

FBI official Oliver “Buck” Revell, the Bureau’s man on the George Bush/Oliver North Iran-Contra “Secret Team,” had been assigned to instruct Kissinger’s lawyers on how to trigger such an illegal probe. But, what the source revealed would later be corroborated through Freedom of Information Act disclosures of the secret correspondence between Kissinger and the FBI.

The source warned: The DOJ and the FBI would launch a national security investigation against LaRouche, based on “secret” allegations that LaRouche was engaged in terrorism or espionage on behalf of hostile foreign powers. The provisions of Executive Order 12333 and other guidelines gave law enforcement and intelligence agencies broad powers to conduct “pro-active” operations against legitimate national security targets. However, the FBI routinely abused those guidelines, by conducting bogus national security investigations, to obtain secret data on targeted individuals and groups, to be used in frame-up prosecutions.

The source explained that the secret national security program would either come up with genuine evidence of criminal conduct, or, in the absence of any proof of criminal wrongdoing, *would manufacture it*.

That “evidence” would then be shopped into the DOJ Criminal Division and the FBI, via a source ostensibly unattached to the national security operation, complaining about a “garden variety” criminal violation. Thus, the Justice Department could claim to be launching a criminal probe, in response to an unsolicited complaint.

The source emphasized that the success of the *modus operandi* depended on keeping the very existence of the illegal national security operation secret, and often involved *in camera* pleadings before judges about “grave national security concerns.”

Suro’s report in the *Washington Post* confirmed that precisely such a dual-track strategy has been at the heart of DOJ-FBI operations for some time. Victoria Toensing, a Reagan-Bush DOJ official who played a direct role in the “Get LaRouche” task force, told the *Post*, “Gathering intelligence and investigating a criminal case can be just two different ways of going at a similar task, but they each have their own rules, and information that fits in one may not fit into the other.”

Suro explained: “When a case crosses the boundaries between national security and criminal investigation, the FBI sets up one team—the dirty or dark team—drawn from the National Security Division, known bureaucratically as ‘division five,’ to handle the intelligence. Another team—the clean or light team—taken from the FBI’s Criminal Division, or ‘division six,’ builds the case that will be presented in court. . . .

“Between the two teams stands an FBI attorney with specialized training who determines what information can be passed from the national security side to the criminal side . . . so that it protects the source but still points the criminal agents in the right direction.”

Has Buchanan followed Gore over the edge?

by Michele Steinberg

If you thought Jesse Ventura, Donald Trump, and H. Ross Perot were only slightly less strange than the witches trio in Macbeth, now hear this: gay liberation Marxist Lenora Fulani and Reform Party Presidential pre-candidate Pat Buchanan held a joint press conference at the National Press Club in Washington on Nov. 11, to announce Fulani’s endorsement of Buchanan.

Some Washington pundits think Buchanan was trying to compete with “alpha male” wannabe Al Gore, who gained about two weeks of media headlines and the spotlight on national television when it was revealed that he was paying one Naomi Wolf, a feminist writer who advises young girls on how to be in touch with their “shadow slut,” \$15,000 a month for advice on how to attract the women’s vote.

Fulani is now co-chairman of the Buchanan campaign, along with Bay Buchanan, sister of the candidate, and Pat Choate, who was Perot’s running mate in 1996. The Reform Party, which may have a primary race involving Trump and Perot, needs Buchanan to revive voter support. The Reform Party took a dive, from 19% of the vote in 1992, to 8.5% of the vote in 1996.

Looking behind the rhetoric of Fulani—who said that her participation is to “integrate that Peasant Army of his. . . . We’re going to bring black folks and Latino folks and gay folks and liberal folks” into the campaign because “this coalition is open to all”—one sees that the move is nothing but a marriage of convenience, and the dowry is approximately \$12.5 million in Federal election funds for the Reform Party.

A strange endorsement

According to the *New York Times* magazine of Oct. 24, Fulani and her real political soul-mate, perverse cult leader Dr. Fred Newman, *don’t want Buchanan to win*.

