
The socialist roots of Nazi-like euthanasia

Satan as a social-democratic spook

by Lyndon H. LaRouche, Jr.

Peter Sager is a Swiss lower parliamentarian who styles himself the “senior editor” of what is, in fact, an astonishingly trivial biweekly, named the *Swiss Press Review and News Report*. This obscure admirer of the U.S. social-democratic hoaxster Roy Godson would probably have escaped my attention, but for the vigor with which he avows himself my adversary.

After examining Vol. XXVIII, No. 23 of his publication, dated Nov. 16, 1987, one presumes that its subscribers have motives other than the publication’s content. To wit: This particular edition consists of five letter-sized, typescript mimeographed pages of Roy Godson’s variety of social-democratic sentimentality: No tidbits of intelligence, thinking, original or copied, are to be found in any of the four topical entries in the edition. In form, and literary quality of content, it suggests some Trotskyist or kindred splinter-group’s mail-drop publication.

Two among the edition’s five pages are occupied by the transcript of what is putatively an address Sager had delivered to the 78th Conference of the Inter-Parliamentary Union. The text blends globs of broad-brush statistics on Africa as a whole with an outrageous echo of the late Bertrand Russell’s sort of malthusian racist’s lying respecting the causes for Africa’s distress. It is what a Berne-based, right-wing Fabian spook might esteem as a gentleman’s public advocacy of genocide—“within the space of a generation,” on a continental scale which might either horrify an Adolf Hitler, or perhaps gratify him immensely.

Nonetheless, Herr Sager is ostensibly well-connected, and his mail-drop publication adopts no sentiment it does not recognize to be in vogue among relevant circles to which it implies itself connected. It is a tip sheet on attitudes to be overheard expressing if the reader wishes to be considered socially acceptable among the hangers-on of those relevant circles. The working point is, that the racist malthusianism

of Herr Sager’s address is in vogue, and widely.

More virulent is current effluvia of French Sen. Henri Caillavet, honorary chairman of both the Association for the Prevention of Handicapped Childhood and the French Society for the Right to Die with Dignity. “We already accept passive euthanasia, in that sense, and it is an easy jump to active euthanasia, helping people to die.”

What is in vogue, and spreading around the world, is the use of psychological-warfare techniques to induce in populations a toleration of, and callousness toward malthusian mass-murder on a scale far beyond that of which the Nazis were accused at Nuremberg. That is the significance of the fact that Herr Sager’s proposals of genocide might be tolerated from the speaker’s platform of the 78th Conference of the Inter-Parliamentary Union.

More directly to the point are additional remarks by M. Caillavet: “We found, to our satisfaction . . . that a majority of Catholics, especially practicing Catholics, were in favor. So, we expect no problem in France. Euthanasia will especially receive support in France, because the religious aspect of life has been almost destroyed.” Again, as in the case of Sager, Caillavet’s observation reflects the use of psychological warfare methods akin to Josef Goebbels’s famous technique of the constantly repeated Big Lie, to destroy Western civilization from within, by destroying its moral fiber at the root.

The socialist roots of Nazi-like euthanasia

Sager’s advertised U.S. connection is Roy Godson. Godson is in the good graces of one Richard Mellon Scaife, of the relevant Mellon and Scaife families, a most virulent adversary of mine, a conduit of Soviet disinformation, and a passionate liar. It is not surprising, that Godson is also a social-democrat with strong Soviet Chekist strains in his political ancestry.

Through both connections, Godson rose to become part of what U.S. Sen. David Boren has denounced as the “secret government” of the United States, built up under President Reagan’s Executive Orders 12333 and 12334, and under the auspices of an Act of Congress establishing the Irangate-linked National Endowment for Democracy (NED), and NED’s operating arm, Project Democracy.

Godson is the son of the late Joe Godson, a social-democrat attached to the Chekist circles of Bukharinite Jay Lovestone, Irving Brown, et al., which has taken over a major section of the U.S. postwar intelligence community through such arms as the AFL-CIO international department, and all with aid of association to the circles of the head of the British freemasonry-allied U.S. branch of the Order of Malta, Peter Grace, and to David Rockefeller’s Trilateral Commission, the latter formerly including such varied figures as President Jimmy Carter, Vice President Walter Mondale, and Vice President George Bush.

