

which we conducted with him, that the problem was that the proportion of the white Anglo-Saxon race had shrunk to 15%, while the black, brown, and yellow people now constituted 85% of the world's population. According to him, it was of the utmost urgency that this trend be reversed!

What the Jews were for Adolf Hitler and the Nazis, the gypsies, the Slavs, and the "useless eaters," is what the allegedly overpopulated nations of the so-called Third World are today for the supporters of the U.N.'s depopulation plans. But even the inhabitants of the Mediterranean region no longer fulfill the criteria for a pure Anglo-Saxon race—for which reason these circles are jubilant about the "significant collapse" of birth rates in Italy.

The parallels between the argumentation in what the Nazis said about the occupied territories of eastern Europe, and what the neo-malthusians say about the Third World, are overwhelming. For example, Dr. Friedrich Burgdorfer, director of the Reich Statistical Office, made the prognosis at the Berlin World Population Conference, that in the year 1960, if the birth rates remained the same as they were at that time during the Third Reich, the Slavs would constitute over half of the European population, while the proportion of Germans would sink to one-fourth. He illustrated his remarks with graphs, which are identical to those used nowadays to illustrate the distribution of populations.

Another example: The late French geopolitician F.O. Miksche wrote in 1991: "At the present time, 5.3 billion people live on the Earth. While the population in the western industrial countries is growing by an average of only 0.5%, the growth of birth rates in the Third World is between 2% and 3%. Only in the United States is the population growing at a rate of about 3 million annually; but over half of this is accounted for by colored people, [so the increase in whites] is by no means sufficient, together with Europe, to hold the balance against Asia, Africa, and Latin America. With the far higher rates of birth in most countries of the Third World, within the relatively brief period of time of 30 years, for each 100 million people there will be only 10 to 12 million whites." Miksche concluded from this prognosis, that there would be "intercontinental race wars," since the western countries are practically inviting their future attackers to restore themselves by force of arms at the expense of the industrial countries.

Déjà vu? These are precisely the premises upon which the official declaration of the French government on the Cairo conference are founded! Where has the spirit of the Resistance against the Nazis gone?

The French author Jean-Christophe Rufin has performed a useful service with his book *The Empire and the Barbarians*, pointing out that the unspoken but nonetheless real premise of the policy of the countries of the North, is that the world is already split into two parts. Only 15% of the world is in a condition of relative stability, while 85% of the world has become a zone of permanent instability, a new *terra incognita*—an area where even journalists or relief organiza-

tions cannot go because of the starvation, gang warfare, and epidemics.

The operative policy of the governments and leading institutions of the North, in Rufin's evaluation, is to construct a new Limes wall, just as was done during the Roman Empire, around the one-fifteenth of the world population which lives in the zone of relative stability, while the rest of the world is allowed to degenerate into chaos for centuries to come.

But there are far more brutal versions of such scenarios from authors who feel no moral scruples in the face of a perspective such as what Rufin at least reflects. For example, the top strategist of the New York Council on Foreign Relations, Samuel Huntington, predicted coming wars between civilizations, and he considers it unavoidable, if not desirable, that over 85% of the world will be devastated for centuries by a new Dark Age, by wars, epidemics, and starvation.

So in Cairo, the issue will not be friendly family-planning in calm and prosperous times; the issue is an enforced reduction of the population in a world where the Rwandas, the Bosnias, the Georgias become increasingly numerous under conditions of a steadily worsening economic crisis. To distribute condoms to the refugees in Rwanda and the victims in Bosnia—that is no sarcastic joke, that is the praxis of the

## Cairo organizers are 'aggressors against God'

*Excerpts from remarks delivered by His Reverend Eminence Cardinal Silvio Oddi, former president of the Vatican Congregation for the Clergy, to a Rome conference cosponsored by the Italian Solidarity Movement and EIR on June 23:*

I have to speak about a problem I know up to a point, which I know doctrinally, but I am not an expert on the subject. I have a personal fact which I would like to allow myself to tell you. I was born into a family of 14 children, seven girls and seven boys, one girl, one boy, etc. I have told myself, always thinking about the Lord, if instead they had stopped at 10, I would never have existed. So I am a gift of God.

