

## Bush launches war under new 'Hitler-Stalin Pact'

by H. Graham Lowry

Even as the U.S. Congress was completing its rigged debate Jan. 12 on launching war in the Middle East, the Red Army was rolling through the streets of the capital of Lithuania—thanks to a satanic pact between the Presidents of the United States and the Soviet Union. Bush and Gorbachov consulted by telephone the day before, and Bush declared the two powers “in synch.” Less than 18 hours after the deadline set for midnight Jan. 15, U.S. bombers launched the first attacks on Iraq.

From the prison where George Bush has confined him for nearly two years, candidate Lyndon LaRouche issued a statement Jan. 13 declaring that Bush “has put the United States the first few steps down the road in the direction of a possible World War III. . . . What has happened is, that Bush has traded off Iraq for the Baltics, in much the manner that Hitler and Stalin made deals back in the 1939-40 period.” LaRouche also denounced “the follies of Congress” in bending to pressures to authorize “George Bush’s war against Iraq”—thereby creating “a series of events analogous to the follies of the Austro-Hungarian Emperor in unleashing World War I.”

The hideous spectacle of murdered Lithuanians being hurled in body bags by Soviet troops from the captured television tower in Vilnius has already demonstrated the nature of the Bush-Gorbachov deal—which Lithuanian President Landsbergis likened to a new Hitler-Stalin Pact. As LaRouche describes it, “Through backing from George Bush, in exchange for Soviet backing for Bush’s Gulf policy, the Soviet government has moved in to crush the quasi-independence achieved by the Baltic states. Gorbachov’s hand held the dagger that struck, and George Bush’s hand held Gorbachov’s. The issue is not limited to George Bush’s follies in the Gulf or the Soviet regime’s brutality in the Baltic states. The world is set to blow.”

### A Hitlerian world order

As LaRouche had warned and *EIR* has documented, Bush decided to set the U.S. war machine in motion in the Gulf as long ago as the second week of August. He embraced that madness under direct pressure from Britain’s Prime Minister Margaret Thatcher, during her unveiling of “The New Global Agenda” at an Aspen Institute conference in Colorado. The degenerate Anglo-American Establishment preplanned this war in the interest of destroying the potential for European and Japanese economic development, and of establishing a precedent for colonial wars for raw materials and population control in the Third World generally. Like Hitler, Bush is lying that it was Saddam Hussein’s provocation that led him to war, when war was Bush’s objective all along. The United States developed plans for occupying the Saudi oil fields at least 17 years ago, according to U.S. officials; and the Iraqi action simply served as a pretext. Bush’s “success” will mean genocide worse than Hitler’s.

The war itself emanates from the same sort of inevitable economic breakdown, under insane policies, that triggered World War II. This time, LaRouche had put competent solutions on the table—and prophetic warnings of the consequences if they were rejected by those in power. “Go back to 1982-83,” LaRouche declared in his Jan. 13 statement, “and take into account the things which I was warning on several fronts then. Take two, for example: In 1982-83, I warned that the foolish policies adopted by the Reagan administration, then with the force from George Bush and as well as his co-thinker Henry Kissinger and the New York banking crowd, would lead to a banking crisis, the collapse of the U.S. banking system down the way.

“One year later, in 1984 and following the 1983 warnings, the Chicago bank Continental Illinois went under in the first round, signaling a general collapse of the banking system

on the way. That banking collapse is now a reality. Nineteen eighty-seven was our 1929; and we have come to our 1933, reliving the follies of the 1920s and 1930s under Coolidge and Hoover. One can say that on the question of economics, the Reagan and Bush administrations *are* the Coolidge and Hoover administrations, relived as farce.”

