EXESTRATEGIC Studies

IN THESE NEW YORK TIMES

President George W. Nero

by Lyndon H. LaRouche, Jr.

August 6, 2006

Everywhere, in the signs from Southwest Asia and the U.S. and European financial markets, we are seeing, without the slightest cause for equivocation, the announcement of the end, not of history, but of the legend of Francis Fukuyama. The present signs of that are now rising almost everywhere.

So, the U.S.A.'s now proverbial President George W. Nero has not actually even earned the dignity of bearing the blame for the catastrophe which his actions have already unleashed upon Trans-Atlantic society as a whole. Like a disease, the portion of blame he bears for the effects of his reign, lies not in his virtually non-existent foresights, but in the grave faults of his personal character. He is merely one among the many silly, if nasty fools who played the part assigned to asses like themselves. So, it could be said of all of the putative leading incumbent political authorities of the U.S.A. today and of western and central Europe, as it was said to Shakespeare's Brutus by Cassius: "The fault, dear Brutus, lies not in our stars, but in ourselves, that we are underlings." Thus, true to the evil tradition of ancient imperial Rome, half-witted George W. Bush, Jr. is the stand-in for Nero on this occasion. Many of our leaders in the Senate, and elsewhere, have also behaved of late as underlings.

In what popular opinion usually mistakes for the historically important figures of these nations today, such putative leaders of ours are, lately, fiercely committed to going to Hell. We saw this in the Senate's tolerant complicity in the rape of the strategically crucial U.S. machine-tool sector and its economic sovereignty by Synarchist Felix Rohatyn. As Cassius warned Brutus: like fabled lemmings, those dedicated underlings of the Senate membership, have nearly doomed themselves, and all of us, too, by their silly worship of the

popular tradition which their habituated underling's style of shared, go-along-to-get-along belief in ignorant popular, middle-class Baby-Boomer opinion's blindly ignorant faith in statistical fate, has inflicted upon us all. Like underlings, those members, and we their victims alike, are, momentarily, virtually doomed, like a legendary Croesus, as if by the Pythian Delphic Apollo cult of the "dirty, lousy crooks" of the Democratic Leadership Council (DLC).

The worst of it all, is that most among us, including most putative political leaders of today, accept that faith which is fit only for the legendary underlings. They chant such pitiable litanies as, "You can't put the toothpaste back in the tube." So, they chant, over and over, marching, with an hysterical glint in their eyes, along what is for them the dusty road of "our tradition," moving always toward the same Hell which ancient Sophist's Athens of ancient Pericles brought upon itself in its time.

These critical developments are deadly, but they are not the end of history; they define a critical point of radical changes in the course of history. For example:

Global Asymmetric Warfare

Take the increasing Hellish situation now spreading like a pandemic in Southwest Asia, and beyond.

During the past week's Senate hearings of the testimony of the Defense Department's Donald Rumsfeld, et al., one important statement of true facts from the professional military stands out as of crucial historical strategic significance, a point missed by most of the world's habitually stupid, currently leading press.

The testimony from among the professional serving generals, converged upon a discussion of qualitatively significant changes in the military situation on the ground in Iraq. This

16 Strategic Studies EIR August 18, 2006



discussion was relevant in itself; but, those facts, while true, miss the crucial point. The problem in Iraq today, is that the situation in the entire region is characterized by a qualitative shift from conventional warfare, so-called, into a different phase, not merely elements of asymmetric warfare, but the generalized asymmetric warfare for which the Bush Administration's Defense Department, and, also, the overwhelming majority in the Congress, are hopelessly unprepared.

This is the change toward what I treated, together with relative other varieties of specialists, under the rubric of "irregular warfare," during the course of the 1980s. At that time, I warned that the combined failure of the Soviet official Andropov, with our parties, to accept President Ronald Reagan's proffer of a discussion of what the President named "A Strategic Defense Initiative," confronted the world as a whole, with the inevitability of either general thermonuclear warfare during the course of, probably, sometime during the 1980s, or, in the alternative, a breakdown of the Soviet system during the same approximate time-frame, or the shift of the world as a whole toward an increasing role of the "irregular warfare" which Soviet usage named asymmetric warfare. As usual in matters of long-range forecasting, I was right, and all my opponents in this matter, from both the former western and eastern sides of that 1980s matter, have been proven totally mistaken in the method of their strategic thinking.

Such a qualitative change as echoed in the Senate hearing, is implicit in the aftermath of the earlier commitments, to Bertrand Russell's 1940s doctrine of "preventive nuclear attacks" on the Soviet Union, and the shift of the Russellites, during the 1960s to "mutual and assured thermonuclear de-

struction." In short, after the collapse of the Soviet Union, regular warfare could be fought by former U.S. allies only to the extent that the military power of Russia and China consented to limiting conflict within non-nuclear bounds of 1980s treaty-agreements on nuclear-weapons proliferation.

By breaking the essential political preconditions for continued agreement on those treaties, and aiming to crush Russia and its near-abroad, China, et al., the Anglo-American, proimperial (i.e., pro-globalization) financier interests of the Anglo-Dutch-Liberal-Synarchist cabal have created the axiomatic preconditions for global asymmetric warfare.

