fundraising machine in the U.S. and Western Europe.

An Israeli Free Officers' Club?

In the face of utter disintegration — economic and political — a group of military men have formed a "club" within the Labor Party. The Labor Party itself is on the verge of splitting into three separate units. The loyal opposition, the Likud, is fast becoming an openly fascist party, making overtures to the proliferating protest movements spreading in recent months. These populist movements have been holding mass demonstrations, led by military men like Arik Sharon and Motti Ashkenazi. The fascist rallying cries of "quality of life," "change the system," and "too much prosperity" are fusing these nonaligned groups.

Ominously, the Etgar ("Challenge") military club is being featured in the bourgeois press. This group of ex-generals in the Labor Party is functioning as a focus for bankers, businessmen and military officers. The Etgar group is led by ex-generals Yitzhak Rabin (since selected by the Labor Party to try to form a coalition government — Ed.), Haim Bar-Lev, and Aharon Yariv. Their first meeting, chaired by Herzlia mayor Tosef Nevo, was held in the offices of Koor Industries, a Histadrut (Israeli labor federation) conglomerate headed up by Meir Amit, former Chief of Israel's Secret Police.

This gathering included several industry and banking policy-makers. The Etgar clique, representing a credible future junta, reflects a deep-seated fascist protest movement within Israel, including the demonstrations led by General Motti Ashkenazi and various university professors.

In sum, the fascist Etgar circle provides counterpoint to the moderate-seeming compromises of the type Dayan put forward during his recent U.S. trip. Dayan will try to achieve an "honorable" peace with Syria — including a possible "Palestinian state" on the West Bank — according to worn-out United Nations Resolution 242. Should Syria prove uncooperative, Rockefeller can activate Etgar to take control of the Israeli government, either *de facto* through pressure tactics or through an outright coup d'état. The remodeled, re-equipped Israeli

Defense Forces, under Rockefeller direction, can then unleash the IDF (International Development Fund) to punish Damascus, and possibly Iraq.

IPS will file detailed reports on Foreign Minister Abba Eban's upcoming trip to France in May, as a potential link-up of Israeli resistance with the anti-U.S. resistance of French Gaullism.

SADAT-ROCKEFELLER "ARAB UNITY" GROWS SHAKY

April 7— The Rockefeller-dominated "Arab unity" so carefully forged by Egyptian President Anwar el-Sadat and King Faisal over the last twelve months is in great danger of collapse. In view of this, Rockefeller/CIA forces are moving feverishly to shore up their position.

Despite Sadat's efforts to organize Syria, Jordan and South Arabia into his coalition, there are signs that opposition to Sadat is building up inside Egypt. A demonstration in Cairo by disgruntled officers of the Third Army was joined by soldiers and students before being fired on by police, and CBS News reports that a group of students walked out of Sadat's April 3 speech at Alexandria University denouncing the Soviet Union.

The de-Nasserization process in Egypt is going full speed ahead, accompanied by a calculated rapid deterioration in Soviet-Egyptian relations. Sadat, who revealed in his April 3 speech that he had been "deceived" by the Soviets about Syrian demands for a ceasefire after the October war, also mentioned that he had considered breaking diplomatic relations with the USSR. Rumors circulating in the Arab world before Sadat's speech maintained that he would abrogate the Soviet-Egyptian friendship treaty signed in 1971. While Sadat did not renounce the treaty, he did imply that Soviet aid had been unsatisfactory. The Soviets responded April 6 by sharply defending their policy in the Arab world.

Cairo has also charged the USSR with sabotaging peace efforts in the Middle East and trying to split Arab unity by dividing Syria from the UAR. Sadat was so concerned with Syrian fence-sitting that he sent his close advisor on security matters, Ahmed Ismail, to Damascus to monitor the situation.

While precise information is not available at this point, the dismissal of General Shazli (former Chief of Staff of the Egyptian Army during the October war and a hardliner) earlier this year and his subsequent appointment as ambassador to London has greatly displeased Syria, which has been attacking Sadat of late. Shazli's downfall and the firing of Hassanein Heykal, ex-editor of *Al Ahram* and friend of Nasser, have provided Egyptian dissidents with two well-known figures as potential leaders of an opposition to Sadat.

Hussein Reconciliation

King Hussein of Jordan visited Cairo April 4-6 amid speculation that he would announce a reconciliation with the Palestinian Liberation Organization (PLO). While no such accord materialized, Hussein will meet with Faisal and Syrian president Assad in the near future and probably will reach a limited agreement with the PLO on holding a plebiscite on the future of the West Bank after Israeli withdrawal. (Hussein and Moshe Dayan both recently visited the United States and Hussein spent an additional six days in the United Kingdom. Undoubtedly

they both firmed up with the Central Intelligence Agency and British Intelligence operatives the Rockefeller game-plan for their respective nations.)

The reconciliation of Hussein with the Cairo forces comes in the context of Dayan's statement that "1974 might be the year that Israel negotiates with the Palestinians." From several **pro-Arafat** sources in the PLO comes the news that the Palestinians are ready to make their peace with Zionism and live in a Palestinian state. The Palestine National Council, long postponed, is scheduled for late April.

Iran, Arab League Move in Oman

CIA satrapy Iran has announced the formation of an alliance with Oman for defense of the Persian Gulf. The Sultan of Oman is a Sandhurst-trained Anglo-American agent who deposed his medieval-minded father after the discovery of oil in Oman in 1970.

Over the protests of Iraq, which has close ties to the Soviet Union, the Cairo-dominated Arab League is seeking to mediate the conflict between Oman and South Yemen, Iraq's lone ally in the Arab world. The Soviet-backed regime in South Yemen is supporting a guerilla movement (the PFLOAG— Popular Front for the Liberation of Oman and the Arabian Gulf) which presents a remote threat to Kuwait and even to King Faisal's creaky regime in Riyadh.

This move by the Arab League is clearly an attempt to break South Yemen out of the Soviet camp. The same attempt is being pursued on another front, with the efforts to unite North and South Yemen— North Yemen being a Sadat-Faisal puppet show.

SWP and the Press Puff Barzani

April 20— The April 12 issue of the *Militant*, weekly newsheet of the U.S. Socialist Workers Party, carries an article signed by Michael Bauman announcing the SPW's support of well-known CIA agent and Middle East Rockefeller operative Mulla Mustafa Barzani and his band of Kurdish counterinsurgents.

Barzani has been leading an insurrection of his captive Kurdish minority against the pro-Soviet Baath regime of Iraq. Barzani's insurrection, which is supported by the CIA's Shah of Iran, has set the stage for a possible intervention into Iraq by Turko-Iranian army forces. It also constitutes direct provocation of the USSR which depends on Iraq as its only source of oil outside the workers states.

From his secret headquarters, Barzani has granted audiences to a steady stream of Western journalists who dutifully file stories describing the heroism of Pesh Merga guerrillas. Unfortunately, none of the representatives of the press has thought to ask Barzani why his Kurdish revolt has failed to spread to the millions of Turkish and Iranian Kurds.

Evidently it is Barzani's demand for counterinsurgent-style local control in northern Iraq that has magnetized SWP cheerleaders. The Socialist Workers Party is known to be deeply involved in support of the CIA's domestic arm, the Law Enforcement Assistance Administration, in its fascist community control organizing around Luis Fuentes, Superintendent of New York City's School District 1.

