

pro-abortion stance.” Chirinos stated that the Constitution and the criminal code of Peru prohibit abortion.

On May 5, journalist Guillermo Bustamante asked in the daily newspaper *Gestión* that Congress interrogate Chancellor Goldemberg, “because he has permitted, with culpable negligence, that the Peruvian delegation be . . . openly pro-abortion, in patent, outrageous violation of the Constitution . . . and of the Peruvian laws.” On April 28, Health Minister Jaime Freundt denied that the government instructed the official delegation to defend abortion, and gave assurances that this is not the government’s position.

On April 30, the Ibero-American Solidarity Movement (MSIA) made a crucial demand during an interview on Radio del Pacífico: that the national government not attend the Cairo conference. Sara Madueño, speaking on behalf of the MSIA, a continent-wide movement inspired by the ideas of American presidential pre-candidate Lyndon LaRouche, said that the government must not harbor illusions that it will be possible to “fight from within” the conference, as some believe. The siren songs which are trying to involve Peru in the anti-life agenda have to be rejected, she said.

Instead, said Madueño, Peru should resolve the “paradox” of human rights by mounting a no-holds-barred defense of the human rights of the unborn; to respond to the destabilizing slanders of the U.S. State Department, which accuses the Fujimori government of violating the human rights of terrorists.

Despite the rising tide of charges against the genocidal planners of the Cairo ’94 meeting, the Peruvian malthusian lobby is still on the offensive. On May 2, *Expreso*, where the malthusian apologist Rosario Abrahms exerts great influence, editorialized in favor of legalized abortion and universal birth control, supposedly because underground abortion is occurring on a massive scale and cannot be fought. The latrine out of which these “arguments” leak is a report of the Alan Guttmacher Institute, entitled “Clandestine Abortion. A Latin American Reality,” which cheerfully claims that 30% of Peruvian pregnancies end in abortion, and that 60% of children are “unwanted.”

### Fewer people than expected

Peru’s malthusian lobby has been making gains since the 1980s, thanks to the support of every successive administration. The dozens of non-governmental organizations (NGOs) which receive millions of dollars in financing from the United Nations or U.S. AID, have infiltrated schools and hospitals. The effects are seen in the difference between the projected figures that Peru’s population would rise to about 24 million, and the reality registered by the 1993 national census, which counted only a little more than 22 million. *Expreso* brags that “in the last three decades the number of children in Peru has dropped from 7 to 3.5 per family,” but it does not say that one cause of this decline has been clandestine sterilizations.

# Goldsmith readies to destroy Europe’s

by Mark Burdman

The same British-centered Hollinger Corp. crowd that is destabilizing the American presidency has now unleashed a new movement to destabilize countries across the continent of Europe.

The effort comes during a time when the western European political party and institutional structures which have been hegemonic over the past decades are rapidly falling apart in the face of financial crisis and an epidemic of scandals hitting almost every European nation. British intelligence services are therefore shaping the new movement to fraudulently portray itself as the defender of the tradition of the late President Charles de Gaulle, in favor of a “Europe of the Fatherlands,” and against the one-world federalist schemes typified by the recently signed General Agreement on Tariffs and Trade and the Maastricht Treaty for a single European currency and political system.

### ‘The Other Europe’

The new movement is called “L’Autre Europe” (“The Other Europe”), and is directed and financed by Sir James Goldsmith, an Anglo-French multibillionaire, who was knighted by Queen Elizabeth.

Goldsmith is on record as a bitter opponent of Judeo-Christian values. He hates scientific and technological progress, and generously finances the global “green ecology” movement, one of whose chief spokesmen is his brother Edward, a.k.a. “Teddy.” On that evidence alone, Sir James’s new-found support for the sovereign nation-state has the stink of a classic deception operation, which aims at channeling—often with the aid of large sums of money—political unrest in Europe into suicidal populist directions. This in turn would ensure that continental Europe is massively weakened—which for centuries has been an Anglo-Venetian strategic aim—and would give the British more maneuvering room for imposing their balance-of-power and deindustrialization schemes in Europe.

Goldsmith et al. plan to capitalize on the mounting popular rage over the bankrupt policies of “free trade” that have caused massive unemployment across the continent. Goldsmith’s British circles are well aware that there is now a higher potential than in normal times for masses of people

# new movement nation-states

to be mobilized in support of legitimate new movements for the economic and industrial reconstruction of Europe, in accordance with the “Atlantic to the Urals” *dirigiste* designs of de Gaulle, or with Lyndon LaRouche’s 1989 proposal for the establishment of a Paris-Berlin-Vienna “Productive Triangle” which would serve as a “high-technology driver” for the rest of the world.

