

KGB's futurists meet to take U.S. back to the Dark Ages

by Mark Burdman

Under the banner of the fifth conference of the World Future Society, leading KGB-linked terrorists, world-federalists, genocidalists, and mystics met at the Washington Hilton Hotel June 10-14 to map out what conference organizers described as an "action-oriented, grassroots" national campaign throughout the United States to undermine U.S. national security and the power of the United States as a sovereign nation-state. If this sounds like a gathering the Soviet KGB would have an interest in, you're absolutely right.

The five-day get-together was held under the shadow of strategic events which, in combination, presented new dilemmas for the "futurists": As they congregated to plan new campaigns against the U.S. beam-weapon program, the American government on June 11 announced a successful testing of an extremely accurate anti-ICBM missile. By mid-week, the British press was citing an older poll that showed upwards of 80% of Americans behind President Reagan's commitment to develop a workable anti-ballistic missile system to defend the United States.

Having failed to derail this commitment to national security and scientific and technological progress head-on, the Society, whose national directors include Soviet agents of influence such as former U.S. Secretary of Defense Robert McNamara and Washington lawyer Sol Linowitz, focused on alternative strategies. These strategies happen to correspond to the favorites of the Soviet secret intelligence service—financial warfare, terrorism, and "psychic" warfare.

Following recent marching orders from Soviet author Fyodor Burlatskii of *Literaturnaya Gazeta* magazine and other KGB propagandists to use the global economic crisis to unravel the United States and bring down the Reagan administration, the "futurists" mapped out ways to force the United States into a new "one-worldist" order in which national security policies would be sacrificed.

At the same time, world-federalist fanatics at the event invoked an upsurge of international terrorism, including nuclear terrorism, to "frighten" policy-makers and populations into accepting a "world central government." One such psychopath, J. Francis Leddy of the World Association of World

Federalists, called for a "short atomic war" to obtain this global restructuring.

On a more esoteric level, the Washington Hilton was from June 10 to 14 the site of recruitment of operatives into the Soviet psychological warfare campaign against the West through the agency of the "psychic warfare" and "cosmic consciousness" brainwashing movements. In the limelight during the five days was Carol Rosin of the D.C. Institute for Security and Cooperation in Outer Space (ISCOS), notorious throughout D.C. as the go-between for the Soviet embassy to author defense legislation for the U.S. Congress. She and her coven of witches and moon-worshipping mystics used sexual manipulation and repeated appeals to irrational "dreams and fantasies" to ego-strip attendees into psychological dependence on the Soviet intelligence masters behind the "futurist" movement.

Perhaps most indicative of the flora and fauna behind such escapades was the celebration of the genocidalist Club of Rome International as the "prototype planetary network" for "futurists" to model themselves after. Not only were the Soviets in on the Club of Rome from its founding, in the person of the son-in-law of the late Prime Minister Kosygin, Dzhermen Gvishiani; Club of Rome president Dr. Alexander King confessed in corridor discussions to working closely with the Soviet Academy of Sciences to achieve "new means of international organization." In public, he declaimed that "the nation-state is one of the greatest evils of our times."

What are the futurists?

For all the talk of "the future" at World Future Society events, "futurism" has always been used as a social manipulation tool by the same kind of "theosophist" social engineers who control the Soviet KGB today.

In ancient Greece, "futurism" was the practice of the "oracle" at the Temple of the God Apollo at Delphi, who would "foretell" future events based on knowing what the rulers of culture and politics intended to make happen. Today, many "futurists" openly call their method "delphic." Futurist propaganda is otherwise replete with paeans to the

belief-structures associated with Sufism, Taoism, gnosticism, and other forms of "Oriental" irrationalism going back to the pre-Pleistocene period.

In this century, the hoaxster who first called for a "science of the future" was H. G. Wells, whose vision, as exemplified in *The Time Machine*, was for a new dark age in which an elect few would control the secrets of science and would keep the (dramatically reduced in number) masses of humanity in perpetual ignorance.

During the 1920s, "futurism" became an artistic-cultural movement for decadent elites who would form the core of idea-creators for the Nazi-fascist and Bolshevik-Communist movements. The post-World War II progenitors of the new "futurism," such as Geneva's Denis de Rougemont and France's Bertrand de Jouvenel, had been passionately committed worshippers of fascism during the interwar years, disagreeing only with Hitler's strident use of "national" as opposed to "universal" fascist themes.