**ROCKEFELLER/McNAMARA ATTEMPT
TO SABOTAGE INDIAN GOVERNMENT:
CPI REACTS TO SUPPORT GANDHI**

April 24 — Nelson Rockefeller and the World Bank are laying siege against India, the last large sphere of Soviet influence. Rockefeller's Oil Hoax now threatens to eat up India's few remaining foreign exchange earnings. Calculated shortages of naphtha for fertilizer production and diesel oil needed for transportation are rapidly grinding the parched Indian economy to a final halt.

In late February, McNamara's report to the World Bank acknowledged that oil prices far exceed the flow of development funds to India. The March 24 **Times of India** reports that India has announced a cutback of 2 million tons in petroleum imports for 1974. Punjabi farmers will produce 1 million tons less wheat than in 1973, because they cannot get diesel oil to run their tube-wells. This confluence of Rockefeller's shortage hoaxes and the CIA-manipulated riots discussed below **makes imminent a famine of drastic proportions this summer.**

Gandhi Trapped

Indira Gandhi's efforts to consolidate a trade relationship with pro-Soviet Iraq and her criticism of U.S. military buildup are impotent. Her recent plea for increased U.S. aid, reported by the **Times of India** March 26 and by the **New York Times** April 3, illustrates the trap in which Rockefeller and the World Bank have caught her.

The Rockefeller-directed shortages of essential fertilizer, food and oil have provoked violent protests in the states of Gujarat, Bihar and Maharashtra. These states are vital centers of rail transport, which has been the target of CIA Parkhurst-style disruption.

Managed student rebellions are sweeping across India — eating away at Gandhi's power base. Political chaos enveloped the Gandhi government in February when the Indian Congress Party squeaked through the state elections of Uttar Pradesh, India's most populous state. The following week in Gujarat, crowds led by student groups, like the Navirnam Samitis, rioted; and the riots spread rapidly to nearby towns. The city of Ahmedabad was brought under martial law.

Students Stage Transport Disruption

Imitating the Parkhurst operation in the United States, students called for a "stop travel" day as part of their two-month agitation for the dissolution of the Congress Party-dominated Legislative Assembly and the resignation of State Chief Minister Chimanbhai Patel. Mobs uprooted railway tracks, attacked trains, and set fire to rail stations. Police moved in, killing 80. Gandhi was forced to call in the CIA-trained Border Security Forces to assist police. This move only furthered the political dissolution of the Congress Party.

March 18 students swarmed on Patna, capital of famine-stricken Bihar. They were joined by every fascist force from the Jan Sangh to the localist Anand Marg, besieging the State Assembly as the Governor inaugurated the budget session. Fascist mobs set city offices and businesses on fire and roughed up state officials. Police killed seven before the army was called in to restore order. In Orissa, opposition forces began howling for the resignation of the Satpathy ministry.

Shortages — Trial Run for Military Rule

Further shortages of the type already maddening the

population will accomplish two things necessary to the Rockefeller plan. First, the real anger of large sections of the population will be directed against the Gandhi government. The fascist parties are organizing a mass-based movement, picking up anti-Congress sentiment, to oust Gandhi from the central government. The fascist Jan Sangh is negotiating an alliance of the right-wing parties, while the Sarvodaya Socialist Party (Mahatma Gandhi-style movement) has announced that it will sponsor notorious counterinsurgent Narayan for the Presidency.

The right-wing Syndicate Congress, a split-off from Mrs. Gandhi's Congress Party, is now torn by a faction fight on the issue of an alliance with Gandhi. The recent decision by the Syndicate Working Committee to repudiate the pro-Gandhi position put forward by former spokesman K. Kamaraj indicates the extent of the moves to isolate politically the Gandhi faction in the Congress.

Second, Territorial Army units have been activated to maintain the movement of essential commodities. The CIA-trained Border Security Forces are on the alert in the event of riots. The imposition of President's rule — martial law — in a number of states has already provided trial runs for a possible military takeover of the entire country.

Right-Wing Fast to Death

To heighten the psychosis of starving India, the right-wing is calling for mass self-denial, evoking the spirit of Mahatma Gandhi — conscious British Intelligence agent who preached stoical acceptance of poverty to India's insurgent masses after World War II. Notorious right-winger Moraji Desai, former Deputy Prime Minister, held a token fast in support of the riots.

Legislators from Gandhi's Congress Party, either out of sympathy for student rioters or because of pressure and physical harassment, resigned from the Assembly. The Assembly, in ruins, was dissolved — barring Mrs. Gandhi from any new attempts to install a Congress Party government.

Washington Post Sets Up Indian Left

The Indian press has characterized the riots as the spontaneous anger of the Indian population over high prices and corruption. The CIA-controlled **Washington Post** of April 6 called the CIA/Ford-funded agitation a "campaign of Indian leftists," with the intent of setting up the Indian left for massive purges.

The **Times of India**, counterpart of the CIA's **New York Times**, has called for support of all movements that want to overthrow "corrupt regimes." The **Times** compared the Indian student movement to the CIA-directed Thai student movement, which ousted the inefficient Kittichachorn dictatorship a few months ago. Both movements follow the pattern established by the student KAMI in Indonesia, which initiated the 1965 massacre of one million communists.

Bihar Chief Minister Ghafoor, however, trying to keep a toehold on his job in the midst of student-led demands for his resignation, let the cat out of the bag. He alluded to a "foreign conspiracy behind the student revolt."

Leading counterinsurgent Jayaprakash Narayan has called for Ghafoor's dismissal through the Ford Foundation-funded **Voluntary Action**. Narayan has long been connected to the Gandhi Peace Foundation, a front organization of the Ford Foundation. He has used **Voluntary Action** as a vehicle for pushing McNamara's death plan for India. Narayan is the first announced candidate in the upcoming round of Presidential elections.

CPI Moves Against Rockefeller

In the midst of this pattern of CIA-engineered unrest, the Communist Party of India (CPI) has made moves to halt a Rockefeller takeover of India.

Left parties came together in Delhi in early April to unite around a May 3 demonstration-agitation demanding government takeover of wholesale trade in wheat and essential commodities for distribution at fair-price shops, the nationalization of sugar, textiles, drugs, jute, cement, plantations and foreign capital. The other significant demand from the left is the abolition of preventive-detention laws.

The crucial turn in CPI policy was indicated in the April 6 issue of the **Times of India**, which carried a lengthy and virulent denunciation of the current policies of the CPI. What provoked the **Times**, spokesman for Rockefeller interests in Asia, to near-hysteria were remarks by CPI official Mohit Sen to the effect that India is on the brink of a right-wing takeover of the "Chilean type" or the "Sadat type."

The comparison of the "Sadat type" takeover to the CIA-engineered coup in Chile last fall is the first acknowledgment by a Soviet-aligned party that Soviet influence not only has been ousted from the Rockefeller-controlled dictatorships of the Mideast, but that the Rockefeller forces are in the process of a similar house-cleaning throughout the Third World. India is virtually the last outpost of significant Soviet influence outside the countries of the Soviet bloc itself.

Times Sees No Crisis

The specter of CPI united class action against Rockefeller's moves was sufficient to throw the CIA forces into disarray. The **Times**, conspicuously critical of Mrs. Gandhi in recent months, went so far as to deny the existence of a crisis: "The country's business is not on strike, the rural areas are not seething with violence and the economy is not dependent on the whims of any single government."

The **Times** chose to lie at a moment when the CPI has

made cautious but important steps to block CIA plans. For the first time in eleven years the CPI held discussions with the CPM (Marxist Communist Party, a semi-Maoist ultra-left split-off from the CPI) around the question of united front organizing. A CPI demonstration, scheduled for a day that would have coincided with Jan Sangh protests, was moved up so as not to identify with the anti-Gandhi stand of the fascists.

