U.S. REPORT

Lyndon LaRouche declares for

'Break U.S. - London tie, reorient to Bonn - Paris EMS to stop

U.S. Labor Party Chairman Lyndon H. LaRouche, Jr., the intellectual architect of the European Monetary System and the most qualified economist in the world to bring the U.S. into the EMS's global development plans, declared his candidacy for the presidency in 1980 in Washington, D.C. on Jan. 15.

Speaking at 2:30 p.m. to a small press conference with international representation, and in the evening to a group of 130 invited guests, LaRouche explained in precise terms why he is running. "The fundamental decision before us is whether the U.S. continues to maintain its special relationship with Great Britain, or whether the government reconfigures its policy around the alliance between Paris and Bonn," LaRouche said. "If we stick with London, as Brzezinski wants, there will be depression. and perhaps world war — at least limited regional war, a new Dark Age. If we join the Paris-Bonn configuration of power, as sections of the State Department are leaning toward, these horrors will not occur."

"The Foreign Ministry of a well-known government told us today that they had no option but to support LaRouche," the candidate continued. "In their view, the difference between Haig and Carter was the difference between a quick and slow journey to world war."

"Thus, my candidacy has two functions," LaRouche explained. "The first is to get someone into the White House in 1981 who will lay the foundation for a century of prosperity to come, prosperity already presaged in the seed crystal of a new monetary system called the EMS. If I have been killed by the Queen, we must have educated someone to replace me in that task. The second, and subsumed object, is to make sure that we have a nation in 1981. And that means my functioning as a complete spoiler of London's Kennedy and Haig."

Context of Denger

"The U.S. is facing the most profound and decisive crisis in two centuries," LaRouche said in his evening address. "An EMS has been established that is not just an EMS, but a dedication to building a new monetary system over the next two years. This EMS, the same system specified by me in my International Development Bank proposal, will go through, if there is a world to bring it into being in."

"That's the problem. The nitwits running U.S. military strategic posture have ensured that the U.S. would lose a world war. And these same nitwits are maintaining a special relationship with Britain that will lead to that war."

LaRouche made it clear throughout his entire presentation that, despite significant steps taken by the EMS nations, and

supported by the USSR, to stop the Iran crisis that the world situation was deteriorating toward confrontation.

In addition to their drive for thermonuclear confrontation along Brzezinski's arc of crisis, the Queen's men have escalated their assassination threat against LaRouche himself.

LaRouche's appearance in Washington — his first public appearance in five months due to assassination deployments — was accompanied by an ID format slander in the Washington Post designed to further the isolation of LaRouche and thus set up the climate for assassination. Patrick Brogan, a correspondent of the Times of London, authored the slander of LaRouche, which called him a "cult leader."

"I doubt that such purity of motive should be extended to cult leaders, like Jim Jones, Sun Myung Moon, those ridiculous Indian gurus or Lyndon LaRouche," wrote Brogan. "This last directs the National Caucus of Labor Committees and his followers, as fanatical as any Moonie, believe in his vision of a world conspiracy directed by the Rockefellers which controls everything from the SLA to the PLO by way of the White House."

Such a slander is a common ploy by British intelligence to try to discredit the Labor Party and justify a hit as a "crazy cult," rather than a political assassination. Such a hit would be the trigger for the same kind of nation-rending terror that British intelligence has deployed against Italy for ten years, and thus for the onset of a "New Dark Ages" of savagery throughout the U.S.

Culture Shock Needed

"This country needs a culture shock," argued LaRouche. "That is why I'm asking foreign leaders to take steps, within the limits of discretion, to indirectly endorse my candidacy. They know I'm the only candidate with a policy they can live with. Their action is what's needed to break apart the stinking institutions of the U.S. which now smother the commitment of a full three-quarters of the American adult population to the principle of industrial progress.

"Without a culture shock the U.S. citizenry will once again adapt to practical politics." Circles in the New York Council on Foreign Relations will choose Kennedy and Haig for the Democratic and Republican parties, respectively, and about May of 1980, the average American voter would begin to debate who's the lesser evil. Aided by the controlled press—especially the TV stations, which are dominated by the Queen's Knights of St. John of Jerusalem—they will ignore policies that compare with their rational interests and decide to go with

presidency

war'

the institutions — in this case Haig — which will be successful. 'The interest of the nation? Oh, that's theoretical!' The U.S. citizens ignore it and act like a bunch of sheep. That tells you what the secret of real politics is — making the masses stop bleating!

