Book Reviews

A howler of a book on Machiavelli, Leonardo

by Michael J. Minnicino

Machiavelli, Leonardo, and the Science of Power

by Roger Masters South Bend, Indiana: University of Notre Dame Press, 1996 384 pages, hardbound, \$32.95

Roger Masters's *Machiavelli*, *Leonardo*, and the Science of *Power* is an infuriating book which makes one want to howl out loud.

Unfortunately, I suspect that Professor Masters wouldn't see such howling as inappropriate behavior.

Allow me to explain.

The book's advertised subject is speculation on the relationship between the 16th century political philosopher Niccolò Machiavelli, and the polymath genius Leonardo da Vinci. "Speculation," because—despite the fact that both men were repeatedly in the same place, at the same time, and definitely knew each other—there is virtually no "hard" evidence that the two were any more than nodding acquaintances; neither man, for instance, cited the other's work. For decades, under the influence of people like the British art historian Sir Kenneth Clark, most scholars have shied away from a comprehensive investigation of the obvious Machiavelli-Leonardo link. Indeed, according to an article in the *New York Times* on Dec. 8, 1996, Masters's discussion of a strong link between the two has already been attacked by some of his academic peers as "simplistic."

To his credit, Masters argues that Leonardo's scientific and artistic outlook can be seen, albeit without formal attribution, in the younger Machiavelli's theoretical breakthroughs. Masters carefully documents the well-known points of connection between the two men, including Leonardo's preparatory sketches for "The Battle of Anghiari." This painting, never finished, was commissioned by the Florentine Republic, and was to be hung in the meeting chamber of the Republic's leaders; Machiavelli, a member of that government, himself co-signed Leonardo's commission for the painting.

Masters also highlights Leonardo's extensive study of dams, irrigation, and river diversion, which included some of the artist's most fascinating topological and hydrological drawings. The author demonstrates that Leonardo's efforts were intimately connected to attempts by Florence, coordinated by Machiavelli personally, to divert the River Arno in order to bring about the surrender of Pisa, a town which threatened Florentine interests.

In fact, the high point of this book is the eloquence with which the author compares Leonardo's plans for the technological mastery of the great waters of northern Italy, with Machiavelli's oft-repeated metaphor that Fortune is best seen as a wild river—a thing which might occasionally leap its banks and cause unexpected destruction, unless political leadership has taken the precaution of creating wise laws and an informed citizenry that will act to dam and channel the overflow.

This interesting discussion goes on for 85 pages. Then, on page 86, things get very, very strange.

Start howling now!

Suddenly, we are asked to "consider the social organization of Hamadryas baboons," and to contemplate "exactly what does animal leadership teach us about human nature?" Professor Masters, it seems, is a political scientist with a strong predilection for that new academic peculiarity called "sociobiology." Within paragraphs, Masters is explaining to us that the effectiveness of Leonardo's sketches for "Anghiari" is partially due to the artist's depiction of "threat displays" in the faces of the portrayed soldiers, comparable to those proffered by certain primates.

Now, all this comes without much warning, so the transition from reasonable discussion to arrant nonsense is so wrenching as to be physically painful. Hence, the howling.

We find that Masters has two points on his actual agenda for this book. The first is to claim that Machiavelli is so thoroughly materialistic that he should be considered a precursor of Darwinianism and evolutionary biology. This assertion almost entirely rests on Masters's grotesque misinterpretation of another of Machiavelli's central metaphors. Masters uses Machiavelli's famous statement that society must sometimes act like the centaur Chiron—half man, and half beast—as proof that Machiavelli was trying to incorporate a crude form of modern biological determinism into his political theory.

Actually, Machiavelli's point is the opposite. As history's first, comprehensive theorist of warfare, Machiavelli insisted that citizens understand, without romantic illusion, that war is the worst and most bestial thing in which society can engage; as William Tecumseh Sherman, a reader of Machiavelli, put it: "War is hell." But Machiavelli also insisted, with St. Augustine, that this hell-on-earth may sometimes be justified. Therefore, Machiavelli's central problem was: What must a republic do to ensure that its

52 International EIR February 28, 1997

young men can act almost like beasts on the battlefield, without damaging their essential humanity? Machiavelli's metaphorical use of Chiron, the intellectual creature who, according to the myth, trained mankind in medicine and other arts, points to the Florentine's understanding of the need for republican education to combat bestiality, not amalgamate it.

Masters's second point is more destructive: that Machiavelli, under Leonardo's influence, marks the transition to the modern era, because his theory is completely "scientific," by which Masters means completely secular—indeed, completely anti-religious. Therefore, Masters claims, Machiavelli is also the precursor of Enlightenment atheists such as de Mandeville and Hobbes, and was one of the first to accomplish the "transvaluation of all values" dreamed of by Nietzsche!