The consistent CPI criticism of United States policies has exploded attempts by the CIA, working through front-man Ambassador Moynihan, to create a false atmosphere of detente. The anti-U.S. stand is implicitly a blast at the fascist Shah of Iran's attempts to use revenues from the oil hoax to fund the Rockefeller/World Bank slave-labor projects being implemented in India's rural areas. This is in marked contrast to the wretched endorsement of such "anti-imperialist" schemes by the Chinese Communist leadership.

The CPI has also refused to support the projected strike by the Railwaymen's Union, an organization formerly headed by agent Narayan. No CPI-aligned rail workers supported the strike call; rather, the CPI is focusing its agitation around the key issue of food. The rail strike is intended to provide a left cover behind which the CIA will create further shortages, exactly as they intend to do with fascist organizer Parkhurst's May 13 "independent truckers" strike in the United States. In view of the fact that the Chilean transport strike last year created the conditions leading to Allende's downfall, the CPI seems to have learned some of the lessons of the "Chilean type" of military takeover.

CPI Rejects CIA Bait

Unlike the CPM, which has prided itself on taking the "most left" positions on all issues, the CPI has refused to direct its criticism at the Gandhi government. The CIA would like nothing better in this period than to see the Indian left sucked into the anti-Gandhi campaign.

The CPI is undoubtedly saved from such an opportunistic move, which would only play into the hands of the fascists, by the fact that it is aligned with the Soviet Union. The recognition that Soviet interests have to be defended gives the CPI an international view of recent events which the more narrowly parochialist parties such as the CPM necessarily lack. A high-powered CPI delegation is scheduled to visit Moscow within the next two months, presumably to confer on stronger resistance to Rockefeller's efforts to root out Soviet influence in India through a military coup d'etat.

FACTIONAL SITUATION BREWING IN CUBA

April 10 — Raul Castro, Deputy Prime Minister and head of the Cuban Revolutionary Armed Forces, has just returned home from a long "unofficial" visit to Eastern Europe and the Soviet Union which began immediately after Brezhnev's visit to Cuba early this year. During his absence some of the most crucial policy shifts in Cuban revolutionary history were concretized by the visits of Canadian, Argentinian, Peruvian, Mexican and French commercial delegations.

While Raul was abroad, the Coordinating Committee of the Non-Aligned Nations met in Algiers. The meeting was attended not by Raul but by the Cuban Minister of Foreign Relations. During the same period Raul was reported to be unofficially visiting Siberia!

Policy Shift

Soviet Communist Party leader Brezhnev's visit to Cuba in February marked the death of any last bit of revolutionary integrity left to Fidel Castro. Since the assassination of Che Guevara, Fidel has continuously compromised with the unprincipled leaders of the old Cuban Communist Party (Partido Socialista Popular), a trend consummated by the degradation of Castro's support for Peru's CIA regime. Brezhnev's visit made overt the promise that Cuba would no longer try to "export revolution." Brezhnev made clear that the Soviets could no longer finance the Cuban revolution; henceforth it would have to fend for itself on the high seas of detente.

This formally ended Cuba's long-standing policy of seeing Latin American socialist revolutions as the only guarantee of the survival of the Cuban revolution. Fidel will instead embrace the so-called revolutionary nationalist regimes and beg to be admitted into a Rockefeller/Central Intelligence Agency-controlled "Latin American Community." He thus makes public policy the dangerous game he has been playing since announcing at the Trade Union Congress at the end of last year that the Cuban masses were not really ready for socialism.

Rockefeller Lackeys Flirt With Cuba

Waves of support for the integration of Cuba into the Latin American community came from various Latin American national sectors, giving these blood-stained regimes a more credible left cover. Heavy trade commenced with Argentina; Peru and Venezuela began to formulate projects for cooperation with Cuba. Even the embryonic Caribbean Common Market began studying the possibility of Cuba's full membership. Mexico's President Echevarria sent his Foreign Minister Rabasa to prepare economic ties and to plan Echevarria's July visit to Cuba. Rabasa, Kissinger's Kissinger in Latin America, declared: "Cuba is not alone in its revolutionary thrust" — pushing as "revolutionary" Rockefeller's planned "critical changes."

Kissinger announced in late February that the U.S. was happy to review its attitude towards Havana "with an open mind," provided Cuba changes some of its policies and gives certain guarantees, such as not to interfere in another country's internal affairs. This guarantee was already assured by Brezhnev's visit.

Rumor has it that President Nixon, with his affection for the Gusano community in Miami, is unwilling to allow Cuba into the Organization of American States (OAS) or formally to lift the blockade. But with or without official U.S. approval, Cuba is already trading with

U.S. companies through subsidiaries in Canada and Argentina, and was welcomed into the "Latin American Community" at the LA-EEC (Latin American-European Economic Community) conference in Punto del Este last month.

At the 13th annual meeting of the governors of the International Development Bank (IDB), which met last week in Santiago, Chile, the leading CIA-controlled Latin American frontmen for Rockefeller stepped up their efforts to masquerade as revolutionary leaders of an "independent Latin American Community."

Representatives from Peru, Venezuela and Argentina demanded the "Latin Americanization" of the IDB, which would entail a "change in the voting equilibrium" and a transferral of decision-making power from the Bank (i.e., U.S.-Rockefeller financial interests) to the Latin American countries (i.e., Rockefeller/CIA-controlled regimes)!

In a direct attempt to bind Cuba to life-sucking capitalist loan structures, Argentina proposed that the loan policy of the IDB be altered to include the financing of exports (manufactured and capital goods) to any country in the world. This comes at a time when Argentina has just agreed to extend a \$1.2 billion credit to Cuba for the purchase of automobiles and an extensive list of manufactured and capital goods.

Trying to bring Cuba under direct Rockefeller control, Venezuelan President Carlos Andres Perez (having named Cuba as a "sister nation" who is a victim of the same conditions as other Latin American countries) announced plans to establish a fund within the IDB. The fund would attend to the necessities of Latin American countries which have difficulties applying for credit from the IDB because of "pressures that the U.S. establishes for political reasons or to discourage nationalist ideas of the member countries."

Cuba Declares Victory

Cuba has walked into the progressive-nationalist trap set by U.S.-trained counterinsurgents and claims victory. Radio Havana gloats: "At the conference for American foreign ministers in Tlalteleleo (last week in February — Ed.), held outside the influence of the OAS, Latin American countries abruptly rejected the concept of 'a community of hemispheric interests...' (for a community of Latin American interests — Ed.). At the present moment the correlation of forces on a world scale shows a strong nationalistic tendency of recuperation and defense of natural resources..." This tendency of course was created and directed by Rockefeller and the CIA to implement raw-materials "shortages" aimed at the industrialized sector! With the introduction of U.S. capital and the accompanying crippling debt service, Fidel is allowing creeping capitalism to eat away at the revolutionary process of Cuba.

In spite of this capitulation to Rockefeller's raw-materials hoax and its nationalist cover, there is a possibility that some thinking continues in Cuba. If Raul, Number 2 man and longtime hard-liner, who forced Fidel to take direct personal leadership of the July 26 movement after the revolution, was indeed shipped out so as not to disturb Cuba's accession to economic rape, he is back now and apparently kicking after his long silent absence.

Factional Splits?

At a meeting of Communist Party Youth the first week in April, Raul spoke with little fervor for detente: "Cuban youth will never coexist in any way with alienating and marginal manifestations that try to infiltrate; nor will they coexist with extravagant behavior, with individualism, with profit-seeking or with whatever worm-eaten forms of intellectualism remain. None of these things must find fertile ground to grow among our youth!