"The appropriate shock we need to stop the bleating is already in preparation," LaRouche continued. "The U.S. is now in a state of shock. First, it is on the brink of thermonuclear war — closer, in the words of high West German officials, than during the Cuban missile crisis. Second, the U.S. is on the brink of a depression. Internationally, the U.S. has been discredited in the eyes of every major nation in the world! Nationally, we face a situation in which 25-30 percent of our youth has been morally destroyed — and in which, if the trend continues over the next two years, there will be no next generation.

"To these negative elements of culture shock," LaRouche added, "we need to add a positive alternative. We have got the \$30 billion package of support for the dollar that was forced by the Europeans — the only thing that's kept the dollar above 1.50 deutschemark over the past two months. In the EMS we also have the practical alternative in motion. If we get the appropriate support from Europe — that is, if the European governments say that my policy is what is required — then the combined effect of the shocks will split the current parties. That is the way — through shock — that institutions of many years split. In this case, major sections of the two parties will endorse my campaign, or they will split apart, or the United States is dead."

American System vs. the Dark Ages

"Let me give you an example," LaRouche went on. "What is Kissinger? What are Brzezinski? (after all, plural is appropriate for a schizophrenic, isn't it?) They are both representatives of London's policies, the policies of Chatham House, of Bertie Russell and his evil policy of a new Dark Ages.

"The issue is between these policies and the American System.

"The American System, as defined by Alexander Hamilton, is a dedication to the development of the productive powers of labor, to putting education and the tools of technology together for the advancement of the population. With this system, over one or two generations, we can lift every nation in the world to a level above the current standard of living in the advanced sector.

"FDR, during the period of Casablanca, proposed a Sahel

project which would go from the Atlantic to the Indian Ocean, and using the method of technology transfer, would make it one of the most productive areas of the world. Ike in his own way proposed a program long the lines of the American System with his Atoms for Peace program. Atoms for Peace was based on the correct judgment that energy, especially nuclear energy, was the necessary spearhead for any development in the developing sector. Such development was also the condition for Middle East peace.

"But Israel, Kissinger, and Brzezinski are puppets of London and London does not want peace.

"What London wants is shown in the Kissinger scenarios for the Second War of the Pacific," LaRouche said, "a scenario put together by the evil Einaudi family and the British Rand Corporation for war between Bolivia, Peru, and Chile over the supposed issue of Bolivia's access to the sea. It is shown in the Kissinger scenarios for Africa, where first a white-against-black, then a black-against-black conflict is supposed to plunge the continent into decades of war.

WhatIs Kissinger Policy?

"The Bernard Lewis scenario for the Middle East — the scenario that calls for the splitting of Lebanon into three parts, the partition of Pakistan, Turkey, Iran, Afghanistan, and the creation of a half a dozen new little tribal states in the area — is the scenario Kissinger carried out in his Middle East policy. The massacre of more than three and a half million people by the Chinese-British pedigreed Cambodian regime of Pol Pothead was the intended outcome of London policies, implemented by Kissinger et al.

"What is Kissinger policy?" asked LaRouche. "It is not the American policy of going into a country to weaken the opponents of America, and install a strengthened regime that will collaborate with America over the next 20 years or so. No, Kissinger's policy for Third World intervention is destruction, destruction for the purpose of destruction!

"This is the policy, the Bertrand Russell New Dark Ages policy, that was adopted by the British in the late 19th century, and took final shape in the 1920s. The British saw then that if the hunger for following the tremendous industrial progress being carried out in Japan, the U.S., and so forth infected the entire world, that these nations would form an alliance for technological progress on a world scale that would wipe the British out. So they then adopted two objectives:

1. Destroy Russia — if Russia with its vast popular and mineral resources could be taken out of any potential alliance,

that would cripple the opposition. Secondarily, the aim was to destroy the United States. This is the key to all Britain's geopolitics.

2. Impose the New Dark Ages, by inciting wars, up to and including the risk of thermonuclear war, that would wipe out the possibilities of scientific progress and challenge to the British oligarchy for centuries to come."

