

things that, I agree, are problems in Peru. I have to say: What created the problem? What is the infectious agent, which caused the problem? How must we deal with it? How must we give Peru the opportunity to free itself? I mean, a coup was made, by the Clinton Administration, overthrowing Fujimori! It was made, because of a speech that Fujimori made in Brazil, which implicitly was consistent with the policy perspectives of the Mercosur; which is in the vital interests of that part of South America, to have such policies.

So that Fujimori was toppled, not because he did something bad: He was toppled, because he did something good!

So, when a man is thrown out; exiled from his own country; lied about internationally, and sitting in refuge in Japan, after being *couped illicitly*, by an orchestrated coup d'état—I don't attack him. I don't agree with many of his policies. I and my associates objected to these liberal policies many times. But, I've always understood, that *I do not treat lightly, the problems and importance of a Presidency of a republic*—such as Mexico, for example. I don't have to agree with Fox, to defend the Presidency of Mexico. I do! I *must* defend the Presidency of Mexico! It's a republic: I must defend it. It's a partner-country of mine: I must defend it.

So, simplistic kinds of criticisms, we should not make. We should proceed with understanding, not with populist rhetoric; not with anarcho-syndicalist rhetoric. I saw anarcho-syndicalism in the form of Trotskyism: It doesn't work. We should therefore, abhor it, on those grounds alone!

So, no. I don't feel that strongly about that. I feel that Peru has been abused. It will be destroyed, under the present policies, where it probably could have survived a bit, under Fujimori. What has happened to Peru, *since* the overthrow, the coup d'état against Fujimori, is far worse than anything that happened under him. So how can we cheer for the tribulations of Fujimori? I don't. He's the President of a republic, honestly elected. An honest man, as Presidents go. He may have made mistakes; he may have had bad policies, but I have some understanding of why those policies were made. And I've always worked rather, as much as possible, *positively*, to help Presidents and other institutions of republics, to *improve* their policy. I don't walk in with hand grenades, trying to find ways to destroy them. I respect them; I respect the institution they represent; and I treat them accordingly. I try to *win them*, to a better policy. I try to *assist them*, in finding the means to adopt a better policy. [applause]

## Head-to-Head Against The WSF Jacobins

The worsening economic crisis of the nations of Ibero-America has unleashed Jacobin forces, shouting “anti-globalization” slogans, but actually funded by global speculators and attacking the existence of nation-states just as the IMF does. In the days around the Aug. 22-23 Guadalajara events led by LaRouche's MSIA, national radio coverage of those events on Argentine radio sent the Jacobins of the World Social Forum (WSF) into a public rage. The hysteria surfaced in Argentina following interviews on the Guadalajara seminar given by Marivilia Carrasco, president of the Ibero-American Solidarity Movement (MSIA) in Mexico, to Radio Splendid in Buenos Aires. The WSF is built with the funds of George Soros and fellow mega-speculator Sir Teddy Goldsmith.

Carrasco warned that there are two arms of the oligarchy operating internationally, that of the utopian faction which wants to provoke perpetual war, and that of the WSF, which feeds on popular repudiation of the results of globalization—usury and free trade—but wants to preserve the essence of globalization, which is the destruction of the nation-state. She reported that George Soros, with his fortune earned from globalization and speculation against national currencies, paid the ideologues of the

WSF. Carrasco insisted that while it is true that the national institutions of Ibero-America have been hijacked by any number of corrupt and IMF-compliant leaders, to seek to end this plague by destroying the national institutions themselves, is doing the financial oligarchy's dirty work for them.

In an Aug. 26 interview on Radio Splendid, Carrasco was told by the show's host that spokesmen of the World Social Forum had responded the day before to her charges. A TV journalist asked them what they thought of LaRouche's allegation that George Soros was behind the World Social Forum. The WSF spokesman had responded furiously, not to refute the charge, but to say that such a charge from Lyndon LaRouche could not be accepted. Carrasco then added to the story of George Soros, that of Teddy Goldsmith in the WSF. She exposed Soros as the world's leading source of funds for drug legalization campaigns, and the proliferation of his Open Society Foundations, from which human rights groups are financed as shock-troops against national institutions.

The Ibero-American nations actually face immediate threats of national fragmentation: Argentina, as the Province of Neuquén attempts to split away; Chiapas from Mexico; the near-successful drive by narco-terrorist armies to break up Colombia; all under “anti-globalization” rhetoric. Carrasco said there is no ending globalization without the total replacement of the IMF monetary system, which the MSIA's next major gathering will address in Paraná, Brazil, on Sept. 27-28.