

and which the U.S. is supporting officially?

Hanooti: Yes, but it's kind of a tricky resolution, because—

EIR: That's a nice way of putting it.

Hanooti: Yes. To make it simple, we are advocating kind of a permanent resolution to get rid of the sanctions, but not *this* one. We told them already, "Okay, you keep the military sanctions and free the whole Middle East from all that trash—the nuclear"—I mean, we want the whole Arab world and the whole Middle East to be free of all weapons of mass destruction, whether it's Iraq, Israel, Turkey, or Iran.

But not just Iraq! It doesn't make sense. We would love to get rid of all those weapons. So I would love to see, as a policy, whether it's the U.S. or the United Nations, just focus on that. Okay, let's lift the sanctions against Iraq, and get rid of all those so-called weapons of mass destruction or nuclear weapons—let's make it a zone free from all those weapons.

As an organization, I would love to focus on the United States, because I want to help a lot, especially because we are an Arab-American organization. We want to help our

community all over the country, until this Iraq thing is over. And I think the point that everybody should focus on—because it's unprecedented in the history of humankind, that genocide like that is taking place under the umbrella of the international community or the United Nations. It's like legalized genocide. That's what makes it unique.

So that's why we are 100% against it, because you cannot justify any bloodshed, whether it's Muslim, Christian, Jewish, Arab, non-Arab—we cannot accept that.

Also, I want to explain that we are not working for Iraq because it's Iraq; we advocate this as a policy: We don't like this sanctions regime or system to be applied to *any* country. Because as you know, most of the countries of the world are now under U.S. sanctions. And, we are against that.

EIR: Are you working also in Sudan, for example?

Hanooti: No, but as a principle, while we are advocating lifting the sanctions against Iraq, we don't want this to happen to any other nation, whether it's Cuba or another country. And especially when it comes to human life, as in this case: We are losing human lives because of it.

'LaRouche Doctrine' published in Moscow

The issue of the Russian newspaper *Ekonomicheskaya Gazeta* which came out on July 15, carries a translation of Lyndon LaRouche's April 7 article, "The LaRouche Doctrine on the Balkans Crisis." The article appeared in *EIR* on April 16. It is a concise, 10-point analysis of the British geopolitical motivation of the bombing of Yugoslavia, the danger of world war, and the "remedies"—above all, the immediate formation of a New Bretton Woods monetary system among sovereign nation-states, with a leading role to be played by the United States, China, Russia, India, and at least one major continental European power.

Ekonomicheskaya Gazeta introduced LaRouche's policy statement, with an article titled "The Americans Are Under the Heel of the British—And So Is the Rest of the World." Here, the editors mention that LaRouche has also published a longer policy document, "Balkan Peace and World Economy."

The introduction emphasizes that LaRouche links "the not only insane and senseless, but essentially criminal" bombing, with "the ongoing world financial crisis." It is an attempt by "the world financial oligarchy" to use "the traditional colonialist methods of instigating military conflicts, through governments and international organizations under its control." The commentary notes that, during the bombing, mouthpieces of the oligarchy were telling everybody that the world financial crisis had ended, "although, in reality, nothing of the

sort had happened." Now, after the end of the bombing, there is new stock market and currency turmoil, and new military conflicts such as Israel's bombing of Lebanon and "the known provocations of Britain in Pakistan, against India."

"Lyndon LaRouche, who sees the British monarchy and its American henchmen (A. Gore, M. Albright, W. Cohen, Z. Brzezinski, et al.) as the initiators of the Balkan War, . . . views that war as a sort of gambit in a chess game, intended to wipe a weakened Russia from the world map once and for all." LaRouche likewise warns of attempts to destroy China, says *Ekonomicheskaya Gazeta*, noting the explosion of London-financed operations in Central Asia, directed against Russia, China, Iran, India, and even Pakistan.

"The role of President Clinton, who in Russia is considered to be the main guilty party in this world destabilization and the aggressive actions of the U.S.A. and NATO, is assessed somewhat differently by LaRouche. He believes that Clinton has been under constant attack by the British monarchy," culminating in his impeachment, and, most recently, supplemented by overt attacks on the President by his own Vice President, Al Gore.

"In such circumstances, it is difficult for the President to make rational, constructive decisions. The President is only a high-ranking official, without the ability to conduct his own theoretical research; he believes the briefings he receives." Thus, the U.S. President is pressured by ill-wishers around him, and by artificially shaped "public opinion."

"Lyndon LaRouche puts forward a definite view: We should acknowledge that the main cause of all these woes is the current financial system. A fundamental change in that system should spark a change of economic policy in the entire world."