

Belgrade: "Time is not on his side." Gelbard praised Rugova, saying that Rugova is committed to unconditional talks on Kosova's future status, and will not abandon his policy of non-violent resistance to Serb oppression.

It is crucial that the Clinton administration's inclinations be translated into action. The British-sponsored bloodbath in Kosova cannot be allowed to drag on. Acting decisively against Milosevic would remove the British capability to use the Balkans to revive animosity between the United States and Russia, and possibly with China. In the midst of the global systemic financial crisis, and the urgent need for a U.S.-led creation of a new international financial system, such animosity between key world powers is the last thing this planet can afford.

The new Mideast peace offensive

by Joseph Brewda

With the British- and Israeli-manufactured Iraq crisis behind him, President Bill Clinton has tentatively begun a new diplomatic effort to force Israeli Prime Minister Benjamin Netanyahu to comply with the Oslo peace accords. According to a March 9 broadcast on Israeli TV, the President plans to unveil a new initiative by the end of the month, causing Netanyahu to be "concerned."

That Clinton was considering confronting Netanyahu's sabotage emerged publicly on March 2, when the Israeli daily *Haaretz* reported that Clinton was preparing a "take it or leave it" proposal for Netanyahu, to agree to another 13.1% pullout from the West Bank over a three-month period, and to end construction of Jewish settlements in the Occupied Territories, in return for phased Palestinian compliance with some Israeli demands. (By contrast, Netanyahu has offered a 6.3% withdrawal, and has rejected any settlement restrictions.) Netanyahu's spokesman, David Bar-Illan, denounced the initiative as an "ultimatum."

The significance of the demand is political and psychological. If Netanyahu were forced to comply with any Oslo requirement, however minimal, especially following a U.S. showdown, the Mideast climate would be dramatically transformed. If Netanyahu is not brought to heel, on the other hand, the region is headed toward war.

Netanyahu is also "concerned" about another U.S.-backed initiative: the forthcoming trip by UN Secretary General Kofi Annan to the Mideast, to review all unimplemented UN Security Council resolutions, and not just those pertaining to Iraq. The target is Israel, which has refused to comply with no fewer

than 69 UN Security Council resolutions (not counting 29 others vetoed by several U.S. administrations), with no reprisals—a double standard that has outraged the Arabs.

Dust in the eyes, and terror

Netanyahu has responded to Clinton's efforts with his typical mixture of provocation and fraud. On Feb. 23, Netanyahu called for new "Camp David" negotiations with Palestinian Authority President Yasser Arafat, even before Annan had returned to New York from Baghdad with the successful solution of the Iraq crisis in hand. Netanyahu's proposal was immediately ridiculed by the Palestinian Authority as an attempt to throw "dust in the eyes." Netanyahu also denounced the Iraq agreement on Feb. 24, and demanded a showdown with "nuclear bomb"-equipped Iran, to revive the war hysteria.

Most worrisome, Netanyahu is planning a major new "Islamic" terror offensive to discredit the U.S. initiative. On March 10, Israeli Shin Bet intelligence chief Ami Ayalon told the Knesset (parliament) that Hamas and other Islamic terrorist groups are "planning large-scale terrorist attacks unprecedented in scope." (These groups, as *EIR* has shown, are all controlled by Britain or Israel.) The suspicious shooting of three Palestinian workers in Hebron by Israeli soldiers on March 10, which immediately sparked riots, is typical of the provocations in store.

Israeli officials also fanned out to Europe and the United States in the first week of March, to sabotage Clinton's efforts, with Netanyahu travelling to Britain, Germany, Norway, and Spain; Defense Minister Yitzhak Mordechai to France and the Netherlands; and Netanyahu's top aides, David Bar-Illan and Uzi Arad, to the United States.

Then, on March 6, British Foreign Secretary Robin Cook suddenly announced Britain's first-ever "Mideast peace initiative," in competition with Clinton's. According to Israeli TV, Clinton called British Prime Minister Tony Blair after the announcement, telling him not to discuss Blair's proposal with Netanyahu in their upcoming meeting, since the United States was preparing one of its own. Cook will travel to the Mideast in mid-March, on what the London *Daily Telegraph* terms a "diplomatic assault," soon to be followed by Blair.

Netanyahu "warmly" received the news of Blair's proposal, the *Jerusalem Post* reported, and in his March 8 meeting with the British Prime Minister, said he "would welcome an increased role for the European Union in the Middle East peace process." The U.S. State Department's reaction to the maneuver was frank. Its officials told the *Jerusalem Post* that Netanyahu's recent trip to Europe was "meant to distract attention from the stalled Palestinian track."