De Rougemont, like Wells and others before him, has a deep-seated geopolitical commitment to working with a new Byzantine-Russian empire to destroy the Western Judeo-Christian belief in science and technology, and, to that end, to poison the United States with the culturally decadent ideas of the postwar European oligarchy. In September 1983, de Rougemont's European Society of Culture sponsored a secretive conference in Trieste, Italy, to propound the irrational ideas of Nazi-progenitors like Richard Wagner and Friedrich Nietzsche as the basis for defining the West's cultural matrix over the next decades.

In a 1983 book, *The Future within Ourselves*, de Rougemont identified the "Western myth of Prometheus" as the main enemy of the "futurist" movement. He praised as an alternative the gnostic Earth-Mother-worship cults of the 12th-century Cathars, whose pagan theories nurtured the Nazi and other blood-and-soil cult movements of this century. De Rougemont concluded that the "least vulnerable" and "most appropriate" model for the future of the human race would be the Longo Mai commune movement based today in Switzerland, and reputed to be a primary spawning and safehousing network for terrorism.

The 'Aquarian Conspiracy' hoax

In the 1960s, de Rougemont, fellow Swiss cultist C. G. Jung, and other irrationalist cultural engineers resolved to program a long-term "paradigm shift" in the belief-matrix of Americans. Through the agency of California's Stanford Research Institute and Esalen Institute in particular, this became popularized as the "Aquarian Conspiracy."

Under the guidance of Soviet-linked project director Dr. Willis Harman of SRI (who was one of the key operatives in attendance at the June 10-14 Washington Hilton event), the idea was to program a new "image" of American life, away from the belief in scientific and technological progress and industrial development, to belief in "post-industrial" eco-

nomic systems and "neo-medieval" or "neo-feudal" forms of global political organization obliterating national sovereignties.

Despite all the inundations of the United States with drugs, rock music, and the "counterculture," that program has in crucial respects failed.

Strategic Defeat No. One for the futurist-Aquarians was the victory of Ronald Reagan over Jimmy Carter in 1980, as an expression of patriotism and rejection of deindustrialization by the electorate.

Strategic Defeat No. Two, and much more devastating for the futurist-Aquarians, was President Reagan's March 23, 1983 speech initiating an ambitious U.S. space-based ABM defense program. This robbed the futurists of their efforts to monopolize the space issue from a cultist, science-fiction standpoint.

Strategic Defeat No. Three, and potentially of the greatest significance for the futurist-Aquarians, has been this year's emergence of a national candidates' movement and substantial electoral base supporting the industrial progress and technological-development policies of Lyndon H. LaRouche. It was poetic justice that on the night of the concluding conference day June 14, many of the futurists remaining in the Washington area watched LaRouche on television denouncing former U.S. Defense Intelligence Agency chief Lt.-Gen. Daniel Graham for having expedited the Soviet KGB's "psychic warfare" and anti-beam-weapons campaigns.

These setbacks have forced the futurist-Aquarians toward a more "sober" effort to influence policies of government and to build a mass-based social-political movement, relative to the circus-like atmosphere of earlier World Future Society conferences, such as the extravaganza in Toronto, Canada, in 1980.

'Moment of opportunity'

One repeated theme that this correspondent and his informants monitored at the Washington, D.C., event was that the international debt crisis offered a "moment of opportunity" to force the U.S. government to comply with world-federalist demands to give up national sovereignty. A leading world-federalist, active with the U.S. Quakers and with intimate ties to the Communist Party U.S.A., admitted privately: "I don't focus much on the question of national defense these days when I discuss Reagan's 'Star Wars' policy. Rather, I approach it through the question of the budget deficit, the debt crisis. Farmers might be more responsive that way."

A second world-federalist, Gerald Mische of Global Education Associates, stated, "We can't keep talking about the nuclear freeze and disarmament because it doesn't address the real issue, which is the persistence of national sovereignty. Now, this debt crisis presents us with a unique opportunity. Policy makers who are usually closed to us are for the first time willing to open their ears."