Fulani told the *Times* magazine that they just want Buchanan to get 10-15% of the vote, which Newman said, “keeps the dollars coming in, and it keeps us as America’s major minor party.” That’s because the Reform Party gets Federal money only if attracts at least 5% of the vote. When the *Times* asked, What if Buchanan wins the White House? Newman said, “Then, we’re all in trouble.”

Buchanan and Fulani appeared on the Fox-TV Sunday morning talk program (broadcast in the Washington, D.C. area) shortly thereafter, and showed just how pathetic their



Strange bedfellows: Ultra-radical feminist Lenora Fulani (at microphone) endorses Pat Buchanan for President. Pat looks as though he's seen better days.

alliance is. Challenged by host Tony Snow about Newman's alleged anti-Jewish statements, Fulani defended her relationship with Newman on the basis of their joint commitment to the fascist writing of Frantz Fanon, author of *The Wretched of the Earth*.

At the mention of Fanon, Buchanan grovelled, first reciting the name of the book in French (its original language), and then weakly asserting that he had written columns against Fanon in the 1960s and '70s. Buchanan tried to paper over the significance of the Fanon issue, by saying that his "difference" with Fulani shows how open the Reform Party is. Fulani retorted, "It was my favorite book in college."

The Fanon question gets at the heart of the issue. Buchanan calls himself a Catholic conservative who deeply believes in the idea that human beings are created in the image of God. As an intellectual of sorts, Buchanan knows that Fanon's theory—that personal violence and the bloodiest possible killing of an oppressor is the only route to liberation, especially for black Africa—is inimical to the idea of *imago Dei*. Fanon's ideas are not only the enemy of the republic, but are also a prescription for the mass elimination of Africans, as has been shown by the policies of the British Empire favorite, Uganda's President Yoweri Museveni, a follower of Fanon's ideas and an organizer of the massacres of Africans.

What is Lenora Fulani?

In 1996, Fulani successfully sold her wares to H. Ross Perot, who brought her "into the fold" of the Reform Party in a bid for the minority vote. Fulani and Newman had been the principal leaders of the New Alliance Party, a radical group that arose out of a psychotherapy cult in New York City. *EIR*

published the following background in 1996:

"The *New York Times* only hinted at the real story of wacko witch-doctors Fred Newman and Lenora Fulani. Their 'political' enterprise is actually built around a string of off-beat psychotherapy fronts, including: the East Side Center for Social Therapy, the Castillo Cultural Center of Greenwich Village, the All-Stars Talent Show Network, the East Side Institute For Short-Term Psychotherapy, and the Gaygles (a 'multisexual musical comedy improvisational group'). Fulani, who is on Perot's short list of possible running mates, is still a 'clinical staff member' of the East Side Center.

"Fulani (née Lenora Branch) was recruited 'to politics' via one of Newman's sex-therapy fronts. As she tells us in her autobiography, *The*

Making of a Fringe Candidate 1992:

"Fred Newman and I first met when Lois Holzman introduced us in 1978. Lois—now an internationally prominent developmental psychologist—and I were colleagues at Rockefeller University. . . . At that time I had been in individual therapy with a Black lesbian gestalt therapist for about a year. I wanted to be in group therapy, but my therapist only saw people individually.

"I was intrigued when I first came to [Newman's] New York Institute for Social Therapy and Research. They were talking about therapy and psychology in very progressive ways and they did group therapy, which I found very stimulating intellectually. . . .

"I pulled together a meeting of about 25 Black women psychologists and invited them to meet with Fred so we could decide whether we wanted to join the staff of the Institute. . . . We asked Fred to lead a study group on politics. . . . We wanted to read the *Communist Manifesto* with him."

"Marx's ideas are at the very core of social therapy, in the Newman cosmology. Lois Holzman recounts that Lev Vygotsky, a Russian psychologist, 'called the difference between what one can do "with others" and what one can do "by oneself" the Zone of Proximal Development. . . . Following Karl Marx, we call this process *revolutionary activity*' (Newman and Holzman, 1993)."

Buchanan will reportedly benefit from the alliance with Fulani by gaining access to free labor—volunteers from the Newman/Fulani psychotherapy wacko-centers who will petition to get him on the ballot. Competitors Trump and Perot have enough money to buy petitioners by the hour, at low wages, from temporary employment agencies.