Redeployment or war

For their part, sane Arabs and Israelis alike see the new initiative as a chance to avert war, and are engaged in hectic diplomacy to prepare for it. On March 7, Arafat called for

an Arab summit to coordinate efforts against Netanyahu's intransigence; that was also the topic of Egyptian President Hosni Mubarak's meeting with Jordan's King Hussein in Cairo on March 9. Also on March 9, Jordan's Crown Prince Hassan met Netanyahu in Israel, where he demanded that Israel undertake a "large-scale redeployment from the West Bank in 1998." King Hussein is scheduled to arrive in Washington on March 19.

On March 8, in Israel, 1,550 former senior military officers and police commanders, including former Chief of Staff Gen. Zvi Tsur and 70 other retired generals, published an open letter in the Israeli daily *Yediot Aharonot*, demanding that Netanyahu honor the Oslo Accords, and choose peace over settlements. "The West Bank and Gaza Strip are powder kegs on the verge of exploding," one of the letter's initiators, Naftali Raz, told the paper. "It is either a redeployment or war."

Kosova: Britain's war against the U.S.A.

by Umberto Pascali

A British subject, Maj. Milos Stankovic, has gone on trial in Guildford, England, for treason. He had given the "Greater Serbian" war criminals Ratko Mladic and Radovan Karadzic every NATO plan and confidential piece of information he managed to get his hands on, in his position as the right-hand man of Gen. Sir Michael Rose, the British head of the UN "peacekeeping" forces in Bosnia and commander of British special forces, SAS. The only reason that Stankovic was arrested, is that certain U.S. circles applied very strong pressure on the recalcitrant British. Indeed, Stankovic is being interrogated in the presence of U.S. intelligence officials. He is indeed a "traitor," but clearly of the same type as Kim Philby (a British triple agent, who defected to the Soviet Union but always worked for the British). As sources close to Stankovic have stressed, the major did not do anything without the knowledge and the approval of his commanders.

It is possible that the Stankovic case will shed considerable light on the war the British have conducted against the United States in the Balkans, not only during 1990-95, but going much farther back. The documentation we present in this section begins with the case of the first "Eurasian land-bridge," the Berlin-Baghdad Railway, at the turn of the last century; the historical record shows why the British, starting with Lloyd George, nicknamed the "Greater Serbians" the "Guardians of the Gate" of the British Empire.

The Stankovic trial begins exactly as the British oligarchy has again given the "green light" to Serbian dictator Slobodan

Milosevic, this time in Kosova. Again, a spiral of genocide and war has been initiated.

Once President Bill Clinton successfully escaped from the trap that the British had laid for him in the Mideast—they sought to propel him into a war against Iraq—London moved to initiate another strategic distraction, this time in the Balkans. The British again offered the "poison apple" of a Washington-London alliance. British Prime Minister Tony Blair and Foreign Secretary Robin Cook screamed about "dictator Milosevic," and declared their pessimism that the new spiral of war could be stopped. But unfortunately for them, it is no longer possible to hide how the British have set up, instructed, and led the "Greater Serbians." It is not possible to hide the war the British have conducted directly against the United States.

It is no longer possible to cover up the infamy associated with individuals such as Stankovic, Gen. Sir Michael Rose, and other leaders of the British special forces. How many people died because of what General Rose and the SAS did to provoke war between the Croats and Bosnians? How many people died because of the treason of Major Stankovic? How many people died because of the determination of Rose and Co. to prevent air strikes against the "Greater Serbian" genocidal aggressors? Because of the explicit, treasonous order by a British general to his men: "Do not identify targets" for NATO bombing?

Colonel Apis and 'Arkan'

The documentation below shows how the criminality of Mladic or Karadzic would have been nothing more than an impotent form of mental disease, were it not for the constant support of British intelligence. The same is true in Kosova today. The "green light" came precisely after the British defeat in Iraq. The Greater Serbians had their plan prepared well in advance, as they did in Croatia and Bosnia.

One historical figure whose role is particularly important to understand, is the head of Serbian intelligence at the beginning of the century, Dragutin T. Dimitrijevic, known as Colonel Apis. He was working according to a British plan to ensure the complete control of Britain (and its "junior partner" France) over Serbia. In 1903, he organized a coup d'état and the assassination of the previous Serbian monarchical family, which was then replaced by the Karadjordjevics.

As the explosion of World War I approached, the activities of Apis became more and more frantic. In 1911, he created Union or Death—also known as the Black Hand—a secret terrorist group aimed at taking over Bosnia. The group recruited young students, such as Gavrilo Prinzip, the terrorist who killed the Austrian heir to the throne, Franz Ferdinand, and his wife in Sarajevo, in 1914: the trigger for World War I. Less well known is that the youngest of the 11-man terrorist team deployed in Sarajevo was Vaso Cubrilovic, the author in 1937 of a plan for the "Expulsion of the Albanians" from Kosova (see *Documentation*).