The Kennedy Death Plan

"Kennedy is also a representative of the New Dark Ages," explained LaRouche. "Take his prize program, the Kennedy Health Plan. In reality, it's a death plan. It runs on the thievery and the conviction of the British health system. 'You're indulging the people,' the British exclaim to their American counterparts. 'You're using too much surgery, too many expensive machines, you're saving too many lives'!

"The Kennedy death plan is an insurance plan. Well, guess what insurance companies are drooling to pick up this plan. The Sun Insurance Companies of Ontario — the very same financial interests who are linked to Kennedy family financial interests!

"This is corruption, but it goes further. Coordinating with Kennedy are Lazard Freres and their representative Felix Rohatyn with the complementary policy — municipal fiscal austerity, better known by its accurate name genocide. While Kennedy is selling health insurance, Rohatyn will be closing down the municipal hospitals and driving doctors out of business with artificially high malpractice insurance. When there are no hospitals in which to get your operation, how will you use your insurance? Who will keep the money?"

Some People with Courage

"The American people need some people who will certify that this candidate is correct," LaRouche concluded. "They need this shock to make sure that America gets rid of everything that smells like Kissinger and Kennedy, and to reorient American policy toward Bonn and Paris. Then we can move ahead toward the White House in 1981."

Besides Labor Party members from Baltimore, Washington, Philadelphia, New Jersey and New York, the heaviest representation was from universities and the Republican Party. Republican attendees included three former Congressional candidates, two Washington, D.C. central committee members and one nationally known Republican. A telegram of support for LaRouche's role in raising the appropriate issues in the 1980 race was received from a member of the Republican National Committee.

The event was also attended by several independent businessmen, a number of Muslims, some government workers, and one union leader. Telegrams came from fraternal Labor Parties in Mexico, South America, and Europe, as well as from other prominent Republicans, businessmen, Midwest labor leaders, and one national black organization.

Debra Hanania-Freeman, Congresswoman-elect and contestant to the 7th Congressional District, concluded the evening with a short report on the fight to unseat vote-fraud perpetrator Parren Mitchell through the same kind of culture shock LaRouche outlined as necessary to save the nation. Freeman also conducted the fundraising, which netted several thousand dollars for the newly established Citizens for LaRouche.

-Nancy Spannaus

LaRouche's adversaries—and competitors

Presidential candidate Lyndon H. LaRouche has distinguished his rival candidates and potential candidates as "competitors" — those with a muddled and/or potential commitment to the American System of industrial growth — and "adversaries" — those committed to the destruction of the American System on behalf of Great Britain. A scorecard on some of the leading contenders:

Competitors

Ronald Reagan. The former California governor is the acknowledged front-runner for the GOP nomination. Presently calling for industry-labor-farmer alliance to begin the dismantling of "Big Government." The question for Reagan: he has 57 advisors, at least 56 of them deadringers for Milton Friedman.

Incumbent Jimmy Carter. That Carter has come as far as he has is a testament to the power of the presidency for forced human development. But the fact remains that unless he is dragged into full cooperation with the European Monetary System, the Carter Administration will remain the slow road to thermonuclear war.

John Connally. On the stump in 1977, Connally was one of the few who immediately denounced James Schlesinger's no-energy program as the antithesis of the American tradition of technological progress. Like his old pal LBJ, Connally's biggest problem is an inferiority complex about Harvard intellectuals.

Robert Dole. Ford's would-be veep is a candidate in search of a program and issue. His education in the Hamilton-Carey tradition of political economy which produced Lincoln and the original GOP could turn the Kansas Senator into a serious candidate — as it could for more than one Republican hopeful.

Gerald Ford. Conventional opinion in Washington is that the former President will not face primaries again and has no real chance to win the nomination unless the convention deadlocks. Currently on fiscal austerity rampage with 95 percent of the GOP.

Adversaries

Ted Kennedy. Little brother wants to rewrite the Constitution as well, cf. Project '87. Teddy favors a piece-by-piece approach to make beggars opera social-fascism the law of the land. Meanwhile is getting ready to make a big splash in the 96th Congress, and is circling over Carter like a vulture.

Alexander Haig. Frontman for Henry Kissinger and the British aristocracy, paperclip NATO commander Haig in the White House is a guarantee of Third World War.

Jerry Brown. The "Zen Governor" from California now wants a constitutional convention mandating a balanced budget, permanent federal tax-and-budget-cutting process. Why not a House of Lords and retroactive naming of Milton Friedman as a Founding Father?