Communist youth must be firm jealous custodians of communist ideas, and you must defend them with love, passion and intransigence."

Raul's speech is an attempted inoculation against the internal counterrevolutionary dangers that must surface in this period of total loss of revolutionary integrity and capitulation to capitalist forces. Thus all indications point to a brewing factional situation, similar to the current factional line-up in the Soviet bureaucracy.

ROCKEFELLER BANKING INTERESTS ADOPT ANTI-IMPERIALISM TO ENSURE THIRD WORLD LOAN REPAYMENTS

April 9 — Cornered by Rockefeller banking interests, third world nations called an extraordinary three-week session of the United Nations General Assembly on Raw Materials. The driving force behind the special session, which opened today, is the impact of increased oil prices on the economies of third world countries. Developing countries will pay approximately \$8-10 billion more for oil imports in 1974 than they did in 1973, pushing highly vulnerable nations, such as India, close to the brink of total catastrophe. According to today's **Journal of Commerce**, there has been an almost fourfold increase in oil prices since September.

A member of the trade mission of Chile (whose General Arturo Yovane last week jumped the gun with a speech proposing that the four CIPEC—copper exporting — countries, accounting for 70% of the world's copper exports, raise their prices collectively) told IPS that Chile's immediate concern is the nearly \$500 million hike in its 1974 oil import bill.

In addition, the March 23 **Economist** reported that Chile has found herself "pushed to the very end of the queue" for new borrowings on the international market. And Chile—rationalized by Schachtian (labor-intensive) methods following the CIA coup last September— is in a position envied by many other developing countries, considering its potential for \$2 billion in export earnings on copper if present market prices prevail. Most third world nations are desperate.

What's Behind It?

Tremors expressed throughout the financial press— starting with the **Banker** magazine last July, and most recently the April 6 **Financial Post** of Canada— lay it all out. During 1973, publicized loans of developing countries from the Euromarket totalled \$8 billion. The figure is probably closer to \$16 billion since, as N.M. Rothschild of London estimates, publicized loans account for about half the total loan amount. Since then, the pace has not noticeably slackened.

A study of the loan origin by the IPS intelligence staff shows that a majority of these loans— and practically all in Latin America— derive from banks connected to the Rockefeller interests. What these Rockefeller financial circles fear, according to their own press, is that the massive third world debt (noted at \$85 billion in the 1973 report of the World Bank) might be left in default, leading to a general collapse of the international lending market. Thus, with or without the imprimatur of the General Assembly, any proceeds accruing to third world nations as a result of price rises for raw materials would be recycled quickly back to these international banks! This is Rockefeller's real agenda at the Raw Materials Conference.

In the words of the "Action Program" prepared by the Group of 77 non-aligned nations, the generalized motive for the conference is to demand a "new world order." Yet, the organizing for the conference was done by Algeria, Iran and Brazil—all securely in the CIA's harness. (President Boumediene of Algeria sent out a call for the Raw Materials special session a week after the February conference on energy in Washington. Then, the Iranian Minister of Development traveled worldwide to organize for it. According to IPS sources, Brazil's organizing for the conference was informal.) Both Algeria and Iran were participants in the Great Oil Hoax which precipitated the crisis, and Brazil is

governed by graduates of the International Police College in Washington.

Background on the Group of 77

Ironically, the Group of 77 was originally convened by Che Guevara, Ben Bella, and Kwame Nkrumah in 1964 with the purpose of creating an "anti-imperialist" faction of underdeveloped nations. After the overthrow of the latter two heads of state, the group split along regional lines. Now, the Group of 77 is seemingly in the midst of a glorious revival under the direction of the sort of third world rule—Brazil, for example— that would make its founders shudder.

Delegations of countries most severely affected by the oil crisis, when contacted by IPS, were uniformly noncommittal, adopting a wait-and-see attitude toward the conference. Unquestionably, as the conference proceeds, the ball will be in the hands of the oil hoaxers and CIA-connected third world regimes.

Raw Materials "Shortage"

Boumediene set the tone for the conference to launch a raw materials "shortage" though he left the way open for Algeria to work with the Gaullist capitalists. In the original conference call, Boumediene said that the General Assembly should consider all prices and not just the tripling and quadrupling of oil prices.

The conference follows various moves toward the engineering of a raw materials shortage hoax:

- The February meeting of bauxite producers in Conakry, at which the host Guinea was the most vociferous in demanding a cartel to raise bauxite prices. Guinea's bauxite production was organized in 1966 with World Bank funds, and Guinea's acquisition of 48% of all bauxite mining and smelting operations locally was also financed by the World Bank.

- A meeting of the International Tin Council in London in early April, called by producers who demanded higher intervention prices in the use of international tin buffer stocks.

- General Yovane's demand for cartelized copper export and OPEC—Organization of Petroleum Exporting Countries— style price rises.

- The Shah of Iran, whose government is run out of ex-CIA director Richard Helms' office, sent a recent message to the Secretary General of the United Nations which read in part: "For too long, the third world has been penalized for its inability to protect itself against the economic preponderance of the developed economies. Its outcry against unfair trade has struck a silent chord of apathy. Its raw materials offered at cheap prices and in abundance have glutted the developed markets, leading not only to further the affluence of the rich nations but also to much unrestrained waste.... The time has now come to make a beginning towards a more rationalized world economy. This means, among other things, that the raw materials in the hands of the developing nations should maintain constant and real value in relation to the prices of goods imported from the industrialized market."

Missing entirely from the initial conference proceedings was any discussion of developing fusion power, the only solution to producing enough energy to feed the world's population and to raise the quality of civilization. See below for the Preamble to the Draft Resolution on fusion power circulated by the National Caucus of Labor Committees to conference participants.

CHINA JOINS ROCKEFELLER FORCES TO PUSH FOR RAW MATERIALS HOAX

April 10— Previewing the latest Chinese anti-Soviet and pro-Rockefeller stance, Marquis Child's April 9 column in the *Washington Post* praised Chiao Kuan-Hua as the "Number 2 man in the foreign office and a powerful and eloquent advocate" who "can be counted on for a vigorous assault on high prices for manufactured goods from the industrialized West and low prices for commodities from the underdeveloped world."

The next day, Chinese Deputy Premier Teng Hsiao-Ping developed this "vigorous assault" in a speech fully embracing the Rockefeller hoaxers at the UN Raw Materials Conference: "If imperialist monopolies can gang up to manipulate the markets at will, to the great detriment of the vital interests of the developing countries, why can't developing countries unite to break the imperialist monopoly and defend their economic rights and interests?...The oil battle has broadened people's vision. What was done in the oil battle should and can be done in the case of other raw materials." Besides paying his compliments to Rockefeller's oil cartels, Teng characterized the world situation as a struggle of the "second world" (Europe and Japan) and the "third world" (the underdeveloped countries) against the "two superpowers," the U.S. and the Soviet Union.

Straight from the CIA

IPS has traced this pseudoradical buncombe as far back as the 1970 ravings of CIA agent M.S. Arnoni, publisher of *Minority of One*, at an international conference at Lenz, Austria.

In making absolutely no distinction between the U.S. government and the Soviet Union, the Chinese have adopted a degraded viewpoint that places them in the public relations department of the Rockefeller banking interests.

Teng's speech confirms and summarizes the anti-Soviet trend in Chinese policy over the last few years, especially their parroting of the CIA line on the USSR over the past few months.

