Collins) principally aimed at spreading the lie that, in the autumn of 1983, the Soviet government was moments away from launching a pre-emptive nuclear strike against the West, based on the paranoid delusion that Ronald Reagan's Strategic Defense Initiative (SDI) signified U.S. plans to stage a nuclear attack on Moscow at the earliest possible date.

In fact, as *EIR* had revealed, Gordievsky, a long-time British intelligence "mole" inside the London KGB station,

had been used by the government of British Prime Minister Margaret Thatcher, in 1983, to dissuade President Reagan from going aggressively forward with the SDI proposal, as devised by Lyndon LaRouche, which focussed on *U.S.-Soviet collaboration* to create a global shield against nuclear missiles and end the tyranny of Leo Szilard and Bertrand Russell's nuclear terror doctrine of Mutually Assured Destruction (MAD).

The KGB librarian hoax

This statement was issued on Sept. 22 by Democratic Presidential pre-candidate Lyndon H. LaRouche, Jr.

A recent book by an Oxbridge Professor, Christopher Andrew, pretends to unveil a wonderful story of Soviet spies galore, allegedly caught red-handed. The basis for this hoax is allegedly a collection of documents conduited into Britain by a former KGB librarian, one Vasili Mitrokhin. No elaborate investigation is needed to show that the Andrews book is a hoax, basically a manure-pile of frauds with a few kernels of fact included to assist in deluding the wishfully credulous.

The circumstances are sufficient to prove conclusively that the Mitrokhin legend is a British intelligence services' concocted hoax. Unfortunately, the hoax is of the type likely to be treated as fact by some American fools in the Congress or Vice-President Al Gore's cronies of the Principals Committee.

Look at the bare facts, as reported by Professor Andrew and other relevant British sources themselves. The following items are crucial for assessing the story being spread. The crucial facts are as follows:

- 1. According to Professor Andrew, et al., Mitrokhin, a KGB librarian, approached first U.S. and then British intelligence services, offering to defect to the NATO powers. Ostensibly, the U.S. turned down this worthless creature, but the British Foreign Office, true to its Benthamite heritage, took a different view of the matter. The Foreign Office is an expert in the business of salvaging something salable from even mere trash.
- 2. Mitrokhin defected as arranged. But, according to the British account, he left a treasure-chest of papers, tucked under his dacha, back in the Soviet Union. The tale continues, reporting that it was none other than British MI6's celebrated Tomlinson who retrieved that chest of papers, and brought them to Merrie Olde England.
 - 3. The British do not claim that these papers were KGB

documents, but, rather, personal papers on which Mitrokhin made note of his knowledge of certain actual KGB documents. Immediately, the competent U.S. counterintelligence specialist begins wondering about the dating of the writing of the original note-papers which Tomlinson presumably delivered back to Merrie Olde England. Anyone wish to volunteer to pick out the kernels of corn from a stinking manure-pile? Maybe avowed manure specialist Al Gore?

- 4. Things then become, as Alice said, "curiouser and curiouser." "Why wasn't this reported!" screamed the British parliamentarians to the offices of MI5. "All these years?" they are reported to have pointed out.
- 5. It might appear that Oxbridge had suddenly revealed entire nests of Soviet spies which MI6's work had identified, and for whom MI5 had covered up all these passing years. Are you fool enough to believe any of this?
- 6. If you were seated at a banquet, and a British Oxbridge type, disguised as a waiter in full dress, were to deliver such a plateful of manure to your seat, might you not challenge the purported innocent waiter, at the very least, "Why you bring this crap to the table just now?"
- 7. Let us all suddenly grow up. The Blair monarchy, abetted by fools among both Bush Republicans and Gore Whatevers, are engaged in escalating the global strategic situation along the lines repeatedly proposed by lunatic Zbigniew Brzezinski and his political "Leperello," Samuel P. Huntington. The highly artificed Mitrokhin Flap is purely and plainly one of those hoaxes, even less believable than the Gordievsky swindle, which comes along whenever British sheep-herders take to stampeding enrageable American political sheep.

Watch carefully now! Watch to see which mass-media channels, which foaming-mouth Republicans, and which Gore cronies are going to now quote the Mitrokhin papers of Professor Andrew as authority for another anti-Russia, anti-Clinton scandal? Down the line, the possibility of actual exchanges in nuclear weapons, in the Central Asia theater, and even beyond, are waiting to greet the fools, perhaps at the Gates of Hell itself.

EIR October 1, 1999 International 45