

Editorial

Food for peace or food as a weapon

A nasty rumor is circulating in Germany, to the effect that President Bush intends to act upon a National Security Advisory and cut off the Soviet Union from any food aid, in retaliation for President Gorbachov's refusal to sanction a scaling up of the Gulf military adventure. This report is made credible by the aggressive role of U.S. Agriculture Secretary Clayton Yeutter.

Yeutter is threatening the Europeans with trade war, if they do not knuckle under and accept Anglo-American demands that they sharply reduce food production in their respective countries, by forcing a significant section of European farmers into bankruptcy. He is threatening a rise in "the level of confrontation . . . in the absence of success" of the present negotiations.

American financial newspapers such as the *Journal of Commerce* are already talking about a wheat subsidy war. This, of course, would involve large-scale dumping of U.S. grain on world markets, below the cost of production. The last time such a war occurred—in 1986—it cost the Argentines most of their wheat export income, which was the equivalent of their entire debt service obligations at the time. This indeed is food as a weapon—against the Soviets on the one hand, and against the countries of the Ibero-America, Africa, and Asia as well.

The current U.S. position, and that of the Cairns Group (Canada, Australia, New Zealand), shows that the intent behind the policies of the relevant establishments is, as we have said for 20 years, *genocide by aid of famine and disease directed chiefly against the darker-skinned populations of the Southern regions*, the former colonial and neo-colonial regions of this planet. On this matter as on other matters, we have been correct, and all those who ridiculed us have been shown to be wrong.

These are the same Anglo-American malthusian policies which characterized the policy of the British East India Company, and which in this century were reiterated by Bertrand Russell in his 1953 book, *Impact of Science on Society*. There he laid out the racist, population control ideology which underlies Anglo-

American rhetoric about the free market, and which is controlling the course of the present GATT negotiations. Russell wrote: "At present the population of the world is increasing about 58,000 *per diem*. War, so far has had no very great effect on this increase, which continued throughout each of the world wars. . . . War . . . has hitherto been disappointing in this respect . . . but perhaps bacteriological war may prove more effective."

There are no current events, there is only current history. This history has been determined for approximately 2,000 years by a factional lineup of Christian, republican forces against oligarchs such as those represented by Britain's Prince Philip and Margaret Thatcher.

In the recent past these issues were fought out in the context of the Non-Aligned Movement of the 1970s, when Lyndon LaRouche proposed the formation of an International Development Bank which would finance massive global development projects, and a reorganization of developing sector debt. Unfortunately, the Non-Aligned Movement call for a new world economic order was defeated, and its premier representative, Indira Gandhi, was assassinated. Instead, usury became the order of the day.

Over the past decades, *EIR* and its founder LaRouche, have been in the forefront of opposition to the malthusians, the faction led by the Anglo-Americans, but also including similar forces within the Soviet sector. We have warned repeatedly, that a certain section of the Establishment is bent upon forging a one-world empire on behalf of these malthusian policies; and that this scheme of empire depended upon a certain kind of relationship between the Anglo-Americans and the Soviets, among others.

Today, the condominium has been discredited, for reasons developed in our *Feature*. The policy initiatives of the Kohl government for development, emphatically including the use of food as a weapon for peace, give hope that the day of Christian republics may be dawning. Just as clearly, such a victory will be only be possible with the release of Lyndon LaRouche from prison.