

is much tougher even than the parks. You are going to be tougher on your brother-in-law if you catch him poaching, since he is stealing from you.”

The whole process, of course, is coordinated by the WWF, both directly and through its control over the park system. It is they who do the “studies” which show the “comparative advantage” to wildlife versus cattle, for instance. It is they who conduct the wildlife “aerial surveys” of game, and they who advise in setting the quotas of local communities. It is they who finance the “upgrading of the skills of the game scouts.” And, it is their friends in the IMF and World Bank—often members of the WWF or the 1001 Club—who enforce the grinding poverty which makes the whole business look tempting in the first place.

To the villagers, looking at the system from the bottom up, the Campfire system has numerous attractions, foremost of which is earning hard currency. But if it actually helps people, and actually protects wildlife, why would the WWF be financing this program, given its indisputable track record in slaughtering people, as well as animals, all over Africa and beyond?

### ‘A revolutionary principle’

According to its enthusiastic, almost messianic proponents, Campfire is a “genuinely revolutionary principle” with “revolutionary implications” for the political and economic order of the countries in which it becomes established, which are soon to include Ethiopia, Cameroon, Tanzania, Malawi, and Kenya, among others. It is indeed revolutionary, and that is why the WWF backs it so strongly.

As demonstrated in *EIR*’s “The Coming Fall of the House of Windsor,” the African parks were set up as a “population control” measure against the natives. Key in this process was the extensive paramilitary apparatus put in place to “guard” the parks, which apparatus was then used to organize the guerrilla gang-pseudogang warfare from protected bases in the parks, a process first perfected by the park personnel in Kenya. Such manipulated warfare has ravaged Africa since independence.

Now, under Campfire and related programs, the amount of territory under national park control is growing dramatically, as is the armed force of “anti-poaching units” under their control. Furthermore, the Zimbabwe national park system,


## Beware Prince Philip, Sunday Times warns

*EIR*’s Oct. 28 *Special Report*, “The Coming Fall of the House of Windsor,” prompted a London *Sunday Times* columnist to warn on Nov. 6 that the royal consort to Queen Elizabeth II, Prince Philip, is not someone whom one attacks with impunity.

In an article on Prince Charles’s trip to California the week before, Los Angeles-based reporter Charles Goodwin wrote: “There were no large crowds, but those who did turn out were broadly sympathetic. . . . The only mildly dissonant note on a very successful tour was the constant presence of a couple of protesters, whose anger seemed to be directed not so much at the prince as his father, alleging that he was involved in an intricate plot involving the World Wildlife Fund, Rwandan game reserves, and depopulation. Had they got close enough, Charles might have told them the Duke of Edinburgh is someone you mess with at your peril.”

The *Sunday Telegraph* account of Charles’s trip mentions that there were protests from a few groups, one demanding reparations for “The Rape of Africa,” and another with a banner reading, “The Queen *Does* Push Dope.”

Off-prints of *EIR*’s *Special Report* may be purchased for \$10, plus \$2.50 shipping and handling (\$.50 each



additional copy). Make check payable to: EIR News Service, P.O. Box 17390, Washington, D.C. 20041-0390. Bulk orders available on request. Call (800) 453-4108.