Jay W. Forrester, the co-author of the original *Limits to*

Growth report of the Club of Rome, presented another variant on the same theme. In a paper entitled, "Managing the Next Decade in the Economy," Forrester declared: "We should reexamine our national priorities. The internal economic threat to the country is now far greater than the external military threat." Forrester identified the debt crisis and imminent global banking collapse as catalysts for creating a "new economy" premised on moving away from "old technologies" and "overbuilding of capital plant."

Other participants were not reticent to discuss violent means to accomplish their ends. J. Francis Leddy, head of the World Association of World Federalists, declared: "People haven't been frightened enough to accept a world central government. Perhaps we should have a short atomic war. . . . What we would need is a near-miss in nuclear war, or maybe Colonel Qaddafi [of Libya] getting loose with his nuclear weapons, or maybe a terrorist holding New York City hostage with nuclear weapons. This all might lead to a short atomic war."

His colleague, Britain's Charlotte Waterlow, called for "the Third World to launch a global French Revolution-style action if the West doesn't give in" to world-federalist demands for giving up national sovereignty. This would require a "new charismatic leader" to do the job, Leddy added.

The impact of these and other ideas on the futurist-Aquarians was dependent on something more fundamental: the brainwashing atmosphere at the conference created by the "cosmic consciousness" movement of Carol Rosin and her friends.

Futurist technique is based on two premises: First, to destroy a nation, that nation's language must be destroyed, since language has been the foundation of the nation-state since the time of Dante Alighieri. Second, to destroy rationality, appeals must be made to the "unconscious" and to "dreams and fantasies" over the rational mind. These concepts come together in the repetition of futurist key-and-code words like "think globally, act locally," "mind-link," "cosmic consciousness," and so on.

In one conference panel, Carol Rosin declared: "We are evolving from an earth species into a space species. A collective mind exists. We need more self-awareness and knowledge of the interdependence." Her co-panelist, Andrija Puharich of Essentia Research in Dobson, Maryland, claimed, "Every nation has a psychic warfare unit. . . . I trained half of them."

As LaRouche laid out in his June 14 broadcast on Danny Graham, "psychic warfare" and the associated arts of witchcraft provide a vehicle by which the Soviets penetrate Western intelligence. In a public seminar June 14, SRI's Willis Harman basically admitted as much, when he said that the Soviet "psychic warfare" technique is based on "scrambling the mind."

Appropriately enough, Graham's "High Frontier" group had an exhibition at this KGB fest.

Conference Speeches

Using the debt bomb for world empire

How the global debt and financial crisis could be used to restrict American national sovereignty and forward imperial world federalism was a top agenda item at the World Future Society conference. The following excerpts from conference presentations reflect that concern.

Forrester: 'Reexamine national priorities'

*Jay Forrester, co-author, *Limits to Growth*, (Club of Rome, 1971); from the paper, "Managing the Next Decade in the Economy" previously presented to the New Economy Conference of the U.S. Congress, June 6:*

. . . . [A]fter the expansion of the last several decades, we are probably entering economic downturn. . . . Along with overbuilding of capital plant go rising prices, leveling out of productivity, speculation in physical assets, rising unemployment, and accumulating debts. . . .

We are now in a major transition between the economic growth that followed World War II and the economic growth that will resume again in the 1990s. The transition is a time of readjustment and a time for correcting imbalances that have developed within the economy. The transition is a time of technological change when many of the old technologies are laid aside and new technologies are tested and accepted as a basis for the next expansion. . . .

If one must choose between deflation or a rapidly accelerating inflation, deflation would be preferable. . . . In the next recession, debt loads will become far more burdensome. Foreign debts have so far received the most attention, but they will soon be joined at center stage by the U.S. government debt, by mortgages on land, and by loans to weak industrial corporations.

Developing countries even now do not have sufficient margin in their balance of trade to make repayment of their debts likely. And, the next recession will reduce their financial solvency even further. Pressure to repay loans is already creating internal political forces that will lead to new governments that will feel no obligation to repay. The new governments of debtor countries will argue, with some justification, that the original loans were unwise on the part of the lender

as well as the borrower, and that the lender was equally to blame. Eventually the only escape will be default. Foreign loans subject to default equal more than the net worth of the entire American banking system. Reverberations from such defaults will shake the financial structure of the country and the world. Plans should now be made to handle such defaults to minimize the harm they could do. . . .

