

Bush backs the pagan goals of world environmentalist Gestapo

by Rogelio A. Maduro

The world moved closer toward a global ecological dictatorship as the official representatives of 40 governments, leaders of all major environmentalist organizations, and assorted ghouls, met in Washington, D.C. for the plenary session of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) which was held at Georgetown University, Feb. 5-7. This meeting, the most significant one in the arrangement of a worldwide ecological framework, was personally addressed by President George Bush, who endorsed the work of the IPCC.

Although the President's speech would have been considered radical environmentalist drivel by most sensible Americans a year ago, environmentalists were disappointed, since they expected Bush to commit the United States to specific actions, including a 20% cut in industrial emissions of carbon dioxide, an action which would have finished destroying the economy of this country. Those actions had actually been written into the speech a week earlier by environmental czar, William Reilly, but were scratched out by White House Chief of Staff John Sununu, a nuclear engineer by training, who questions the validity of the greenhouse effect warming scenarios upon which all the policies are based.

The 'stewardship' hoax

Speaking before a 1,000-plus audience at the third and most important plenary session of the world body that is organizing a world ecological dictatorship, President George Bush fully endorsed the pagan ideology and goals of the worldwide environmental movement, committing himself, and the United States, to a new age of "environmental stewardship."

Bush opened up his speech by stating, "By being here today, I hope to underscore concern—my country's and my own personal concern about your work, about environmental stewardship. . . . It's both an honor and a pleasure to be the first American President to speak with this organization, as its work takes shape."

The concept of "stewardship" is explicitly modeled on the belief that man does not exert dominion over the Earth, as commanded in the book of Genesis in the Bible, but simply a "steward" who has no more value in the eyes of God than any of the other beasts, bugs, and creatures of the earth.

The President insisted that "the United States is *strongly*

committed to the IPCC process of international cooperation on global climate change. We consider it vital that the community of nations be drawn together in an orderly, disciplined, rational way to review the history of our global environment, to assess the potential for future climate change and to develop effective programs. . . . The United States remains committed to *aggressive* and thoughtful action on environmental issues."

This same point was made less than two weeks earlier by the head of Bush's Environmental Protection Agency William Reilly. On Jan. 24, Reilly gave a speech to the Domestic and International Policy Committees of the U.S. Catholic Conference. In the speech, titled "Dominion or Stewardship? Humankind, Nature, and the Church," Reilly impressed upon the bishops present that the biblical concept of dominion had to be overthrown for the concept of stewardship.

Push toward fascist world order

The Bush speech, in fact, accepted all of the axiomatic assumptions of the malthusian environmentalists who are presently trying to create a dictatorship over the world economy. If Bush demurred on the most extreme measures being proposed to effectively close down industry, that was scarcely a victory for rationality.

The national media coverage of the President's speech has concentrated on the disappointment on the side of the "environmentalists" that Bush did not deliver the original speech that had been written for him by the rabid EPA head William Reilly, whose post Bush proposes elevating to cabinet level. The original speech had set specific, draconian goals of cutting carbon dioxide emissions and the release of other "greenhouse gases" into the atmosphere.

Bush did not set the specific goals, but he did something much, much more important: He gave his full backing to the ideology that is driving the present, rapid descent into a worldwide eco-fascist dictatorship. The only point of disagreement between Bush and the greens, is the rate and the means by which such a dictatorship will be created.

This is exactly the point made by one of the leading spokesmen for the Liberal Eastern Establishment and for a global power-sharing "condominium" between the United States and U.S.S.R., former Defense Secretary and Attorney General (under Nixon) Elliot Richardson. Two days later, in

a signed opinion column in the *New York Times*, Richardson wrote, "Environmentalists and politicians can argue the costs and benefits of international action on global warming from now until doomsday . . . but nothing will get done without an institutional mechanism to develop, institute and enforce regulations across national boundaries."