His recent nesting places in the U.S. intelligence community have included Lovestone associate Leo Cherne’s Intelligence Oversight Board, a consulting position with the National Security Council, and a broader consulting position as specialist in Soviet disinformation. He is also a key target of criminal inquiry in the Irangate affair. In these positions, Godson has been most notable as a caught-redhanded conduit for spread of Soviet-authored psychological-warfare disinformation into the U.S. and Western European intelligence community. One more than suspects, in the social-democratic environment of Roy Godson: once a Soviet Chekist, always a Soviet Chekist, often to the second and third generation.

In short, Roy Godson is very much “connected,” as the popular cant has it, perhaps much more “connected” than his credulous U.S. sponsors have been willing to consider.

One must not suspect all social-democrats of being evil in general, or Soviet assets more particularly. There is awful injustice around the world, and the fight against injustice attracts men and women of good will to socialist and other movements, much as a hungry man might enter a restaurant without prior security checks into the backgrounds of the proprietor or kitchen staff. One must not write off social-democrats categorically simply because the underlying philosophy of ideological social-democracy is evil.

However, there is a strain of Menshevik, including oppositional spin-offs from Western branches of the former Communist International, which has the same mentality of hatred against Western civilization as the Bolshevik. This strain, like the anarchosyndicalist followers of Mussolini, has deep philosophical affinities to the “New Age” aspect of protofascists and fascists such as Dostoevsky, Burckhardt, Nietzsche, and so on. Philosophically, ideological social-democracy and fascism are twins, the Romulus and Remus of the theosophical “New Age” movement of the late 19th and the 20th centuries.

In a word, both, like the overt Lucifer-worshippers of Aleister Crowley’s theosophical cult, are satanist, and are committed, like the Bolsheviks of yesterday and today, to exterminate the heritage of Western Judeo-Christian civilization, and to exterminate in one way or another the carriers of that cultural heritage.

As aging social-democrat of pro-Soviet past, I.F. Stone, boldly asserts this fact, ideological social-democracy echoes the treasonous Democratic Party of classical Athens, then an asset of the Syrian Magi controlling the Persian Empire, and that party’s ritual murder of Socrates. More broadly, the “New Age” movement and its theosophy, in entirety, are a product of the same Romantic movement which produced the French Jacobin terror of the 1790s, and, through the influence of Lausanne’s notorious Madame de Staël, the 19th-century Romantic movement in Germany. This Romantic movement harked back, and fully consciously so, to the Roman Empire of Nero, Tiberius, and Diocletian, determined to destroy the heritage of St. Augustine, and to restore pre-Christian paganism in various gnostic disguises.

From the point of the 1815 Treaty of Vienna, as this Romantic insurgency proceeded with increasing boldness, it became increasingly overtly theosophical. From this theosophical turn in Romanticism, emerged the modern socialist and fascist movements, as theosophy’s mass-based battering-rams against the institutions of the industrialist form of modern nation-state. The connection between John Ruskin’s theosophical Pre-Raphaelite Brotherhood and the establishment of British socialism and Fabianism, are merely the English-speaking expression of this.

The Bolshevik oligarchical dynasty in Moscow has recognized this with increasing precision and effectiveness. While it continues to employ the Marxian forms of insurgency associated with the original form of the Communist International, the Soviet state relies with increasing emphasis upon theosophical movements within the West as the chief Soviet strategic asset for accomplishing subversion of the culture, economy, and political life of the West. Neo-Malthusianism, “post-industrial society,” the rock-drug-sex counterculture, and gnostic innovations in pseudo-Christianity, are the principal assets adopted by Soviet intelligence for this latter purpose.

Hence, in West Germany, the radically countercultural Green Party is the principal cover for Soviet-directed capabilities of paramilitary violence, terrorism, sabotage, riots, and related subversion. The relations to East Germany and Moscow are numerous, both direct and indirect. The current maximum leader of the party is a witch, Jutta Dittfurth; a witch-cult highly visible in the Green Party’s parliamentary section recently danced naked (“skyclad”) in the streets, in a pro-satanist protest against the visits of Pope John Paul II. Whether Dittfurth travels to and from Moscow by broom, or not, is not a settled question. She has participated in a secret meeting with Soviet leaders there, and issued from there her

statement of support for paramilitary shooting of West German police.

