

## NATO chief calls for policing Third World

NATO Secretary General Manfred Woerner told the meeting of the North Atlantic Assembly in London on Nov. 29, that NATO has to deal with the "threats" of "population growth, resource conflict, migration, underdevelopment, religious fundamentalism, and terrorism" in the Third World. According to Woerner, these phenomena are running rampant south of the Mediterranean and threaten NATO's "territorial integrity."

Woerner argued that, while NATO does not need to specifically authorize "out-of-area deployments" to deal with these threats, NATO should provide assets for "coordination and support" of "crisis management and prevention" in ways similar to the effort currently being mounted in the Persian Gulf.

Woerner's statements underline the accuracy of charges made by political candidate Lyndon LaRouche, that the current Persian Gulf deployment applies a policy of *population control* by the North against the nations of the Southern Hemisphere. Military actions by NATO countries, abetted for the time being by the Russians,

against poor nations, are the content of George Bush's New World Order.

Woerner argued, "A more interdependent world is also a more fragile one, more vulnerable to threats and blackmail. . . . Along the southern perimeter of Europe, there is to some extent an arc of tension from the Maghreb to the Middle East. Tensions are exacerbated not only by the ambitions of dictators like Saddam Hussein, but also by population growth, resource conflict, migration, underdevelopment, religious fundamentalism, and terrorism. Clearly, threat to NATO's territorial integrity from beyond Europe cannot be downplayed as out-of-area threats."

British Defense Secretary Tom King told the same meeting on Nov. 28 that he would like to see more formal action by the Assembly, which is comprised of parliamentarians and congressmen from NATO member countries. King argued that the Gulf crisis had provided the grounds for redefining NATO.

According to the British press, a formal resolution was put before the Assembly "either to amend the North Atlantic Treaty or adopt a more flexible interpretation of the existing treaty to reflect changing security conditions and to facilitate NATO as a collective entity to respond to threats from outside the area." This kind of formal resolution is precisely what Germany and France have consistently refused to endorse since 1982. But U.S. blackmail pressures have increased enormously since then.

case. . . . The thought of another Khomeini in Damascus—albeit a Sunni rather than a Shiite one—is enough to send shivers up Arab as well as Western spines. The probable alternative—a regime dedicated to the total elimination of the [Muslim] Brotherhood—is equally unpalatable, since it would involve ruthlessness and cruelty surpassing even that of the present regime.

"This leaves President Assad clinging to power. . . . His record shows him to be a man of straightforward dealing and statesmanlike behavior; very far from the doctrinaire radical some imagine him to be. There are indications that, if circumstances allowed, President Assad might revert to the position he had gradually worked round to in 1977, before Camp David, and consider the terms of an accommodation with Israel."

### Throw the malthusians out of NATO

On April 16, 1982, LaRouche proposed a means of restructuring NATO to stop the British blackmail of the Reagan government:

"The British and their agents of influence have circulated two blackmail documents against United States' enforcement of its own law, the Monroe Doctrine. The chief point of blackmail by the Ayatollah Thatcher government's friends is

the threat that Britain will pull out of NATO. The second point of blackmail is financial. . . .

"It is undoubtedly the best choice in this connection to focus attention on the compelling reasons President Charles de Gaulle pulled France out of NATO during the middle of the 1960s. . . .

"In addition to the military functions of NATO, centered around the political secretariat is a mass of assorted sociologists, psychologists, futurologists, and kindred civilian elements, typified by former OECD official Dr. Alexander King, and directed chiefly by a psychological-warfare branch of British intelligence, the London Tavistock Institute. This aspect of NATO was the conduit for subversion against France during the 1960s and has been the chief conduit for 'clockwork orange' varieties of operations deployed under the 1969 'strategy of tension' deployment of 'environmentalist' and international-terrorist elements.

"This fruit-cake side of the NATO organization must be closed down, and the lunatics sent back to the Tavistock Institute and other cookie-factories at which they were originally half-baked. . . . The remaining, legitimate aspects of our military-alliance organizations must then be reorganized simply as a military general-staff functions."