While there is nothing substantially new in Teng's speech, its timing and its audience are important; and the Chinese themselves have emphasized it. When Teng left for New York, the entire Politburo came to the airport for his farewell gathering.

Teng is a former associate of Liu Shao-Chi and was denounced during the Cultural Revolution, in 1966-67. This fall he reappeared among the party faithful, became Deputy Premier, and was placed on the Politburo in January. Teng's speech is linked to the campaign to criticize Lin Piao and Confucius—a campaign run by Premier Chou En Lai which is taking on an increasingly anti-Soviet character.

**RESEARCH REPORT:
IMMINENT INSURRECTION ATTEMPT BY
CIA-ROCKEFELLER FORCES IN CANADA**

April 17 — Canada and Canadian political institutions are presently at the mercy of an insurrectionary conspiracy mounted by the faction of international finance represented by the Rockefeller family. On the basis of evidence submitted in this article, an urgent enquiry must be mounted immediately to safeguard Canadian internal security.

The situation now developing in Canada, particularly in the province of Quebec, has placed an enormous responsibility on the shoulders of honest politicians, journalists and other similarly situated layers of the population. The efforts they make over the next short historical period, to act on the basis of the evidence we present here, coupled with the organizing of the North American Unemployed and Welfare Rights Organization (NUWRO), will be critical in determining whether Canada is to fall under the iron heel of a police/military rule. This development, if unchecked, will be but the prelude to the fascist organization of the continental economy, followed in a few short years by thermonuclear war and the destruction of the human race.

Evidence for this assertion has been steadily accumulated by the intelligence staff of the International Caucus of Labor Committees since approximately the first of this year. In brief, the faction of international finance represented by the Rockefeller interests is presently in conspiracy against the lawfully elected governments of Western Europe and North America. We have documented elsewhere the way in which Rockefeller forces, through the activities of such CIA agents as Ellsberg and Hunt, with Congressional and Senatorial help from Rockefeller-aligned politicians like Senator Javits, have set up the hapless Richard Nixon for his Watergate. We have shown conclusively how the oil cartel-manufactured energy crisis has been used to undermine governments; as in Belgium, whose government was brought down when the CIA-controlled Shah of Iran cancelled a plan to construct an oil refinery, and Italy, where the Rumor government was brought down by the impossible terms of an International Monetary Fund (IMF) loan designed to cover its mounting oil balance of payments deficit. We have shown how this phony crisis has been used to terrify populations into accepting layoffs, cut-backs in consumption standards, etc., as in Britain.

It is only in the context of these developments that recent events in Canada can be understood. For reasons of space we will limit ourselves to current developments in the province of Quebec, and to the Rockefeller-inspired Athabasca Tar Sands project. These two examples demonstrate the extent and intent of Rockefeller's insurrection against the Canadian government, and the population it represents, and also shows exactly the future which is planned for the inhabitants of the entire continent.

First it is necessary to sketch in the background to the present situation. By so doing we establish the capability of those forces we have identified to actually mount the outlaw moves which are presently in the works.

Rockefeller's Base of Operations

Canada has been a fiefdom of the political and economic empire of the Rockefeller family since 1921.

From control of the major political parties, to control of the trade unions, to control of the major industrial groupings, and control of the military and para-military forces, the Rockefeller-CIA cabal is moving to complete its control of Canada by direct military means.

With the cabal's present strategic position carefully prepared on all fronts, and sanctioned by draconian laws along the lines of the War Measures Act of 1970, the establishment of resettlement work camps, and the creation of CIA-directed terrorist groups, Canada is further along the road to a Kitson-style military takeover than the United States. In Canada, the Kitson plan could become operative at virtually any moment.

Control of the Canadian nation is carefully covered by the myth of Canadian independence and "neutrality." Under this myth, the CIA is able to provoke movements for "Canada for Canadians," "Canadian Unions for Canadian Workers," economic independence and French-speaking separatism. The myth of Canadian neutrality — like Swedish neutrality — is being used to police revolutionaries and create Rockefeller-controlled disruptions throughout the world.

Complete Political Control

The Canadian government has been under the domination of the Rockefeller forces since the 1921 Liberal Party government of William Lyon Mackenzie King.

ITEM: During World War I, before he became Prime Minister, King was the Industrial Relations Director for the Rockefeller Foundation. His orders were to discover an "industrial psychology" that would control the working class and relieve the Rockefeller family of the necessity for future Ludlow Massacres. King was the author of an "employer-employee consultation plan" designed for the Colorado Fuel and Iron Corporation, the Rockefeller company that ordered the massacre. King travelled widely applying his Rockefeller science and selling his plan to giants like International Harvester, Bethlehem Steel, General Electric and others. King was a consultant to Roosevelt in the 1930's, advising him how to crush the American mass strike upsurges.

ITEM: In World War II King joined the work of British fascist planners John Rawlings Rees and A.T.M. Wilson of the Rockefeller-funded Tavistock Institute in London to devise post-war population resettlement schemes. Peddled under the name of refugee relocation and resettlement, these plans were straightforward fascist work-camp designs. King devised plans for a Canadian/U.S. Northwest resettlement zone, an elaborate infrastructure of roads, railways, and air transport to provide the skeleton around which displaced persons from World War II could be grouped in work units, usually based on ethnic background. The implication of the U.S./Canadian resettlement projects was clear: to promote the idea and to test the various ways of coordinating international financial, corporate and government institutions to administer captive labor schemes.

ITEM: In 1950, the basic principle of resettlement was applied in the design of the Colombo Plan, for "aid" to Southeast Asia. Canada was a leading participant in the Colombo Plan, because, according to O.E. Ault, the Director of the Colombo Plan for Ceylon, "Canada is innocent of any political ambitions." In the Colombo Plan food from Canada was shipped to Asian countries to "keep people going while they built dams, roads and factories" to pay off the massive Asian debts to the World Bank — the conduit for massive amounts of Rockefeller banking income.

ITEM: In the 1960's, when efforts were intensified by Rockefeller interests working through United Nations agencies, the World Bank and the CIA to implement slave-labor schemes, as in Brazil, Canadian political figures again played the role of "neutral" promoters of Rockefeller policy. In 1968 former Liberal Party Prime Minister Lester Pearson chaired the Committee on Third World Development for the World Bank, headed by Robert McNamara, and produced the study **Partners in Development**, which outlined McNamara's current labor-intensive enslavement of the peasantry in the colonial world. The study spelled out (1) zero population growth, (2) labor-intensive production and (3) taxation of European, North American and Japanese workers to pay the costs of these plans.

Control of the Economy

Any misconceptions about Canadian control of natural resources or power will be immediately dispelled by the following analysis of actual Rockefeller ownership of both resources and energy. In fact, the "Canadian control" talk is largely a cover for low-intensity shutdowns and crises being activated by the CIA worldwide.

ITEM: 60% of the \$9.8 billion petroleum and natural gas industry is controlled by the U.S., of which the dominant portion is directly under the Rockefeller heel. The largest company in Canada is Imperial Oil, 68.9% controlled by EXXON — Rockefeller's Standard Oil of New Jersey. Rockefeller interests also own or control the following companies:

- Texaco Canada (68.1% controlled by Texaco, New York, which interlocks with EXXON).
- Irving Oil (Rockefeller's Standard Oil of California owns 48.8% interest).
- Mobil Oil (Rockefeller controlled, it was Standard Oil of New York).
- Shell Canada (71.2% of the voting control is owned by Royal Dutch Shell which interlocks with Rockefeller's Chase Manhattan Bank).
- BP Canada (65.5% is controlled by BP England, which is participating with EXXON in North Slope development; the largest shareholder of BP England is the British Government, now overseeing Britain as a Rockefeller colony).
- Gulf of Canada (controlled by Gulf Oil, owned by the Mellon family interests, now rapidly being gobbled up by the Rockefeller holdings).