In the United States itself, the official worship of Satan, the so-called Wicca cult, is increasingly emboldened, demanding that the Christian churches give way to the full "religious rights" of practitioners of "the old religion." This is the fruit of a project unleashed as part of the new-radical movement's radical-feminist organizing of 1969-70, and promoted extensively during the 1970s by the late Gregory Bateson, a Crowleyite operative then based out of the Lindisfarne, Long Island subsidiary of the New York Episcopal diocese.

Otherwise, the U.S. social-democratic cronies of Roy Godson and AFL-CIO President Lane Kirkland have become increasingly integrated with the defense of the drug-lobby and drug-traffickers. This is characteristic of the recent programs of the League for Industrial Democracy (LID), an original sponsor of the "New Left" in the U.S.A., and long-standing center of Fabian operations inside the United States. The Soviet-linked ADL is integrated with LID and the AFL-CIO's international department on these accounts.

Ideological social-democracy, Bolshevism, and satanism are facets of one and the same theosophical phenomenon.

More profound analysis of the sickness

The attack on the sacredness of human life, which has been skyrocketing in the West since the middle 1960s, is probably the most important of all of the actions taken in the common interest of satanism and Moscow during the past quarter-century. This phenomenon is described by some specialists, including some associated with the London Tavistock Institute and its far-flung network of professional psychological and psychoanalytical associations, as a "cultural paradigm shift." To understand in full the evil which Peter Sager and M. Caillavet are spreading, the principles of such a "cultural paradigm shift" must be grasped with a certain degree of rigor.

To that practical purpose, the mechanisms of a cultural paradigm shift must be given an intelligible representation to the reader. We begin this representation from the standpoint of a formal, axiomatic-deductive logic.

Every consistent, open-ended system of theorems in such a formal logic says no more than is already implied, and required by the set of axioms and postulates from which each and every theorem of the system is directly or otherwise derived. This is sometimes termed "the hereditary principle" of axiomatic-deductive logic. It is a principle famously examined by the Socratic dialogues of Plato. Thus, we can define the properties of every possible theorem within any one such axiomatic-deductive system of logic by focusing attention upon the set of axioms and postulates alone.

Consider, as illustration, what occurs when a scientist introduces a valid fundamental discovery. In every such case, the proof of that hypothesis requires science to overturn at

least some among the ontological and methodological axioms and postulates of all previously established scientific opinion. In that sense, the set of axioms and postulates underlying the new system of scientific thought are not the same as the set of axioms and postulates defining the previous system of scientific thought. No scientific theorem in the new system is consistent with any scientific theorem in the old system.

To be assured our point is made as clearly as the present matter requires, let us amplify what we have just stated.

For first approximation, let us assume that all physics could be stated as an open-ended system of mathematical formulas. (This is not true, but taught mathematical science, especially on the secondary school and university undergraduate levels, usually assumes that this is the case.) This implies the organization of axiomatic-deductive logic typified by Euclid's *Thirteen Books of the Elements* is a model for an algebraic system consistent with Descartes' analytical geometry.

So, assuming this to be possible, we would organize physics-knowledge so. We would begin by stating the axioms and postulates. We would then define the first theorems directly derived deductively from nothing but the initial set of axioms and postulates. Thereafter, we would combine the set of axioms and postulates with theorems previously proven so, to derive new theorems. The result is an expanding lattice-work of such deductive theorems, which the novice mathematician might assume to cover potentially all possible physics.

Now, imagine that a physicist conducts an experiment, which proves absolutely that one of the theorems of existing scientific knowledge is false. In such a case, every theorem in previously accepted scientific knowledge is also proven to be false. In other words, this single sort of crucial experiment proves that there is an error in the underlying set of axioms and postulates of established scientific opinion.

So, the set of axioms and postulates must be changed in a manner which accomplishes the necessary correction. As a result, every theorem in the previously established lattice must be changed to reflect the alterations in the set of axioms and postulates. Mathematically, many of the theorems of the old system will appear to be identical with their corresponding element in the new; that appearance is misleading, and false. No theorem in the new is consistent with any theorem of the old.

In practice, scientific revolutions do not lead directly and immediately to such a sweeping reconstruction of all pre-existing mathematical formulations. Most of the old theorems are used in daily practice with the qualifying observation that they remain true *to a certain degree of approximation*. It were better said: *a certain margin of built-in error*. As a result, in practice, most taught and practiced scientific knowledge is a rather sloppy accumulation of ever-increasing *margins of error* in particular formulations used.