U.S. government deficits and debts are mounting rapidly. . . . The U.S. government is now borrowing money to pay the interest on past debt, just as are the developing countries. . . . [T]he deficit will double each presidential term from the compounding effect alone. Such a runaway situation can only lead to some form of default on government debt. Unless deficits are immediately eliminated, there will probably be no turning back from default on U.S. debt. It is urgent that decisions be made now for a long-term resolution of the government debt question.

Banks will feel the full force of the coming economic storm. . . . Even though most other economic actors have contributed to the economic difficulties of the next decade, the symptoms will become visible by way of the banking system. Whether justified or not, the public and Congress will apportion most of the blame to the banks. Just as in the 1930s, I expect that banks will be put under severe regulation, the scope of their activities will be sharply narrowed, and their recently acquired freedom to operate outside their local geographical areas will be rescinded. It would be well to curtail this present last wave of excesses now running through the financial institutions and begin to return to conservative financial practices before action must be taken in an atmosphere of crisis. . . .

We should reexamine our national priorities. The internal economic threat to the country is now far greater than the external military threat. . . .

Mische: 'Debt makes sovereignty a fiction'

Gerald Mische, President, Global Education Associates, paper "The Debt Bomb: Breakdown or Breakthrough?":

The "Debt Bomb"—i.e., over \$800 billion of outstanding and largely unpayable loans to Third World and Eastern European countries—threatens the collapse of existing economic systems and/or World War III. It affects all nations: rich and poor, capitalist and socialist. It affects all classes, all genders, and all races.

There are few issues that so clearly demonstrate the fiction of territorial sovereignty and the reality of the national security straitjacket as this Debt Bomb crisis. . . .

Prior to the emergence of the debt crisis, it was possible to argue that world order alternatives—i.e., a transitional security system based on international public sector institutions—may not be absolutely necessary. . . .

True self-interest requires that, as a *pragmatic impera-*

tive, we be open to learn . . . to recognize that national sovereignty has become a fiction. . . . [emphasis in original]

In a discussion June 13, Mische claimed that the British Empire and the International Monetary Fund could be seen as models for the new globalist institutions he was recommending:

The British Empire emerged as a natural consequence of the Industrial Revolution. . . . There was a world order without anarchy, because of the dominant currency, the pound sterling. . . . I'm not defending this, just describing it. The pound sterling provided order, imperialistic as it was. . . . The breakdown of sovereignty is a natural thrust of history, as we move toward an international public sector. . . . The International Monetary Fund is being criticized by some international bankers as a world government. The IMF provides the infrastructure for new international public sector institutions, as a last resort. It is like the World Court was for the U.S. in the case of Khomeini's Iran. . . .

Waterlow: 'The era of creeping world federalism'

Charlotte Waterlow, MBE, chairman, Education Committee of the World Association of World Federalists, paper "The New International Economic Order and Its Implications for World Government.":

The situation of the four billion people at present alive on this planet has some analogies to that of France or Russia on the eve of their respective revolutions. . . .

. . . . [A] number of the proposals involve or imply the establishment of international bodies with *executive powers*. . . . [T]he United Nations would leap forward into the new era, moving from giving help and advice to sovereign states to undertaking management functions on their behalf. This would involve a limited surrender of national sovereignty in specific management fields as in the European Community. It would usher in the era of creeping world federalism. . . . If there are to be minimum incomes, there may, in the coming era of shrinking resources, have to be maximum incomes, for there may have to be "limits to growth" in the rich countries in order to enable the poor countries to secure their fair share of scarce resources. This implies the creation of a world welfare state, in which the rich countries would be taxed to pay for the development of the poor. . . .

As population explodes and resources shrink, a managed world economy based on sharing and overall planning rather than "nationalization" of scarce resources in order to meet the basic needs of all, may be the only alternative to a violent and bloody scramble, in which the rich will trample on the poor, and perhaps in the process blow us all up. We are approaching the time which Einstein summed up as "one world or no world" . . . a post-capitalist, post-socialist world community. . . .