ITEM: In the Canadian metals industry, where nearly 60% is controlled by the U.S., Rockefeller is again dominant.

• Nickel — International Nickel Company of Canada is controlled by Rockefeller and Morgan forces. INCO is the capitalist world's largest producer of nickel and one

of the principal producers of platinum and copper.

• Aluminum — Morgan, Rockefeller's cohort financial group, has the major holdings in Alcan Aluminum, which in turn has major holdings in a number of power and construction companies.

• Canadian Reynolds Metals is owned by Reynolds Metals of the U.S., which is interlocked through the Board of Directors and shareholdings with Rockefeller banks — the Chase Manhattan and First National City of New York.

• Hudson Bay Mining and Smelting Corporation controls extensive power, mining, transportation and smelting activities in Canada, and is 28% controlled by the Anglo-American Corporation, the vast mining company whose major base is South Africa.

• Cominco, the huge metals conglomerate with over 60 subsidiaries — coal, copper, lead, zinc, gold, oil — is 53.2% owned by Canadian Pacific Investments (CPI), which is 90.8% owned by Canadian Pacific Railways, whose Board of Directors interlocks with Rockefeller's Chase Manhattan Bank, and the Rockefeller-Morgan INCO.

Military Peacekeeping in Rockefeller's Backyard

The Canadian military and paramilitary forces have long been prepared for "peacekeeping" and actual resettlement management. The entire armed forces and police have been selectively chosen and psychologically hardened for domestic control of the Canadian population through control of food and essential services.

ITEM: John Rawlings Rees' fascist selection procedures have been carefully followed in Canadian troop training. During World War II the Director for Personnel of the Canadian Armed Forces was Brock Chisholm, a close friend of Rees, who implemented Tavistock recruitment and organizational methods. Military units were formed according to "intelligence quotient." According to Rees these Nazi categorization procedures were more advanced in the Canadian armed forces than in other armies. In 1945, Rees said, "Perhaps the Canadian reception centres (military recruitment centres) stand out as a most interesting foretaste of what might be done in civilian life."

ITEM: Beginning in World War II Canadian secret agents and their American Office of Strategic Service counterparts were trained at Oshawa, Ontario, by British Special Operations executives and Secret Intelligence Service. "Wild Bill" Donovan used the Oshawa school as the model for setting up the training of American CIA agents.

Not surprisingly, since the war the Canadian Officer Corps has had a high number of former Nazi officers from Eastern Europe.

ITEM: In 1969 Leo Cadieux, Minister of National Defense, brought into the sunlight the model domestic counterinsurgency purpose of the Canadian armed forces. He said, "Canadian troops had to be trained to meet all kinds of civil unrest situations as to be ready to handle rioting crowds or civil disobedience at home, especially if the provincial governments call for assistance."

ITEM: The Canadian armed forces have received massive training for domestic counterinsurgency under U.N. population control operations. In Katanga, in the southern Congo, Canadian troops virtually singlehandedly put down the Tshombe rebellion. In Vietnam, after Canadian officers and volunteers were fighting clandestinely along with U.S. troops, creating a scandal, Canadians were sent in under the auspices of a U.N. "peacekeeping" operation. An entire regiment was used for population control in Cyprus. Right now reserves are being recycled through the Middle East for counterinsurgent experience. There and elsewhere they are receiving stepped-up training in "search and rescue" operations for use in forced-work camp relocation and for handling events like James Bay, where CIA-connected Bechtel Corporation operatives fomented a civil disorder for the troop exercise.

ITEM: Riot control troops are stationed at Victoria, Chilliwick, Calgary, Winnipeg, Shilo, Petawawa, London, Val Cartier, and Gagetown, following a November 1968 speech in which Trudeau warned of the spread of U.S. civil disorders.

Counterinsurgency Capability

Canadian armed forces were well ahead of the late 1950's shift in NATO strategy from "massive retaliation" with nuclear warheads to "flexible response" for the purpose of expeditionary forces to quell insurgency in the third world or at home. Canadian military units are similar to units under the control of the CIA in the U.S.

ITEM: Since 1964 the mobile capacity of the armed forces and lightning coordinated responses which are essential for domestic population control have been upgraded enormously. Major purchases of helicopters and transport planes have yielded a 2:1 ratio over other aircraft, a good portion of which are reconnaissance planes. Of transport, 160 of 429 aircraft were helicopters in 1972. A special purchase of 3,400 trucks has been made recently to upgrade infantry mobility.

ITEM: In 1967 the capability of domestic control was increased with the integration of all Canadian armed forces into one service. This accomplished in one blow the interservice coordination NATO and other national armies were striving to achieve piecemeal to improve capability of control of domestic populations.

ITEM: Recruitment into the military and paramilitary is now along ethnic lines to match the ethnic composition of areas of control. This toughens resistance to political penetration by a population moving against military control.

ITEM: The National Emergency Planning Establishment (NEPE) was developed secretly in the Defense Ministry along the guidelines set by Lt. Gen. Dare, RCMP Security Chief in 1972, and its existence was publicly confirmed in March 1974. NEPE, along with the Ministries of Transportation and Environment is on standby to run the economy by direct military control whenever an emergency situation is officially declared. The predecessor organization of NEPE, the Emergency Measures Organization (EMO), has had practice exercises for emergency food control, and other population management measures, in conjunction with counterpart U.S. agencies.

ITEM: Local police forces have been reorganized into larger regional commands paralleling the fascist CIA/LEAA regionalizations in the U.S. Special Provincial Police officers have been installed, like Chief Burrows of Ontario, who directed special police operations for such "emergency civil disasters" as last year's Toronto International Airport kidnapping incident, similar to the Heathrow CIA red scare maneuver. Deputy Chief Raike has formed, trained and led Metropolitan Toronto's Emergency Task Force unit responsible for control of strikes and mass demonstrations.

ITEM: Reesian selection procedures and psychological profiles are now used on even the small town cops. Youth control divisions, red squads, and centralized computerized data systems have been set up along Law Enforcement Assistance Administration lines. The LEAA has officially consulted on the police changeover in Quebec.

ITEM: Practice maneuvers of police and military have been taking place with greater frequency recently, both to terrorize and "inoculate" the population to the idea of the direct presence of troops in daily life and to train the forces. Counterinsurgency search-and-seal operations are now going on in Quebec towns. On March 1, in Boucherville, a suburb of Montreal, the elite Provincial Police suddenly sealed off the town without warning and carried out search procedures. This was repeated in St. Hubert on April 6, and also carried out in St. Hilaré and La Fleche. The terrorized residents were told afterwards that the purpose was to locate drunks, investigate break-ins, and prevent robberies. At roadblocks machine guns were held on cars and individuals as identity papers were demanded of terrified motorists.

Rockefeller Game Plan for Military Takeover

The actual military takeover will be activated on the pretext of civilian disaster which the Rockefeller/CIA cabal itself will initiate. Takeover comes at the culmination of low-intensity psychological softening up of the population, including provoked strikes, racial or religious strife, and smaller disasters like food shortages, transportation breakdowns, urban terrorism, and gasoline shortages. Lt. General Dare, Security Chief of the Royal Canadian Mounted Police, in his 1970 report of the Crisis Management Study Group defined the following crises as necessitating military intervention: "disruption of transportation and electrical power systems; and political and social crises such as sabotage, civil disturbances, and strikes endangering essential public services."

