

Editorial

Choose the LaRouche alternative, now

The chaotic state of world currency markets is not only indicative of the depth of the present economic crisis in the West, but portends an even graver crisis afflicting western civilization as a whole. With the exception of Lyndon LaRouche and his associates, there is no one yet capable of implementing the kind of policies which can avert the debacle that we see before us.

The policy of the establishment in the United States, Great Britain, and continental Europe is still austerity—in other words, fascist economics. Though some may not like to admit it, fascist economics and fascist politics are inextricably intertwined. War, civil disorder, despair, and the end of the Christian values embodied in the Renaissance: That is the fate which looms, save only the possibility that the alternative afforded by LaRouche might prevail.

Lyndon LaRouche is a political prisoner of those same forces which have brought the world to the brink of hell. He is forced to remain in jail because these evil, stupid men continue to hold the reins of a despotic power which is ripping apart the conditions that would allow a decent, productive life for those now living and generations to come.

But more is at stake. LaRouche is needed now as never before, to be an active member of a policy group working to reverse the current policies and give the United States again a sound economic basis on which to rebuild industry and infrastructure.

It is no longer possible to sustain the world economic system by perception games and financial manipulations. What is needed is an investment policy directed by national governments. What is needed is an end to the Bretton Woods system, the evil International Monetary Fund, and the central banks associated with it—including the independent U.S. Federal Reserve System. There is no hope for the United States or any other nation which responds to the current crisis by further austerity measures—tax increases and budget cuts, such as have already destroyed the economies of Poland and Great Britain. These policies will only make things worse.

What has to be done, and the only alternative, is LaRouche's policy, which has been stated and restated over the past 20 years, and which he elaborated in a book-length work in 1982, *Operation Juárez*, as the only way to deal with the international debt crisis, which, as he accurately forecast then, would ultimately destroy the world monetary system.

At that time, he urged the nations of South and Central America to declare a moratorium on debt repayment in order to protect their economies from the ravages of usury. Eleven years earlier, in 1971, LaRouche forecast the breakdown of the Bretton Woods system, because of the turn away from real economic investment and into speculation and usury which had become the guiding features of the international monetary system, and which was ratified in August of that year by the United States, when the dollar was unmoored from its gold-based system of accounting and allowed to float.

As LaRouche and his associates foresaw—and tried to prevent—the world has now come to the point that a fascist policy is being imposed by people such as IMF Managing Director Michel Camdessus. We are entering a period of chaos, in which whole nations will be torn asunder—including the United States—if the LaRouche alternative is not taken.

To reverse this policy means to repudiate the evil myth that there exists such a thing as a "post-industrial" society. We must fire the economics professors who have peddled this insane advice to world political leaders, individuals such as Milton Friedman and his idiot successor Jeffrey Sachs—the monetarists, be they Keynesians or free trade ideologues, who have destroyed the ability of nations to produce the means by which their peoples could acquire the most basic necessities of life.

We must get back to the ABCs of physical economy, as spelled out by Alexander Hamilton, Friedrich List in Germany, and Charles de Gaulle in France. We must choose the policies of Lyndon LaRouche, and free him to be able to implement them, before it is too late.