## The Hollinger connection

The founding meeting of The Other Europe on April 22 took place at the King George V Hotel in Paris. Attending were Britain’s Lords Rees-Mogg, Falkland, and Stoddart; France’s Philippe de Villiers, who is co-head of a new French political party that also bears the name The Other Europe, and Charles de Gaulle, grandson of the late French President; Germany’s Dr. Manfred Brunner, head of a much-publicized protest party; and representatives from Spain, Finland, Norway, Denmark, Sweden, and Austria.

Following the meeting, Rees-Mogg wrote a hyped-up promotional for Goldsmith in the April 25 London *Times*. His lordship waxed ecstatic about Goldsmith’s “charisma,” and about how the group’s so-called anti-Maastricht “European Declaration of Independence” would revive de Gaulle’s notion of a “Europe of the Fatherlands.”

Rees-Mogg got so carried away with himself that he boasted that Goldsmith’s movement already runs the government of one country in Europe—Italy—to such an extent that it had not even been necessary for an Italian representative to be present at the founding meeting. He asserted that both new Italian Prime Minister Silvio Berlusconi and Northern League leader Umberto Bossi were opponents of Maastricht, in opposition to the “Euro-federalist” combinations that had earlier ruled in Italy.

The British propagandist obviously got tripped up in his own propaganda; Bossi’s regional secessionist Northern League (née Lombard League) is in fact the avant-garde of a movement that seeks to entirely break up nation-states, beginning with Italy itself, and to replace the “Europe of the Fatherlands” with an impotent and conflict-ridden “Europe of the Regions.”

Rees-Mogg’s falsification vis-à-vis Italy is only one sign

among many that Goldsmith’s talk of defending the Gaullist tradition is a monstrous fraud. To begin with, Goldsmith is a member of both the international advisory board and board of directors of the Hollinger Corp., a key operational arm of British intelligence that exists for the purpose of *destabilizing* sovereign nation-states. Hollinger, via its London *Daily Telegraph* and *Sunday Telegraph*, is the manufacturer of “Whitewater,” “Fornigate,” “Troopergate,” and other scandals against President Bill Clinton, with the aim of undermining the institution of the American presidency. Hollinger’s international advisory board includes two senior international advisers, Lord Peter Carrington and Henry Kissinger, and has numerous British or British-linked cutthroats as its international advisers and directors (see p. 56).

Goldsmith is also one of the funders of the *American Spectator*, the Washington, D.C.-based magazine that has been publicizing many of the scandals against Clinton. Some intelligence insiders have identified him as a member of the “old boys” network of the British Secret Intelligence Service (SIS).

## ‘The bully, the thief, the mugger’

Linked to this, is the fact that Goldsmith is a business partner of George Soros, Lord Jacob Rothschild, and Rees-Mogg. Soros’s speculative raids on the currencies of sovereign states are notorious, and have drawn the ire of patriots in France, Italy, and many countries across Europe.

As for Rees-Mogg, his philosophy was adequately summed up in his book, *Blood in the Streets: Investment Profits in a World Gone Mad*, co-authored with James Dale Davidson in 1987. Forecasting a future in which there will be “financial upheaval,” and when “blood will, indeed, ‘run in the streets,’ ” they stressed that this was not upsetting in the least, since “many people will suffer staggering losses. Others, who take the right investment steps, at the right time, will earn handsome profits.” The authors invoked the “raw power” of the British Empire in former times, arguing, “Why do we tell you about the gruesome deaths of thousands of Africans in a faraway colonial war? Because those deaths illustrate important points about the way the world works today—and the way it will work in the future. . . . The rules that apply are the rules of the bully. The thief. The mugger. They are the rules that power sets. They change as the balance of power changes. . . . Like it or not, that is reality . . . throughout the globe.”

From the investment world, Goldsmith also numbers among his old friends New York’s John Train. Aside from advising some of the world’s richest families in dubious schemes for investing their funds, it was Train who hosted, in his New York salon in April 1983, the initial planning meetings of what later became the U.S. government’s “Get LaRouche” task force in the United States. Goldsmith himself has liberally spread the “big lie” that LaRouche’s wife Helga Zepp-LaRouche is an “East German agent.”

## A pagan party

But Goldsmith's nature is best exposed by looking at his book, *Le Piège (The Trap)*, which he promotes as representing his philosophy and political and economic platform (see *EIR*'s review, Dec. 10, 1993). In this book, Goldsmith emits much Gaullist-sounding verbiage about Europe protecting itself against American-authored "free trade" policies. In substance, however, his policies are diametrically opposed to everything that the devoutly Christian de Gaulle stood for.