ITEM: The power failure that blacked out the U.S. Northeast on Nov. 9, 1965 was a Reesian test of population reactions "under stress conditions." The black-out began in the lines of Ontario-Hydro, which fed directly to the Rockefeller-controlled New York State Power Authority and the Niagra-Mohawk Power Company. The head of Ontario-Hydro, George Edward Gathercole, is a top Canadian government expert in Federal-Provincial relations, economic development and energy. Gathercole was trained in the Royal Canadian Navy Operations and Intelligence Center during 1942-44, and is at present director of the Atomic Energy

Commission of Canada and Continental Can Corporation.

ITEM: There have been several trial runs of military rule in Canada. The Quebec 1970 kidnappings were part of an orchestrated wave of terrorism created by the CIA and British Secret Service MI5 as the pretext for the War Measures Act, under which the military was brought in to terrorize the population and crush the developing labor ferment. During this military exercise period, troops and equipment appeared on the streets of British Columbia and points across Canada.

ITEM: The James Bay incident during the third week in March 1974 was caused by the Rockefeller/CIA-connected Bechtel Corporation. Bechtel has been spearheading a union-busting drive in the U.S. and is "scientifically" fomenting conflict and violence in work camp sites throughout the world. S.D. Bechtel, Sr. has run a joint company with John McCone (Chief of the CIA, 1963-65).

ITEM: In March 1974 the first round of a series of engineered threats to strangle vital transport supply lines for North America and overseas took place. There was a contrived coincidence of the slowing down of the Canadian rail shipping, due to a "boxcar shortage," with a provoked Canadian Seafarers' International Union strike on the St. Lawrence Seaway.

ITEM: In the upcoming crisis period from mid-May through mid-June, the following events could be activated to create fear and chaos as the pretext for some form of military intervention in the United States and Canada: (1) a strike on May 13 by independent truckers, who have been provoked by government energy regulations (part of Rockefeller's oil manipulations), and organized by the CIA-run Overdrive operation, headed by Michael Parkhurst; (2) an American Great Lakes shipping strike June 2, by the CIA-controlled Seafarers' International Union, joined by a Canadian SIU sympathy strike to knock out the St. Lawrence shipping route; (3) a rail-shipping shut-down, engineered through the combined effects of line close-downs, "missing" boxcars, and provoked strike actions.

Occurring together these contingency plans would be a devastating attack on food and energy supplies of North America and abroad — exactly the required disaster to justify the "emergency" use of troops for Rockefeller's intended fascist consolidation. While it is not possible to make exact linear projections of what will take place in the near future, because the actions of the National Caucus of Labor Committees will be the critical factor in changing events, the evidence of Rockefeller's fascist designs in Canada and the U.S. is ample.

Background

Athabasca Tar Sands

This project simultaneously provides two functions for the international Rockefeller forces — neither of which have been presented to the Canadian Parliament according to reliable, informed sources. Firstly, the plan identifies the key international role which has been scheduled for Canada by Rockefeller planners in Herman Kahn's Hudson Institute, a CIA "think-tank." By providing an alternative to Arab oil for the govern-

ments of Western Europe and Japan, Rockefeller will undermine their resistance to military takeover. Domestically the tar sands project provides the center piece for fascist economic planning in the period immediately ahead.

According to articles circulated last December by the British Financial Times News Service, Herman Kahn and his Montreal associate Marie Joseph Drouin met on November 28 with Prime Minister Trudeau and Energy Minister Donald MacDonald. In this meeting Kahn outlined his three-point plan for the project: investment of \$15-20 billion dollars by the governments of Western Europe and Japan; the importation of 30-40,000 workers from South Korea for the project; and the creation of a war-time emergency basis for rapid development of the sands. In December, Kahn motivated his proposal to an audience in Paris, "These Canadian tar sands are the only place in the world where you can spend \$30 billion in three years and know exactly what you'll get in return." The main peddler of these ideas in Ottawa has been Jean-Pierre Goyer, whose actions indicate that he provides the link between Kahn's Hudson Institute and the Canadian cabinet. For example, it was Goyer who set up a lunch meeting on October 17, 1973 between Kahn, Trudeau and selected members of the cabinet. It was Goyer who accompanied Trudeau to his recent meeting with Kahn where it was reported the tar sands project was not discussed...but the future of the Atlantic Alliance was.

Yet Nick Fedoruk, the Hudson Institute official who prepared the draft for the project on assignment from Kahn and Goyer, does not see the two as separate. "The Athabasca alternative would have the advantage of providing a concrete focal point for cooperation between the major developed countries of the world, which would counteract the current secular trend to a further breakdown of OECD, NATO and the European Economic Community." Fedoruk, in an interview, was insistent that the initial massive outlay of labor could be provided by South Koreans. "But as far as I'm concerned, it could be the Portugese or anyone else." That last reference is obviously to the Canadian workers who are already being profiled for resettlement potential in British Columbia. Fedoruk assumed that Canadian Labour Unions could be bought off, as the immigrant slave labor would pay dues and pension fund contributions. In any case the array of forces behind the Athabasca project indicates the nature of the operation. The Syncrude consortium which has been formed to finance the deal is controlled by Rockefeller through Imperial Oil and Atlantic Richfield. The major Canadian traitors who are pushing the deal are efficiently identified by their connection to the oil interests. For example, Eldon Wooliams of Calgary North has been the main voice behind this fascist project in the House. Peter Lougheed, Premier of Alberta, has been one of the prime movers of the Syncrude consortium. His voice has been among the loudest of those demanding tax concessions for oil developers in the province. His brother, D.D. Lougheed, is vice-president of Rockefeller's Imperial Oil Company.

The Case of Quebec

Athabasca represents the future for Canada if the present of Quebec is allowed to continue. That unfortunate province has been prepared over the last decade as the Ulster of North America. The purpose of

Rockefeller's program in Quebec has been twofold: to perpetuate the division among French and English-speaking Canadians such that no unified opposition to the kind of plan outlined above can emerge and to create the advanced base for military operations against the working class of North America as a whole. It will be noted in this regard that the sea-lane access route to Canada's industrial "Golden Horseshoe" region, as well as America's midwest heartland, are straddled by the province. A major part of the world's grain and fertilizer supplies produced in the Canadian and U.S. West are shipped out through the St. Lawrence seaway. In addition, power and energy supplies of the Eastern Seaboard of the U.S. and the Quebec province have been interlocked under Rockefeller control.

Quebec bears all the hallmarks of the kind of operation British intelligence forces have waged so successfully in Northern Ireland. The population has been divided against itself. Politics has come to be defined by the agents of the CIA as either the bomb of the terrorist or the armed intervention of the military.

There is considerable evidence to the effect that the entire province of Quebec is a premeditated set-up. From the role of the FLQ, to the activity of the trade unions, to the terrorist acts of sabotage, to psychological warfare, all these serve as excuses for military interventions. As in Northern Ireland, the only party that will benefit from a situation of orchestrated rounds of chaos and confusion will be the military. There is no doubt about the significance of the present chaos in transportation and communications from the standpoint of the military, i.e., food and communications networks will be administered by the military and used to resettle the population. In this way, resettlement programs which are being pioneered through the James Bay and Churchill Falls projects will be brought to fruition as the army relocates the worker population into the barren northern hinterlands of Quebec, Labrador and Newfoundland.

At present the whole province is in a state of tension following Operation Volcan, the recent police and military "search and seal" operations mounted in the outskirts of Montreal. The 12th Armored Regiment stands poised to move if there is any escalation of the situation of unrest in the labor movement, particularly around the May Day demonstration called by the Common Front.