On 'virtù' and morality

For reasons of space, we cannot undertake a full-scale defense of Machiavelli on this more-complicated point. Suffice it to say, that Masters again hinges his argument on a misinterpretation which is so specious that it is probably conscious. The professor makes a very big deal about the definition of one of Machiavelli's favorite words, *virtù*. The word may have meant "virtue" in the Christian sense, claims Mas-

ters, but Machiavelli "trans-valuated" it back to a pagan concept.

Nonsense! A Renaissance Italian would recognize virtù as a technical term. Leonardo used it to denote "power" in a physical process. Machiavelli broadened this, using the word to describe the potent mastery of an art or skill; even today, we talk about a "virtuoso"-someone who has the skill to make an instrument accomplish whatever conception the artist has. Machiavelli strictly understood that a "virtuoso" can bring an idea to reality, but that doesn't mean that the idea is "virtuous," moral, or correct—morality does not derive from power. The opposite of a "virtuoso," said Machiavelli, is someone who thinks that things can be accomplished by "halfway measures." The best modern synonym for Machiavelli's virtù is perhaps the German Entschlossenheit, popularized by a later theorist of war, Karl von Clausewitz, who wanted to describe the ability to courageously bring a conflict to its conclusion. Clausewitz was a close reader of Machiavelli; in fact, his famous dictum that "war is politics by other means," is based on one of Machiavelli's political parables.

So, despite its promising beginning, it were best to dump Professor Masters's book, and pick up a copy of the 1974 *The Unknown Leonardo*, edited by Ladslao Reti, a wonderful volume that outlines the Leonardo-Machiavelli link, and backs it up with beautiful illustrations.

LISTEN TO LAROUCHE ON RADIO



Frequent Interviews with Lyndon LaRouche on the Weekly Broadcast "EIR Talks"

ON SATELLITE Saturdays 5 p.m. ET Galaxy 7 (G-7) Transponder 14. 7.71 Audio. 91 Degrees West. SHORTWAVE RADIO Sundays 2100 UTC 5 p.m. ET WWCR 5.070 MHz

Cassettes Available to Radio Stations

Transcripts Available to Print Media

Local Times for "EIR Talks" Sunday Shortwave Broadcast

OII W W OIT	0.070 HH12
Adis Ababa , , . 0100*	Little Rock 1600
Amsterdam 2300	London 2200 Los Angeles 1400
Anchorage 1300	Los Angeles 1400
Athens 2400	Madrid 2300
Atlanta 1700	Manila 0600°
Auckland 1000*	Mecca 0100*
Baghdad 0100*	Melhourne 0800*
Baltimore 1700	Mexico City 1600
Bangkok 0500*	Milan 2300
Beijing. 0600*	Minneapolis 1600
Belfast	Montreal 1700
Berlin	Moscow 0100*
Robomian Grove 1400	New Delhi 0330*
Bohemian Grove . 1400 Bogota. 1700	New York 1700
Bonn	
Bombay 0330*	Norfolk 1700
Boston 1700	Oslo 2300
Bretton Woods 1700	Paris 2300
Bucharest 2400	Philadelphia 1700
Buenos Aires 1900	Pittsburgh 1700
Buffalo 1700	Prague 2300
Cairo 2400	Rangoon 0430*
Calcutta 0330*	Richmond 1700 Rio de Janeiro 1900
Caracas 1800	Rio de Janeiro 1900
Casablanca 2200	Rome 2300
Chattanooga 1700	St. Louis 1600
Chicago 1600 Copenhagen 2300	St. Louis 1600 St. Petersburg 0100*
Copenhagen 2300	San Francisco1400
Denver	Santiago 1800
Detroit 1700	Sarajevo 2300
Dublin	Seattle 1400
Gdansk 2300	Seoul 0700*
Gdansk 2300 Guadalajara 1600	Seoul 0700° Shanghai 0600°
Havana 1700	Singapore 0530*
Helsinki 2400	Stockholm 2300
Ho Chi Minh City . 0600* Honolulu 1200	Sydney 0800*
Honolulu 1200	Teheran 0130*
Hong Kong 0600*	Tel Aviv 2400
Houston 1600	Tokyo 0700*
Istanbul 2400	Toronto 1700
Jakarta 0500*	Vancouver 1400
Jerusalem 2400	Vancouver 1400 Vladivostok 0800*
Johannesburg 2400	Venice 2300
Karachi 0300*	Warsaw 2300
Kennebunkport 1700	Washington 1700
Kiev	Wellington 1000*
Khartoum 2400	Wiesbaden 2300
Miaitoum 2400	
Lagos	Winnipeg 1700
Lima	Yokohama 0700*
Lincoln 1600	Yorktown 1700
Lisbon 2300	* Mondays

EIR February 28, 1997 International 53