Sir James lambasts intensive agriculture and nuclear energy, citing a wide range of ecology fanatics to back up his case, including United Nations "Earth Summit 1992" general secretary Maurice Strong, former Brazilian environment minister José Lutzemberger, U.S. ecology freak Amory Lovins of the Rocky Mountain Institute, and World Bank entropy economist Herman Daly.

In his last chapter—obviously meant to be the place where he sums up his philosophy—Goldsmith is very straightforward about what he is opposed to: "the religion of modern western man," which is "premised on the existence of one God, Who created man in His image; the belief that man—and only man—personifies Man on Earth; that he enjoys, in this world, a status apart, privileged in relation to all the other forms of life; and that nature has been created to be at his disposal." Later, Goldsmith singles out for attack that section of the Bible's Genesis 1:27-28, which reads: "God created man in His image. . . . And God said to them, Be fruitful, and multiply, and replenish the earth, and subdue it; and have dominion over the fish of the sea, and over the fowl of the air, and over every living thing that moveth upon the earth."

## Man considered a 'little creature'

To this, Goldsmith favorably contrasts "the religious vision of the peoples said to be primitive. . . . The men and women of primitive societies have an approach to nature that is full of respect and veneration. In the primitive world, the relation of man to nature is not that of exploiter to exploited, but a relation of harmony." Among such "primitive" groups, he puts "Buddhists and traditional Hinduists," as well as that current in Chinese philosophy in which man is assigned "a humble position," and is made to be a "little creature among the multitude of elements of nature." He also praises those pseudo-Christians who interpret these words to mean that man must have "stewardship" over the Earth.

Of course, such primitive societies don't have the networks of personal computers that expedite the speculative raids of a Soros or Rothschild, so they wouldn't, for long, whet the Goldsmith species' appetite for mugging and thievery.

Hence, Sir James Goldsmith pretending to be in favor of the sovereign nation-state, is as absurd an idea as Adolf Hitler announcing he had become a rabbi. The sovereign nation-state is inextricably linked to the notions that Goldsmith op-

poses; it emerged out of the Golden Florentine Renaissance, from the tradition of statecraft and philosophy associated with Dante Alighieri, Cardinal Nicolaus of Cusa, Niccolò Machiavelli, and other great figures from that period. Much to the point, is that France, under the 15th-century sovereign King Louis XI, was the first nation-state in Europe. That tradition, strengthened in later centuries by Cardinals Richelieu and Mazarin and by Jean-Baptiste Colbert, was later embodied by de Gaulle. It is precisely this heritage that Sir James Goldsmith and his British partners-in-crime seek to demolish.

## A Europe of Pol Pots

This fact is otherwise proven by the attendance at the April 22 founding meeting of one Freda Meissner-Blau, as representative of Austria. She is identified in the literature distributed by The Other Europe as "president of the most important pan-European ecological association, Ecoropa Europe."

Ecoropa is one of the institutions that launched the global ecology movement in the first place. When it was created in 1976, one of its stated purposes was to create "the Europe of the regions." One of its founders and early presidents was Switzerland's Denis de Rougemont, a Luciferian figure who bitterly opposed Judeo-Christian civilization and the sovereign nation-state. In his 1974 book, *The Future in Our Hands*, he wrote that what had to be eliminated was "the European virus: Europe as a colonizer spread throughout the world the formula of the nation-state, the belief in 2,500 calories a day for all and the morbid desire of having nuclear power plants."

## Brother Teddy

A leading light of Ecoropa, and member of its executive committee to this day, is Goldsmith's brother Teddy, from whom Sir James has borrowed a number of ecologist ideas. On April 23, in the course of reviewing a new book on the British green-ecology movement in the London *Guardian*, Patrick Wright stated that Teddy Goldsmith, while at the *Ecologist* magazine, pursued a "hunter-gatherer ideal," leading to the publication in 1972 of the "influential" *Blueprint for Survival* (a book which the LaRouche movement thoroughly refuted shortly thereafter in a widely circulated pamphlet titled "Blueprint for Extinction"). Wright reported that "members of this doomsaying circle were capable of drawing bizarre and lamentable conclusions. They foresaw a significant role for the police and the courts in supervising the cataclysmic changes that were surely to come, described racial tolerance as a sign of cultural decline, and even got around to commending Pol Pot's Khmer Rouge as 'pioneers of decentralized rural society.'"

Such are the creatures that that great defender of the "Europe of the Fatherlands," Sir James Goldsmith, patronizes and befriends.