The situation should be seriously and competently investigated by members of Parliament in Ottawa. There is serious evidence to suggest that the situation developing in Quebec has been actually fomented by the military in line with Rockefeller's conspiracy against the elected governments of Western Europe, the United States and Canada. Consider the context in which such maneuvers by the military have taken place. For example: the disappearance of tens of thousands of Canadian Pacific and Canadian National boxcars has actually been engineered to provoke the kind of disaster area like Thunder Bay, where cattle die of starvation while the grain earmarked as their feed rots in elevators in the West. Such a disaster, according to remarks made by Lt. Gen. Dare of the RCMP Security Section, is adequate pretext for military control of the area. Yet there is no boxcar shortage, contrary to press reports. Boxcars have simply been shunted to all sections of the U.S. and Canada and stand empty while grains rot. Canadian Pacific, which is responsible for this situation is firmly under the thumb of the Rockefeller cabal. Its managing Director, Ian D. Sinclair, sits on the

international advisory board of Chase Manhattan Bank.

During the third week of March a series of incendiary acts of sabotage at Dam LG-2 of the James Bay Hydroelectric project resulted in intervention by squads of police and crack riot troops. This initial intervention has subsequently been subsumed by Operation Volcan and Operation Neatpitch. Several indicators suggest that this was not the simple act of destruction by a rioting work force it was supposed to be. Initially, news of the incident was blacked out as workers were maintained in isolation and at Matagami, but it was established that the actual violence was coordinated by provincial riot troops. For several weeks prior to the outbreak the work force had been subjected to increasing psychological pressure by the employer, Bechtel. Everything was done to increase friction between members of the CNTU, the QFL and non-union U.S. workers who had been imported onto the site. It will be remembered that these are the two construction unions which have raided each other for years, and were responsible for the break-up of the Common Front in Quebec in 1972.

Bechtel itself has a history of such sabotage. In the U.S. it has led the way in formulating the policies and institutions which have been responsible for breaking the construction unions. Its President, S.D. Bechtel, is a member of Dunlop's Cost of Living Council. Three weeks after the James Bay sabotage there was a not coincidental sabotage attack near another Bechtel construction site in Midland, Michigan.

Bechtel has grown and prospered on CIA-Rockefeller contracts. At this moment it holds a virtual monopoly on the construction of fast-breeder nuclear fission power plants in the U.S. Former Chief of the CIA, John McCone, has sat on the Bechtel board and is a long-time associate of S.D. Bechtel, Sr. It is significant in this regard, and a subject for investigation, that this company was offered the tender for the James Bay project without the usual formality of any competitor. Bechtel's close ties to the Rockefeller circles and his long years of union-busting in the service of Rockefeller provide the clue to this situation. The James Bay project is itself the creation of the Rockefeller financial empire, through Brinco, Rothschilds of London, Morgan and Chase Manhattan — Bechtel's bankers.

The third element in this constellation was to have been provided by the CIA-provoked strike of Seafarers International Union. Had this strike materialized, with the resultant paralysis of water communications, at a time of severe manufactured disruption of rail traffic and mounting military activity, the consequences are not difficult to imagine. The set-up in this instance can easily be deduced by the fact that only members of the unions who were then ashore were able to take part in the strike vote. Disruption of supply lines has instead been assured by the pilots' strike.

The conjuncture of all these events with the presence of the National Emergency Planning Establishment combines all the ingredients for rapid intervention by the military. Quebec and its population have been set up. Precisely the man who has been behind the dealings with Rockefeller forces over the Athabasca sands project, Pierre Trudeau, is again responsible for this situation. There is evidence to show that since 1963 Trudeau has been responsible for creating the conditions in which such moves can be implemented, and that since at least 1967 he had been collaborating with the U.S. Central Intelligence Agency.

Trudeau himself was responsible for the political

education of Vallieres and Gagnon, the two leaders of the FLQ. They joined his staff on the **Cite Libre** before he left Quebec for Ottawa in 1963. Trudeau reportedly was greatly impressed by these two men and ensured their promotion to the editorial board after his departure in 1963. They then went on to found the terrorist manual **La Cogne** in 1965 and achieved intellectual hegemony over the disparate elements of the terrorist movement.

That movement itself bears all the marks of a CIA-infiltrated countergang, absorbing and destroying ferment and creating the conditions for escalation in military intervention and capabilities. The FLQ has conveniently been the scapegoat for all such developments since 1965. Significant evidence in this regard is provided by the CBS television disclosures of Jacques Ferron last summer. He alleged at the time that the entire 1970 emergency was coordinated between the

CIA, the Canadian Federal government and the Quebec provincial state police, as well as the FLQ, to provoke the repression. This story tends to confirm Bain's December 1971 revelations in the **Toronto Globe and Mail** that a secret ministerial meeting had taken place on May 7, 1970 to discuss implementation of the War Measures Act. IPS ongoing investigation into the Patricia Hearst kidnapping case and the development of the Provisional IRA countergang has already demonstrated that this is the usual modus operandi of counterinsurgency forces at present. It is particularly noteworthy that the CIA-funded Project Camelot in 1967 deeply studied the history of the FLQ and evaluated its future prospects. At that time, the CIA considered Quebec to be the future hot spot of North America. Project Camelot was simultaneously implemented in Chile for similar reasons.

PREAMBLE* TO ENERGY POLICY STATEMENT

Circulated to Participants in the United Nations Raw Materials Conference

The major difficulty now confronting all nations in respect to industrial development and the well-being of their populations is that a group of interlocking "multinational" interests, effectively beyond remedies of control by any one government, has wilfully imposed a "Malthusian crisis" of shortages and de facto technological stagnation upon the masses of the entire world population.

These same "multinationals" have falsely represented the world's problems in terms of the need to ration out short supplies of raw materials and food. Contrary to that view, given adequate per capita ratios of usable energy, and the determination to rationally apply such energy supplies, there need be no shortage of raw materials nor of food production for the foreseeable future. In that view, the establishment of an appropriate world energy resources development policy is the proper basis for rational approaches to all other developmental issues, including raw materials development, prices and distributions.

The key to solving all these interlocked problems is uniquely located in the immediate adoption of a "brute force" development of **controlled thermonuclear fusion power and technology**. The necessary scale of such an undertaking demands international cooperation among developed and developing nations. This cooperation in development would be prelude to the equitable access by all nations to the new technology thus established.

Under the terms of such an adopted program, the participating nations would enjoy a rational basis for design and implementation of special short-term programs concerning energy use, raw materials, and development. These programs would obviously be designed to span the period of transition up to the point

that each phase of realization of benefits from the thermonuclear program could provide the alternatives of a qualitative step upwards in general technology.

During this same period of transition, the cooperating nations must provide one another mutual support to the end of frustrating the lawless manipulations of stocks and prices of energy and other raw materials by internationals. A related set of remedies must be provided for those developing countries whose further development is significantly attenuated or even aborted by accumulations of foreign public and private debt-service obligations. The impoverishment of a developing nation, an impoverishment which is essentially a symptom of underdevelopment, must not be permitted to become a pretext to obstruct the flow of needed development aid.

Since these policies directly represent the most urgent interests of the overwhelming majority of humanity, wherever such policies conflict with the formal rights and self-interests of the "multinationals," such rights and self-interests must promptly yield, even to the point of extinction.

by
National Caucus of Labor Committees [USA]
New York, N.Y.

April 21, 1974

* Next week's packet from IPS will feature the full NCLC resolution presented at the United Nations, April 21.