### **What has happened in Moscow?**

“In the meantime, what’s happened in Moscow? In 1983—the spring of 1983, to be exact—I warned that certain information received by me showed that the Soviet empire was undergoing a phase change, a transition away from Bolshevism, as most people in the West thought of Marxism and Bolshevism, toward a kind of revival of the Third Rome form of Czarism, going back to Alexander, Ivan the Terrible, and his immediate predecessors of the 16th century. That has now happened. It is not fully consolidated, but has happened. The Soviet empire is now ruled from Moscow by a cabal, of sorts, of the military, the internal security forces—the KGB successor to the Okhrana and the old Russian Czarist Third Section—and a nationalist group which is centered in its expression around the Russian Orthodox Church hierarchy. So, we’re back to Ivan the Terrible’s Russia. Admittedly, that Russia is unstable; so was Ivan’s. It consolidates itself in a certain manner.

“Now, on the other side, what is the United States doing with its so-called allies, in this circumstance? The United States is launching a war against Islamic populations, a war which will incite hatred against the United States, throughout Islamic populations of the Soviet empire.

“And thus, the Islamic populations of the Soviet empire, which have been heretofore, in recent years, a crucial part of the opposition to Moscow’s central imperial power, will now find themselves drawn more and more into support of Moscow through the ‘enemy of my enemy’ principle. The Islamic world is turning against the United States, as this folly which Mr. Bush has pushed, and which the Congress has now authorized, has been unleashed.”

### **The ingredients for a new world war**

“Moscow will dominate Europe, if this proceeds. In the meantime, all the ingredients for a new world war are in progress. Moscow is reconsolidating its imperial power under this new tripartite regime of which I spoke. At this time, in the Anglo-American section of the West, the banking system is collapsing, out of bankruptcy—not only of the banks, of real estate speculation, but the bankruptcy of the policy of the United States government over the past quarter-century, especially over the past 15 years. . . .

“The world is in flames. And the flames are being aligned, or oriented toward alignment, in a direction which leads toward World War III—unless things are changed. We are now in a situation somewhat comparable to 1912 in that respect. Not an immediate World War III, but an immediate

spread of warfare, and related crises, which shapes the world for the prospect of World War III.

“Can a nuclear war occur between the superpowers? Yes. People say, ‘Well, that can’t happen. That would be madness.’ Ah! What happened in the Congress this past weekend, was a triumph of madness, albeit by a small margin over even the semblance of sanity.

“I find that the things, therefore, which I have put forward over the past dozen years, in particular, now stand up as verified. And those who, both distant from me who opposed me, and those close to me who opposed me on these issues, have now been proven absurd; and the absurdity takes the form of bloody eruptions, which threaten the very existence of civilization itself.

“George Bush put me in prison as a continuation of his alliance with Henry Kissinger, because he opposed my policy. I am in prison; my policies appear to be in prison. And George Bush and his co-thinker Kissinger appear free to bring about the destruction of the world, including the self-destruction of the United States.”

### **Call for the impeachment of Bush**

Even before Bush ordered the massive strike on Iraq Jan. 16, tens of thousands of anti-war demonstrators had taken to the streets across the United States—and outside the White House itself, which Bush ordered closed to tourists earlier the same day. The man denounced during the congressional debate as “King George IV” is now the point of attack for millions of Americans outraged over having this once-great republic used as a genocidal enforcer for Bush’s insane “New World Order.” Upon the initiative of Rep. Henry Gonzalez (D-Tex.), he is also the object of impeachment proceedings.

In a joint press conference Jan. 15, Gonzalez and former Attorney General Ramsey Clark called for the impeachment of President Bush, Vice President Dan Quayle, and Secretary of State James Baker. Clark presented documentation on a range of impeachable offenses, including exceeding their powers of office by launching aggressive war; conspiring to commit war crimes against the civilian population of Iraq; bribing, threatening, and intimidating others, including members of the U.N. Security Council, to support belligerent acts against Iraq; deceiving Congress about their real purpose in the Gulf; and violating the First Amendment of the Constitution by “investigating, intimidating, and chilling” those opposed to the war (see page 52).

“The issue today,” said Gonzalez, “is whether or not we in our day will uphold the fundamental law of the land. . . . Our Founding Fathers feared that ‘Kings make war. . . .’ Think of what we are doing to our country. This is the antithesis of what America was born for.” Arguing that Bush wanted “dominion” in the Persian Gulf, Gonzalez warned, “If he starts to kill a lot of Arabs, he will be impeached and convicted.”