The changes in economic and monetary-financial policies, introduced jointly by the U.S. and British governments of the 1970s and 1980s, have destroyed the potential for durable peace, through the effects of the post-1971-1981 shift to a radically "free trade" form of "floating-exchange-rate" world monetary system. This change introduced a fresh, proimperialist, impulse toward eliminating the Franklin Roosevelt design of a Treaty of Westphalia-based world system of cooperation based upon principles of physical progress of nations, per capita and per square kilometer, under sovereign nation-state economy.

We must see this development as rooted in the post-1945, pro-imperialist change from Westphalian principles of international law, as rooted in the global utopian conceptions of H.G. Wells and his accomplice and nuclear-war architect Bertrand Russell. The successful assassination of President John F. Kennedy, combined with the targetting of France's President Charles de Gaulle and the targetting for early ouster of de Gaulle's partner Konrad Adenauer, were important stepping-

EIR August 18, 2006 Strategic Studies 17

stones toward the radical destruction of both the Bretton Woods system and the Roosevelt revival of the U.S. economy, set into full motion during the 1970-1981 interval.

The drive toward post-industrial utopias and related cultural and economic wrecking-games over the course of the recent three and a half decades, has created a deep cleavage of the quality of common interest on which peaceful cooperation among nations depends. The present George W. Bush, Jr. Administration has merely carried such germs of global asymmetric warfare to a state of ripeness at which either that trend is repudiated and dismembered now, or the presently imminent spread of asymmetric warfare into a global form of nuclear and other special kinds of weapons blended with unmitigated asymmetric conflict will blend with the onrushing, chain-reaction collapse of the world economy as a whole, a collapse which would spread rapidly from the Trans-Atlantic realm and Southwest Asia, spreading like that of Europe's Fourteenth Century, chain-reaction-style, throughout the world as a whole.

Meanwhile, the world economy in its present form, is at the stage of a chain-reaction collapse. The present world monetary-banking system is hopelessly bankrupt, as only wild-eyed liars and kindred morons and lunatics would still deny.

There is, in short, no way, in which the current trends in world policy, in the U.S.A., or in Europe, can continue without bringing on the early general collapse of civilization as a whole.

The military position of the U.S., and of Israel, in Southwest Asia, is presently utterly hopeless. Get out. Get out now. And bring in an entirely new policy, under which Israel and others submit to the reality that only a Westphalian alternative exists as viable.

History, as conceived by Francis Fukuyama and his like, is now dead. It is time to replace the dead with those who represent a living new, future history for all mankind.

All those who attempt to interpret current trends from a different standpoint than I emphasize here, will continue to be failures in assessment of the most crucial of the global strategic parameters.



LaRouche and EIR Staff Recorded Briefings —24 Hours Daily 918-222-7201, Box 595

In Your Guts, You Know He's Nuts

by Jeffrey Steinberg

The word is circulating in high-level Republican Party circles that former President George H.W. Bush is profoundly worried about the mental state of his son, the current President. According to the sources, Bush 41 has been communicating with his own intimate circle, including former National Security Advisor Brent Scowcroft, and former Secretary of State James Baker III, along with former President Bill Clinton, about G.W.'s over-the-top support for Israel's current selfdestructive assault on Lebanon. The ex-President has reportedly conveyed to his close associates that he fears that G.W. is in a messianic state and is "unreachable," even by such close advisors as Secretary of State Condoleezza Rice. *Insight* magazine, the online publication of the Washington Times, buttressed this account, reporting in early August that, for the first time, a rift has developed between Rice and President Bush, over the President's one-sided support for Israel, in the ongoing Israeli Defense Forces invasion of Lebanon.

The former Presidents—Bush and Clinton—who have worked together on post-Katrina humanitarian relief efforts and other projects, are reportedly attempting to encourage President Bush to take the diplomatic high ground, and avoid further bloodshed in Lebanon, which could spill over into an out-of-control "clash of civilizations" conflict, engulfing much of the planet.

Unfortunately for the Bush Family legacy, and for the fate of the United States and the world at large, there is scant evidence that the President is in the least interested in returning from the Far Side. If anything, his performance during an Aug. 7 press conference with Rice at Crawford, Texas, convinced many previously hopeful observers that George W. Bush is suffering from what some would dare to call "absolute insanity."

To be sure, the notorious sociopath, Vice President Dick Cheney, has been one of the principal Bush Administration architects of Israel's no-holds-barred invasion of Lebanon, and has been waging a behind-the-scenes war with an evermore inept and frustrated Condi Rice, over the issue. And, as even the *New York Times* noted on Aug. 10, National Security Council Near East chief Elliott Abrams, one of the leading "Clean Break" neo-cons remaining in the Bush White House inner circle, has been Cheney's mole, shadowing Rice to Israel and Lebanon, and reporting back to the Vice President's office, to make sure that the Israeli hardliners prevail, even if it means they steer the Jewish State

Strategic Studies EIR August 18, 2006