

waste dump near the town of Gorleben (causing great rejoicing among the "greenies" known to operate out of the cellar of Count von Bernstorff's castle).

* The Hamburg weekly *Die Zeit*—whose editor is Countess Marion von Dönhoff—published a letter from Rainer von dem Bussche pleading for the inclusion in state and federal parliament of the environmentalist "citizens initiative" groups, in order to fight against the "autocracy and uncontrollability of the government."

* Friedrich Freiherr von Westphalen, Chairman of the Association of German Forest Owners, wrote in the newspaper *Rheinische Merkur* that West German conservatives must become more environmentally minded, and must follow the example of Erhard Eppler, a Social Democratic associate of Willy Brandt, in conducting a "reevaluation of values," such as industrial growth and nuclear energy.

* Otto von Habsburg himself has praised Willy Brandt for his opposition to Schmidt's nuclear policies. Brandt recently stated that the antinuclear groups "can do a lot of good," but it would be even better if the Social Democratic Party as a whole adopted their policies.

The Vatican and the Grand Design

The fact that last week's meeting in Paris was kicked off with a mass from Archbishop Lefebvre is a significant indicator of the aristocrats' attitude toward the ascendant humanist faction within the Vatican, at present a crucial international mover of the Grand

Design. At the Paris meeting, Lefebvre accused the Vatican of having been "infiltrated by Freemasons" and of being under the influence of the Devil. Otto von Habsburg agreed, stating that the Vatican "cannot represent Christianity because it is implicated in the Ostpolitik" (i.e., Italian development deals with the Soviet Union in coordination with the Schmidt-Brezhnev economic treaty signed in May).

Responding to these and other attacks, Pope Paul VI sternly warned Lefebvre that "the moment of truth has come": he must either recant or else he will be excommunicated. The West German left-liberal daily, *Frankfurter Rundschau*, however, has strongly advised the Pope instead to "negotiate" with such "dissident elements."

It is hardly coincidental, therefore, that Willy Brandt is including the Vatican in his tour of European capitals to begin this week. Brandt will be trying to pry European leaders away from the Grand Design in favor of the "common fund" and "commodity stabilization" hoaxes put forward by the World Bank's "Brandt Commission." Before departing, Brandt pleaded that he would stop criticizing Eastern European countries for their inadequate development aid to the Third World, if only they would join his commission.

The feudal aristocrats have indeed become so desperate that they have dragged out some big antihumanist philosophical artillery: Sir Karl Popper, the Vienna-born, London-based chief spokesman of the

Vatican Takes Aim At The British Monarchy

In a bold attempt to quarantine the British oligarchical forces who are set to demand full allegiance to their aims at the Bonn Summit, the Vatican has taken aim at the heart of the beast: the British monarchy itself. Representing a key strand of the international humanist alignment, the Vatican has issued a pointed attack on the "outlaw" nature of British policy which has historically attempted to subvert motion toward worldwide progress and development.

The Most Reverend Thomas Winning, Catholic Archbishop of Glasgow, Scotland — and the Vatican's representative in Britain — has issued almost daily polemics against Prince Charles, the heir apparent to the British throne, since Prince Charles's public denunciation of the Pope last weekend.

The ostensible issue which provoked Charles's peevish attack was the Pope's refusal to bless the marriage of Anglican Prince Michael, the Queen's cousin, to Baroness Marie-Christine von Reibnitz, a Catholic divorcée and a member of the Austrian aristocracy. The Pope's refusal to allow this oligarchical alliance to take place in a Catholic Church prompted an immediate outburst from the British heir, who told a Salvation Army gathering

that for the Pope to meddle in such epistemological matters was "worse than folly" and that Christianity should not bog itself down with "academical or theological concerns."

Immediately retaliating, Archbishop Winning declared himself "outraged" at Charles's slanders and challenged the prince to put his "own house in order." In a BBC interview on Sunday, July 2, the Archbishop sharpened his attack on the monarchy, calling for a repeal of the laws of succession which bars Catholics from holding the throne of England. In an age of equality, justice, and human rights, said Winning, "I feel that the law of succession is rather anachronistic." He was referring to a 1701 law that ensured that the British crown could only pass to descendants of the Protestant Houses of Orange and Hanover.

The Archbishop's barbs were explicitly directed at the Anglo-Dutch oligarchical networks which to this day still wield extensive political control in Europe, in opposition to the forces representing a higher morality of reason and humanism. It is on precisely this account that the Archbishop raised the question of Prince Charles's "woolly" brand of Christianity, which makes no distinction between good and evil, right and wrong — except as it suits the British crown.