In principle, our point is true: No theorem in the old system is consistent with any in the new, and vice versa. This

shift illustrates the significance of the term "cultural paradigm shift." The distinction to be made is, that we have illustrated the case for mathematical physics; "cultural paradigm shift" is employed customarily to signify changes in the axiomatic assumptions upon which people based their choices of social values.

The essential axiom which distinguishes Western Judeo-Christian culture from pagan culture generally, is the way in which the value of the life of the individual human personality is defined. All of Western culture's ideas respecting the qualities of God, mankind, and nature generally are coherent with this "axiomatic" notion of the sacredness of human life. Remove that one "axiom" from the prevailing belief of the population and ruling institutions of Western nations, and Western culture as a whole collapses rapidly, even into outright savagery.

Neo-malthusianism today argues: Saving cancer patients' lives costs more than we can afford; let them die. Older people are useless eaters; let them die. Crippled children are useless eaters; let them die. There are too many dark-complexioned people in the world; let them sharply reduce their numbers within "the short space of a generation."

Christianity says, society's economic policies must adjust themselves to the requirements of defending the sacredness of human life. Modernism says: The defense of human life must be adjusted to the requirements of continuing our present economic policies. So says the Reagan administration on the issue of the cost of AIDS. So said President Reagan, in stating before the United Nations General Assembly, that "development is not a right." This shift in axioms, this cultural paradigm shift, is pure satanism. It expresses the satanic point of view, and is a policy which, if adopted, ensures that the entire society becomes satanic.

The practical difficulty, is that most people live naively within a system of thought regulated by a set of axioms and postulates. They are unconscious that these axioms are variable, and usually not aware even that they exist as axiomatic assumptions. They are simply "The way I think."

This popular ignorance of the fact that all conscious behavior is regulated, as are formal theorems of logic, by such underlying, and variable "axiomatic" assumptions, renders most of mankind highly vulnerable to subtle methods of psychological conditioning, by means of which their entire way of looking at the world is radically transformed, without the victim's being aware of either how this has been accomplished, or of any rightness or wrongness in the change effected. The new way of thinking becomes simply, naively, "The way I think." So are entire cultures lured to their self-destruction, as Western civilization is destroying itself, to Moscow's advantage, today.

So, on this issue, we must absolutely draw the line against such hood-carriers of Baal as Roy Godson's Swiss admirer, Peter Sager, Senator Caillavet, Jeremy Rifkin, and the Club of Rome set generally.

Soviets, OSI open

by Mark Burdman

Neil Sher, the head of the U.S. Department of Justice's Office of Special Investigations, spent most of the week of Nov. 23 in Great Britain, meeting with Home Secretary Douglas Hurd and others, to pressure Britain into adopting "war crimes" legislation, which would allow for the creation of a new government agency modeled on OSI.

Britain has become the latest focus in a global organizing offensive by Soviet "justice" officials and the OSI, which is occurring, lawfully, in the period leading up to the Dec. 7 Reagan-Gorbachov summit. Using the emotionally laden and media-manipulated "Nazi war crimes" issue, the aim is to introduce Soviet methods of evidence-gathering, prosecution, and "justice" into Western countries, and thereby, to facilitate the political targeting of individuals and groups that the Soviets and their friends want destroyed.

Britain is presently the only major country in the English-speaking world that has not passed enabling "war crimes" legislation. During the same week that Sher was in Britain, the Australian Parliament was passing a War Crimes Amendment Bill, which enables the prosecution of alleged Nazi war criminals living in that country. This legislative action coincided with the announcement of final preparations for Australian Prime Minister Bob Hawke's four-day visit to the Soviet Union during the week of Nov. 30, at the head of a large delegation of businessmen and government officials. While much more is involved here than the "war crimes" issue, the latter is an important signal to the Russians.

Earlier, on Sept. 16, Canada, like Australia, a central country in the Queen's Commonwealth structure, had passed a "war crimes law," which would allow for the prosecution of suspected Nazi war criminals living in Canada, even if their crimes were committed elsewhere. On Nov. 24, the *Jerusalem Post* reported that OSI stalwart Elizabeth Holtzman, New York District Attorney for Brooklyn, had been in Canada during the previous days, speaking at a conference on "Nuremberg: Forty Years Later." She complained that Canada's legislation did not go far enough, since it only allows for prosecuting suspected war criminals, rather than deporting them, and fails to establish a national authority for