

Kennedy, Haig and the threat of war

When the Camp David accords were announced last fall the Anglophile U.S. press was unanimous in proclaiming the event as a stupendous political victory for President Carter that ensured his Democratic Party renomination and probable election victory in 1980.

Yet barely had the delegates arrived for the mid-term Democratic convention in Memphis last weekend when the *Washington Post*, *Christian Science Monitor* and Rupert Murdoch's Fleet Street USA operations all but announced that Sen. Ted Kennedy could have the Democratic nomination for the asking, and Kennedy himself delivered a demagogic tub-thumping attack on Carter Administration budget and economic policies in an open bid to become the party's top dog.

This publication, which had consistently forecast a Kennedy deployment against Carter when others including the White House, were characterizing Teddy as "the President's most loyal supporter in the Senate," was right and the skeptics were wrong.

No crystal-ball gazing was required at *EIR* to produce this scoop; it sufficed merely to identify the Kennedy machine, as we had, as the creature of the British Secret Intelligence Service networks operating in the United States to understand that when British international political-financial interests were gravely threatened, Kennedy and the Anglo-Zionist Lobby would be hurled against the U.S. President.

What happened between Camp David and the present moment was no dramatic shift in the domestic U.S. political climate, but the decision to stabilize the dollar and the official creation in early December of the new European Monetary System

despite the months-long efforts by the City of London to wreck it before it got off the ground. With these developments came the first signs of real approval from the Carter Administration for the Schmidt-Giscard war-avoidance economic development package, and prospects for the speedy conclusion of a SALT II agreement and thaw in U.S. relations with the Soviet Union.

Therefore the British acted using their remaining intact capabilities, among them Sen. Kennedy and his cohorts in the Zionist Lobby. While British SIS moved to manufacture as quickly as possible international crisis in the Middle East and Persian Gulf, a Kennedy drive for the Presidency was launched to split the Democratic Party. The Kennedy operation was facilitated by the Carter Administration itself, which foolishly handed the Kennedy forces their opening by adopting a "fiscal austerity" model for the 1980 domestic budget, while pledging real dollar defense increases for a major arms buildup for NATO.

Kennedy declared open season on Carter by taking aim at this "guns not butter" budget target. Without the slightest pretense of offering a viable economic alternative (other than slave labor jobs programs straight out of Hitler Finance Minister Hjalmar Schacht's old notebooks) Kennedy delivered a tirade which included a line-by-line attack on sections of the preliminary budget. This he prefaced by joking that "I am always addressing Democratic Party conferences but it's always the wrong year."

The Kennedy clique went wild over this unofficial announcement that Kennedy was at last shooting for the top spot, his subsequent modest disclaimers to the contrary.

"There could be few more divisive issues for America and for our party than a Democratic policy of drastic slashes in the Federal budget at the expense of the elderly, the poor, the black, the sick, the cities and the unemployed," Kennedy continued, while vigorously attacking defense spending as inflationary. But while Kennedy was posing as the "peace candidate," securing the support of 40 percent of the convention delegates against the White House budget resolution, numerous top Zionist Lobby operatives were describing to interviewers their alliance with "friend of Israel" Ted Kennedy in a situation in which, as one put it, "We need an international crisis now to stop SALT." Another flatly predicted the collapse of Camp David and a new Mideast war. (See below for text of these interviews.)

But other Zionist spokesmen made

THE STUDY OF POLITICAL SEANCE

In the midst of a burst of oratory celebrating the Democratic Party's ability to "cut the budget with compassion," Vice-President Walter Mondale suddenly paused, perhaps remembering the days when the Humphrey-Hawkins slave labor jobs programs were not so out-of-fashion.

"And let's not forget that great American Hubert Humphrey," Mondale intoned. "This is the first Democratic Party convention Hubert Humphrey has missed since his death."

Perhaps Hubert's medium has lost the message.

it unmistakably clear that the littlest Kennedy was simply being used as a stalking horse to split the Democrats, opening the way for a more committed British lunatic wearing Republican Party livery who would not back away during a new Cuban crisis — NATO commander in chief General Alexander Haig was the preferred candidate cited.

In a press release issued Dec. 13, U.S. Labor Party chairman Lyndon H. LaRouche, Jr. revealed that "the proverbial boys in the back room have decided on the ... candidates for the 1980 elections. At this moment, Senator Edward Kennedy will secure the Democratic nomination and will lose to Republican nominee General Haig."

"General Haig's election would mean general thermonuclear war," LaRouche stated. "The danger with Haig is not that he is a military professional, but that this former Henry Kissinger officeboy is professionally unfit to command a squad on latrine duty. The fight between West German Defense Minister Hans Apel and NATO Secretary General Joseph Luns has been in large part a result of professional military strata's horror of Haig's lunatic quality of military-command incompetence."

LaRouche went on to identify Haig as "an agent of the British queen," picked up and trained by the same former Defense Department official Fritz Kraemer and related networks who manufacture and deploy Kissinger and James R. Schlesinger.

Zionist lobby boasts 'We back Kennedy's war against Carter'

In exclusive interviews made available to this Review last week, Zionist Lobby spokesmen explained how they plan to use Sen. Edward Kennedy's challenge to President Carter as an integral part of their geopolitical designs for new Mideast wars and a thermonuclear showdown with the Soviet Union. Excerpts follow.

An aide to REP. STEVE SOLARZ (D-N.Y.), member of the House International Relations Committee:

Solarz has sent a letter to President Carter demanding that he support a multi-billion dollar Marshall Plan for the Middle East as part of the Camp David accords; 16 other members of the International Relations Committee have signed also. Frank Church (D-Idaho)

thing in the Senate. Carter is a cheap S.O.B. We're demanding a public commitment to a \$5 billion aid package in the first year, most of it for military aid to Israel . . . Carter and his crowd are real skinflints . . . it's that Georgia crowd. They've declared war on the left and they won't shell out for Israel either.

Well now Kennedy has declared war on Carter, and we're supporting

him. Kennedy is a great friend of Israel. Sen. Henry Jackson (D-Wash.)

(D-N.Y.)

Presidents, but they can't win. But Kennedy can, and it's war — Carter's firing friends of Israel, friends of labor and the poor. We've got to back Kennedy to force Carter to shell out for Israel, which is the key to the defense of the free world against Communism. They have to get air bases and billions for the military or the Camp David deal is off. We trust Kennedy — he'll stand behind Israel.

JAY LOVESTONE of the International Affairs Department of the International Ladies Garment Workers Union and a foreign affairs advisor to the AFL-CIO:

The key to the international situation is Iran. Israel won't be able to get any oil if the Shah falls. Then we can't pull out of the Sinai, Camp David's off — then it's war.

The Communists are behind the trouble in Iran . . . It's part of a Soviet plot to get control of the Mideast and then the world. Grab one country at a time, that's how the Soviet Union operates. It's an old thing that goes back to the 1920s; its

Two seminars on what U.S. business needs to know

**Doing Business
in 1979—**

**The European
Monetary System
and Mexican Oil**

featuring **Fernando Quijano**, Editor-in-Chief and
Criton Zoakos, Contributing Editor,
Executive Intelligence Review

New York, January 11

• Thursday, 2:00 PM
Madison Room, New York Hilton Hotel

Washington D.C., January 31

• Wednesday, 2:00 PM
Dolly Madison Room, Madison Hotel

Seminar information requests should be sent to:
Executive Intelligence Review
c/o New Solidarity International Press Service
P.O. Box 1922 GPO New York, N.Y. 10001
\$25 registration fee (212) 563-8600

Sponsored by the Executive Intelligence Review