'Separate peace'— Short fuse to global war?

Camp David triggers destabilizations, genocide

Days after the announcement of a separate peace agreement between Egypt and Israel reached at the Camp David "summit," the message that Washington will follow Israel and its backers into war and genocide has been read around the world as a signal for new massacres and confrontation postures.

It is widely acknowledged that the shaky "overall peace framework" signed by Egypt's Sadat, Israel's Begin, and U.S. President Carter last week was merely the fig leaf for Carter's and Sadat's capitulation to what has been Israel's policy objective all along: the conclusion of a separate peace with Egypt leaving the way clear for Israel's aggressive plans towards her other Arab neighbors. The Zionist triumph at Camp David has set off an anticommunist, anti-Saudi Arabia warlike organizing drive inside both Egypt and Israel, hastening the impetus to a Middle East conflict that could spark World War III between the United States and the Soviet Union.

Moreover, the same Israeli butchers who collaborated with the Nazi Falange for massacres in Lebanon have sent their cluster bombs and personnel to incite similar carnage in Latin America. The slaughter of thousands of civilians by the Somoza regime in Nicaragua is being run under British direction and logistically aided by Israeli intelligence and armed forces.

Thirdly, Israel's fascist allies

within South Africa have pulled a virtual coup in that country, reversing the South Africa negotiating stance with the United Nations on Namibia, and thus threatening to carry through invasions of neighboring black states such as Angola — threats the Angolan government broadcast last week.

Arabs Nix Camp David

The Camp David "overall framework for Middle East peace" has already unraveled. The Arab countries have universally rejected the accords, while a euphoric Prime Minister Begin has torpedoed the U.S. Administration's ability to sell the package to Arab countries by publicly reneging on agreements to ban new Israeli settlements on the West Bank. U.S. Secretary of State Cyrus Vance, attempting to maneuver Jordan into the Camp David framework, was coolly received in the conservative Arab states of Jordan and Saudi Arabia. and told not to come to Syria by President Assad.

A post-Camp David meeting of Arab Rejection Front states in Damascus resulted in the unprecedented deployment of "radicals" Palestine Liberation Organization head Yassir Arafat and Libyan President Qaddafi to Jordan to meet with King Hussein, clearly in an attempt to pull together a unified Arab front against Sadat's separate peace.

In a strong speech reported by Radio Moscow, Soviet President Brezhnev denounced "behind-thescenes" separate deals that can only reward the aggressor (Israel) and make the Middle East still more explosive. Brezhnev's denunciation of the "thick-headed imperialist circles" involved will be read in knowledgeable quarters as a renewed warning that the already public plans to use Camp David as an opening to impose a NATO-style, anti-Soviet "Middle East Treaty Organization" on the region — or even to move NATO in directly — will not be tolerated by the Soviets.

South Africa, Latin America

Informed Washington sources report that the Sept. 20 South African cabinet decision to continue plans to hold their own "elections" in Namibia, rejecting the United Nations plans for peaceful transition to independence in that country, came after "hardline" factions in the ruling Nationalist Party concluded that the U.S. Buckleyite right wing and such Zionist lobby leaders as Senator Jacob Javits (R-NY) would prevent the Carter Administration from pressuring South Africa to return to the UN plan. The hard-liners, who also engineered the resignation of the more moderate Prime Minister Vorster, favor an intransigent policy towards black Africa, in a dangerous parallel to the murderous raids of Rhodesia's Smith government into neighboring Mozambique.

Similarly, the Nicaragua atrocities (see THIRD WORLD) are

being given tacit legitimacy by the United States' maintenance of diplomatic relations with the British-nurtured, illegal Somoza dynasty. Another Israeli heavy armaments customer, Chile, is meanwhile being primed for war with its neighbor Argentina over a dispute over three islands, as well as with Bolivia and Peru to the north.

The IMF and Israel

These international repercussions of the Camp David debacle are by no means coincidental. The current Israeli government of Begin, Dayan and Weizmann and their U.S. counterparts such as Zbigniew Brzezinski are backed and controlled by a global power structure centered in the British monarchy and its European "black aristocracy," which extends its tentacles worldwide through the international Zionist lobby, City of London financial institutions and their outgrowth, the International Monetary Fund and World Bank.

For this faction, Jimmy Carter's exuberant announcement of success at Camp David signaled a U.S. commitment to the policies of economic genocide of the IMF, and to headlong confrontation with the Soviet Union. It was hardly coincidental that Javits on Sept. 21 brought out a proposal to upgrade the IMF and World Bank into an institution with powers to police international austerity as the "alternative" to the new, development-oriented European Monetary System.

The outcome, exactly paralleling the effects of the British-engineered Munich Pact of 40 years ago, will be to hasten the advent of World War III. The alternative is a pronounced shift in U.S. policy against the Zionists in the immediate period ahead.

-Nora Hamerman

New muscle behind the EMS

Counter warhawks with detente, development

It was hardly accidental that Senator Jacob Javits, the Zionist lobby heavyweight who has claimed credit for getting the disastrous "Camp David process" started in the first place (see INTERNATIONAL), took time off from saluting the outcome of the war summit to attack the new European Monetary System.

Speaking last week before the House International Relations Subcommittee on International Economic Policy, Javits dismissed the Bonn meeting that formalized the EMS as an "interesting" event that "didn't do anything." "There was no agreement to do something together," he said of the meeting where European and Japanese leaders — over the shrill objections of Her Majesty's British government - agreed on the monetary framework for global technologybased development. Javits had an "alternate proposal": a billiondollar "development fund" managed by a new credit institution -"a merger of the World Bank and the International Monetary Fund."

Javits's proposal neatly sums up the broader "political economy" of the Camp David coup and the strategy behind it. The Zionist lobbyists, the Brzezinski confrontation-mongers, the World Bank-IMF austerity hawks are united on a common program. But what is impelling them forward is the daily increasing toughness and political muscle of their opponents, who are pushing ahead with the EMS and its "Grand Design" program for international economic expansion.

As our EUROPE section reports, West German Chancellor Schmidt and French President Giscard astounded their British (and other) enemies by bringing off European Community approval of the specifics of the EMS months before

Britain had thought it possible. Then last week Schmidt, speaking before West Germany's parliament, blasted Zbigniew Brzezinski for "insulting" West Germany with charges of "self-Finlandization" in reference to West Germany's efforts to increase economic and political cooperation with the Soviets. Schmidt continued with the reminder that detente has been and remains inextricably linked with the success of the EMS initiative. "If the European Community falls, ... the detente policy falls," he said, "and who is willing to live with that

Just days before Mexican Finance Minister Ibarra told a gathering of IMF governors that the Fund and the World Bank should be overhauled and renamed as a true "international development bank." Ibarra's statement, which will be widely read as a reference to U.S. Labor Party chairman Lyndon H. LaRouche's International Development Bank proposal that was crucial in shaping Europe's EMS policy, was accompanied the same day by Mexican President López Portillo's broadcast comments on his "Grand Design" for development.

Even in the United States itself. there are hopeful signs that the political wasteland is beginning to come alive. Senator Adlai Stevenson III has come out for a "new world monetary fund" to replace the IMF and its austerity policies (see U.S. REPORT), and amid the initial manic euphoria over the Camp David results soberer voices are being raised in alarm over the danger of war. The world is still waiting, however, for these hopeful signs to develop into a full-blown political reality ready to successfully challenge Javits, Brzezinski, and the rest.