And now we find ourselves facing somebody who wants, out of a whim, to keep these creatures from being born whom God has destined for existence and in which we can find something good, saying that there are too many people and this has to be avoided. I remember, again, a personal story, when I was 14: My brother was going to get married, and the night before, about midnight, my mother made this speech: "Tomorrow you are getting married. Remember that matrimony is a sacrament

United Nations!

What is not openly discussed in the sanctimonious population debate, but what nevertheless defines the reality confronting the nations of the formerly so-called developing sector (no one today speaks seriously about development), is the fact that the leading institutions of the North have now replaced the East-West conflict of the postwar period, with the North-South conflict.

What is at issue is the presumed tangible interest in *Lebensraum* for the "white Anglo-Saxon race," where the standard of living is of course supposed to be maintained, even if this be possible only at the expense of billions of people in the South and East. The credit conditions of the International Monetary Fund and the trade conditions imposed by GATT [General Agreement on Tariffs and Trade] leave no doubt that this is the case.

*Lebensraum* and high living standards for the white race, and even so only for a small oligarchical upper crust: That is the issue: that these people find themselves in the tradition of British colonialism as well as Nazi ideology—what can the victims do against it?—and, who in the materialistic and hedonistic-oriented North is going to even notice what is going on?

## History repeated

Consider the following quotation:

"In the areas in question we have to push a deliberately negative population policy. With propaganda campaigns, especially in the press, radio, movies, leaflets, short brochures, educational presentations and the like, the population must be induced toward the thought of how damaging it is to have many children. We must point to the costs which children entail, and then it should be pointed out what could have been bought instead. The great dangers to the health of women which can emerge in births can be pointed out, and the like.

"In addition to this propaganda, generous propaganda in favor of means of birth control must be spread. An industry specialized in such means has to be created. Neither the approval or dissemination of birth control means should be punishable, nor abortion. We ought to absolutely promote the establishment of abortion institutes. Midwives and medics can be trained to give abortions. The more professionally the abortions are carried out, the more the population will gain trust in this respect. Also physicians must of course be permitted to carry out abortions, without a violation of his medical oath coming into question.

and should be treated as a sacred thing. I am going before the tribunal of God without any reproach; you do the same." And my brother did the same.

I have said to myself: These people who humanly think so simply, express what the will of God is on the question of life, and they are more intelligent and inspired by God than these scientists who claim to be the ones to decide how many children should be born into a family, how many youngsters should grow up and develop, and how many should be suppressed. But who are we, human creatures, to be able to decide the destinies of God—to be able to make and give the duties which God has not imposed on us, and to restrict God's ideas and the permissions which God has granted us?

We cannot in our life be the aggressors against God; we have to be the children of God. We are born by God's will, we have an existence from Him; we come from God, and we are on our way back toward God. These are the fundamental principles of humanity which we must keep in mind: We cannot forget God.

Even the pagans, even those who have not heard of the true God, have a concept of divinity. It may not be exactly right, but there is a concept of divinity. There is something on which they depend, there is someone who tells them that in this world there is the true God. I cannot act differently from the laws of nature. It seems such a simple thing—simple directives for those who are aware of responding to God. It is possible that these scientists,

these know-it-alls who seek to run the world, have the whim to oppose God because they have no objectivity. We speak with conviction and persuasion, because birth is truly a gift of God, and because we can live on this Earth aspiring to that other life for which we have been created. We are a simple matter, we are endowed with just one body, but we have something that goes beyond our earthly existence, and that is what counts. If not, we would be at the level of animals, able to talk perhaps, but without this soul which is what distinguishes us truly from the other earthly beings.

This is what counts in us, this part which associates us more with the divine than with the human. This is why even personally I find that it is a crime to be able to think of a conference which wants to completely change the course of humanity, which wants to dictate how many children a family ought to have, which wants to dictate how many children should be born in one country, in a people, what is the number of the babies who can live in the various nations. . . .

This is why I say that I completely approve of what the Holy Father said against this Cairo conference, which surely has aims contrary to God's will, and we cannot collaborate in an action which goes against God. . . . I hope that there will be enough good will, enough intelligence, to reconsider these projects which they have made, and rather return to God's will and that of the poor. It is my wish, and I also say that it is my prayer. . . .