World Federalists push nuclear war

One of the more shocking outbursts witnessed at the June 10-14 World Future Society conference was that of the Chairman of the World Association of World Federalists, J. Francis Leddy, at a forum on June 12. Leddy stated: "We'll have to have a very bad fright before we have a central world government, perhaps even a short atomic war. . . . We need a real fright, a near-miss in nuclear war, an accident, maybe Colonel Qaddafi getting loose with his nuclear arsenal, or some terrorist holding New York City to ransom unless, and so on. With that kind of scenario, we might stumble into a short atomic war."

Such proposals have consistently been forwarded by the world-federalists in the post-World War II period. Lord Bertrand Russell's friend, Dr. Leo Szilard, the model for the "Dr. Strangelove" movie character, put forward numerous proposals at the various Russell-led Pugwash conferences for "limited nuclear exchanges" between the superpowers, as a means of preserving a globalist world order defined by two imperial centers of power, one eastern and one western.

The organization that Leddy chairs, the World Association of World Federalists, was founded in 1947 in Montreux, Switzerland. In attendance at that founding event was Swiss oligarch Denis de Rougemont, the leading guru in Europe behind the founding of the "ecology" and "peace" movements. During 1983, de Rougemont circulated a proposal for a global-imperial reordering of Europe premised on making Geneva the "capital of Europe just as Washington, D.C., is the capital of the United States."

Today, the World Association of World Federalists is headquartered in Amsterdam, Holland. Its reported "mover and shaker" is Zürich-Geneva lawyer Max Habicht. One of its leading officials is a Jesuit priest, Rev. G. G. Grant. Among its other prominent leaders are Norman Cousins, sponsor of the annual U.S.-Soviet "Dartmouth Conference"; Holland's Jan Tinbergen, author of the Club of Rome's 1976 "Reshaping the International Order" (RIO) report; and C. Maxwell Stanley, whose Iowa-based Stanley Foundation has funded key studies used by KGB moles in the U.S. Congress against President Reagan's Strategic Defense Initiative program. The Stanley Foundation had been among the original promoters in the early 1980s of the activities of Carol Rosin's Institute for Security and Cooperation in Outer Space (IS-

COS), who has worked with the Soviet Embassy to draft legislation against beam-weapon technologies.

The World Association of World Federalists is one of the more prestigious and activist of the one-worldist organizations congregated under the umbrella of the World Government Organizations Coordinating Council (WGOCC). The member organizations—including the Campaign for World Government, the Parliamentarians for World Order, Crusade to Abolish War, and others—call themselves "dispute termination institutions," but the "world feds" are fanatical warmongers.

The head of the WGOCC, John Ewbank of Southampton, Pennsylvania, is a Quaker activist who is waging a holy war against "militarism and control by governments over monetary policy." Ewbank simultaneously advocates a one-world dictatorship *and* groups of families living in tiny community clusters "to get a sense of belonging." He is a rabid supporter of the U.S. Libertarian Party presidential candidate Bergstrom.

Philadelphia-area "world feds" are involved in an operation to have Philadelphia Mayor Wilson Goode host a major World Association of World Federalists conference in 1987, timed to coincide with the 200th anniversary celebrations of the ratification of the American Constitution! The architect of this scheme, Villanova University professor and defeated 1982 Pennsylvania Senate candidate John Logue, propounds the idea that "the U.S. federal constitution provides a model for a new world-federal constitution that grants powers to enforce laws."

Futurism and lunacy

On June 13, World Future conference participant Hazel Henderson was invited to a "political and spiritual" event by a friend, who told her: "Full moon tonight." On the final day of the conference, Club of Rome president Alexander King circulated a document one of whose sections was titled, "Precedent of the Lunary Society." King reported: "I had in my mind at the time [of the Club's founding] the precedent of the Lunar Society of Birmingham, at the end of the 18th century, with its mix of outstanding scientists and entrepreneurs, its future orientation and holistic approach."

One of the World Future Society directors is former U.S. Secretary of Defense Robert McNamara, who is known to have regularly "bathed in moon-beams" on the banks of the Potomac. McNamara is a member of the Lucis Trust, formerly Lucifer Trust, a moon-worshipping cult. A leading futurist organization is the L-5 Society around British science quack Freeman Dyson—it is based on cultisms of the moon.

The conclusion is clear. Futurists are lunatics.