Mostafa Tolba, head of the United Nations Environment Program, and Bert Bolin, head of the IPCC, emphasized the need to finally create a global ecological "body." Bolin placed the signing of a Global Climate Convention as the next agenda point. This would be a kickoff for international (read Soviet and American) policing action, which would be particularly targeted against the developing sector. Part of the scheme, however, is designed to rev up selected Third World dupes to attack advanced-sector energy consumption as the real culprit for the mythical greenhouse problem.

The week preceding the IPCC meeting was one of frantic meetings and fierce fighting among Bush's cabinet on the contents of the speech. According to inside sources, meetings were arranged between top scientists and the President to provide him with the evidence that "global warming" is non-existent, and at best the U.S. should be committed to funding scientific research and nothing else.

At the same time EPA chief Reilly mobilized all the eco-fascist groups in the U.S. to pressure Bush and leaked details of the story to the *Washington Post*. The *Post*, a rabid supporter of the greens, dutifully printed a scandalous front-page story two days before the speech, warning that Sununu had edited all the policy actions out of the President's speech.

The global ecological dictatorship

By endorsing the work of the IPCC, Bush was endorsing the activities of an institution which poses one of the greatest threats to freedom in this century. The IPCC is the worldwide organization which was established by the United Nations in 1988, with the sole purpose of organizing a worldwide climate convention that will set a specific legal framework that will prohibit nations, upon the pain of economic and military intervention, from "polluting and damaging the environment."

The IPCC, based in Geneva, Switzerland, is run by Bert Bolin, a onetime close collaborator of the late Aurelio Peccei and of Alexander King, founders of the malthusian Club of Rome. Members of the Club of Rome and its anti-population racist ideology, permeate the leadership of the IPCC, the WMO, and the UNEP. In fact, the Club of Rome is pretty much defunct, and all its predictions about limits to growth have been proven a fraud. Thus, all their members moved lock, stock, and barrel into leadership positions in all the international bodies running the climate catastrophe scenarios.

The IPCC, which is run by a troika made up of the United Kingdom, the Soviet Union, and the United States, has been holding extensive meetings all over the world. They have

been characterized by two elements. All the meetings are closed to the public and the media, with the exception of the opening panel; and no scientist who challenges the greenhouse-global warming hoax has been allowed to address them. If the IPCC is truly out to save humanity, why hold secret meetings?

The real agenda

The President's speech at the IPCC meeting was followed by others which revealed the real details of the agenda that is being put into place. Mostafa Tolba, executive director of UNEP, said that although "we all agree that more research is certainly needed to help resolve a number of questions . . . we also have to admit we are gambling with our atmosphere. We do not know the odds of this gamble and that is not rational. As President Bush has just said the future of the world must not be compromised."

What Tolba meant to say was clarified later, when he said, "In the United States the comprehensive study on acid rain is coming close to completion. A study which took nearly one decade to complete and is said to have cost nearly one-half billion dollars. After much time and effort, the government-sponsored study noted the difficulty, perhaps impossibility, of separating natural factors from the effect of acid rain and ozone on forest deterioration and ecological stress. . . . I note this study to stress one fact that when it comes to environmental destruction a smoking gun is often impossible to find. Even when we engage in decades of intense research."

In other words, all the charges *EIR* has been making are true, and there is absolutely no scientific evidence to indicate "global warming," "ozone depletion," "acid rain," and all other sundry environmental catastrophes are in fact occurring, since the "damage" cannot even be distinguished from the natural climactic activity!

Yet, as Tolba emphasized, "time is running out"—they claim—and to "save the Earth" from these non-existent threats, radical measures must be undertaken.

"Ladies and gentlemen, the global environmental agenda, holds for nothing less than a revolution," insisted Tolba at the IPCC meeting. "Yet oppressors against whom we rise in action are not foreign or inhuman powers. All of us are the oppressors of the global environment: individuals, industries and governments, we ourselves have created the ecological problems facing our world." Essentially, man is the enemy, in his view.

Tolba called for specific actions, including "establishing users' fees, paying for using the environmental resources; one of them is air. This would amount to an intervention in the market mechanism to ensure prices cover not only energy and raw material, but also using a quality of the environment: the quality of clean air."

Tolba announced ominously, "As soon as your report is published, negotiations over a global convention will start."