Carol Rosin: the KGB's 'Star Witch'

by Our Special Correspondent

"Sure, Carol Rosin is very close to the Soviet Embassy here. She goes back and forth to the Soviet Union from time to time. She is close to the cultural attaché at the Embassy, they exchange ideas, on how to stop this 'Star Wars' thing."

The source conveying this information to *EIR* at the World Future Society conference in Washington, D.C., is an individual whom Carol Rosin of Washington, D.C.'s Institute for Security and Cooperation in Outer Space (ISCOS) has identified as having a germinal influence over her activities. He is a leading contributor to the every-Monday meetings on Capitol Hill of the Space Policy Working Group, the lobbying group of "peace" organizations which works relentlessly to unilaterally prevent the United States from having an effective space-based anti-ballistic missile system.

Carol's friend grumbled: "That man Lyndon LaRouche is totally irresponsible when he accuses Carol of working for the KGB. Why, she's as KGB as I am!"

Strange confession! He himself has been a lifetime friend of a very high-level official in the American Communist Party, with whom he has at least once traveled to Moscow and with whom he still maintains liaison in secret to coordinate strategies against the U.S. beam weapon effort. He also maintains contact with numbers of "U.S.-Soviet Friendship" groups on the same cause. "We won't associate with them in public," he asserts, "because that would damage the whole purpose of what we are trying to do."

Witchcraft

"I'm the one who is pulling everyone together on this space work for our network," Carol was overheard telling one of the many nominal males our investigators noticed her manipulating during the five days of the futurists' conference.

Carol was indeed in the limelight during the entire five-day event, chairing or appearing on approximately 10 panels and/or press conferences.

On one track, Carol works with a network of "psychic warfare" brainwashers controlled globally by the theosophists and mystics at the highest levels of the Russian-Byzantine intelligence service.

One of the key controllers of the U.S. side of this net-

work, Sufi mystic Willis Harman of the Stanford Research Institute, told a June 14 assemblage of futurists that "the Russian method in psychic warfare work is to scramble the mind."

between persons exists in the physical-psychological universe. Inducing the duped to believe that idea is the entry point for Soviet penetration of U.S. intelligence services. As employed by Harman and the futurists, the method is the same as witchcraft: appeal to magical belief in "feelings" and in the irrational.

In front of the same assemblage, Carol's cohort Susan Savage of the ISCOS network spoke of "experiences which induce feelings of integration, expansion of consciousness, strengthening of the instinctive. . . . Listen to the silent inner voice, the world of fantasy and dreams."

On June 11, Carol appeared on a panel together with one Andrija Puharich of Essentia Research in Dobson, Maryland, who claimed: "Every NATO nation has a psychic warfare unit. . . . I trained half of them." Carol chimed in: "We are evolving from an earth species into a space species. A collective mind exists. We need more self-awareness and knowledge of our interdependence."

On another track, Carol has been induced to believe that she has quasi-magical powers to stop the U.S. beam weapon program.

On June 12, she moderated a panel on "Space Weapons: To be or Not to Be" that concluded with a vote among the predominantly futurist-kook audience about whether they supported the President's SDI program or not. After the heavy negative vote, Carol chirped: "The vote is against space weapons. There will be no space weapons."

Among those on the same panel with Carol was Congressman George Brown (D-Calif.), who has named his anti-SDI organization "Coalition for Peaceful Uses for Space." Another panelist was former U.S. Strategic Air Command bomber Robert Bowman. Bowman read a made-in-Moscow speech fashioned around a make-believe future speech by President Reagan repudiating the SDI. Bowman drew hefty applause when he quoted "Reagan" firing Defense Secretary Weinberger, the administration's staunchest SDI supporter.

One curious new proposal that emerged out of the panel was from Ben Bova, editor of the futurist *Omni* magazine. Nominally in favor of the SDI, Bova is recommending a "Swiss Guard in space protecting the earth," according to which the United Nations would jointly oversee U.S. and Soviet deployment of space defenses in a common system!

This idea to "world-federalize" space originated, Bova indicates in a position paper, from the Princeton Institute of Advanced Studies, whose guru up to recent weeks has been Britain's kook-scientist Freeman Dyson. Princeton was the birthplace of the L-5 moon-cult organization. On a bulletin board at the futures' conference, signatories for L-5 membership were requested. The second-lead name, in prominent blue ink, was Carol Rosin.