a delegate, but God! I wish I was! Because I would find it a high honor to represent those voters, I would take it very, very seriously. And we want these delegates back in our zone. We want them to go to the floor and vote for Hillary, when they have the opportunity.

And that, to me, that's what your listeners can do: If you know your delegates in your area, and you can go to the DNC website, and there is a list of the delegates, you can look up "certified delegate list for the DNC"—you can Google that. And you can download your state, and you can find out who your delegate is in your Congressional District, or your At-Large district. And contact them, and ask them to represent your voice.

'I Need Leaders!'

Schlanger: ... You connect this question of the economic crisis and the necessity for a candidate who will take on these policies, to the technical side of it, as opposed to merely those who are sort of stuck in the "Gee, it was unjust, it was fraudulent, it was corrupt." Yes, it was, but *why*? What's behind this?

Thomas: Yes, what's behind it? And I pray—I would like to give all my money to get in front of these superdelegates, and these party leaders, and make a little speech to them! I would like to say to them, that we have a lot of Americans that are hurting right now! And we need to take this very seriously: And this is not about "toeing the party line." This is not about having fear. I need leaders! I need leaders! George Washington, Thomas Jefferson, Benjamin Franklin. I need you guys to stand up and say, "We need to do the right thing by the American people. Not worry about money in our pockets, not worry about this power position that we're going to get. And we need to do the right thing."

I wish that I could get that opportunity. I know I won't, because I'm just a photographer in Los Angeles doing this thing out of my apartment. But those are the kinds of questions I've been asking myself throughout this election: Where are the leaders?! Where are our party leaders, who are willing to come forward and saying, "We need to stop this!" We need to stop subverting a process that's been put in place forever. We need to stop doing everything that we can, to help one candidate, and block another one! We need to consider the fact that we have a large delegation of Democrats in this country that are hurting right now! And that, we can't take a chance on the next four years, we can't risk four more years of having bad leadership to get us out of this!

Al Gore: Too Big for His British Genes

by Stephanie Nelson, LaRouche Youth Movement

This article is reprinted from an August 2008 LaRouche PAC pamphlet titled, "Covered in Gore."

Al Gore is evil. Not because of any of the things he does, but because of what he is. He's a British agent. Unfortunately, most Americans, and most people in the world, don't know what that means. One aspect of it is that he's a genocidal racist, or, you could say, an environmentalist. Each is a continuation of the absolute hatred for human beings that the British Empire embodies, and which has informed every empire since Zeus' Olympus. The British Empire model rests on an anti-scientific policy of population control, and this is what the modern environmentalist movement aims for: global depopulation to 2 billion humans or fewer. To succeed, would require the destruction of civilization itself.

Bad Religion

The iniquitous Rev. Thomas Malthus received a mathematics degree at Jesus College, Cambridge, England and became a country parson in the 1790s. His popular tract, An Essay on the Principle of Population, was first published in 1798. His ideas are based on the rather simplistic mathematical theory that human population grows geometrically, but resources (food) increase at a slower, arithmetic rate. Poverty, disease, and famine are all problems of overpopulation, Malthus says, and we should encourage "the operations of nature": high infant mortality, poor hygiene, and plague amongst the poor to keep the population at a desired level. After Cambridge, Malthus went on to become Britain's first political economy professor at the East India Company College (now Haileybury), in 1805, and by 1826, had published six editions of his shameful treatise.

A century and a half later, in 1972, Al Gore attended the Divinity School at Vanderbilt University, Nashville, Tenn. In a class on "Theology and the Natural

August 29, 2008 EIR National 51



World Economic Forum/Severin Nowacki

Al Gore has adopted, from his British masters, a hatred for humanity, and a hostility to the human creativity that produces scientific and technological progress. He represents those imperial circles which are committed to reducing the world's population to no more than 2 billion souls.

Sciences," he was exposed to a popular book released that year, *The Limits to Growth*, by Dennis Meadows et al., which asserted that within 100 years, human society (population, industry, pollution, food, etc.) would reach its limit and collapse drastically, if we didn't stop population growth immediately, just as Malthus had described in his time. Like Malthus, the MIT team behind the project, forecast that some things would grow exponentially, such as population and pollution, and other things, like technology and agriculturally suitable land, would grown only slightly, if at all. Along with all the other environmentalist propaganda in that period, it recruited a lot of people to a belief in overpopulation.

By that time, the world's population far exceeded Malthus's nightmarish forecast, without everyone suffering and starving, and continues to do so today. Gore explained this in his 1992 book, *Earth in the Balance:* "Malthus was right in predicting that the population would grow geometrically, but he didn't foresee our ability to make geometric improvements in agricultural technology. Even today, with several countries in the world suffering massive famines, there is little

doubt that a commitment to use more land and newer agricultural methods could vastly increase the amount of food produced on earth. The problem we now face is therefore more complicated than the one Malthus identified. In theory, the food supply can keep up with the population for a long while yet, but in practice, we have chosen to escape the Malthusian dilemma by making a set of dangerous bargains with the future, worthy of the theatrical legend that haunted the birth of the scientific revolution: Doctor Faustus."

Had Gore read his Bible a little more closely, he would not think that to acquire technologies that prevent starvation, mankind must strike a deal with Satan. Instead, being made in the image of the Creator, mankind has a unique power of creativity, expressed through scientific and artistic discovery, and we can organize society around those discoveries in order to improve our standard of living. It is when we stop that process—stop being human—that we witness famine and plagues.

This is the same idea that the foremost of

our nation's Founding Fathers had. In 1751, Benjamin Franklin penned an essay on population, titled "Observations Concerning the Increase of Mankind, Peopling of Countries, Etc." Encouraging population growth, he states, "The great increase of offspring, in particular families, is not always owing to greater fecundity of nature, but sometimes to examples of industry in the heads, and industrious education, by which the children are enabled to provide better for themselves." Malthus attempted to refute Franklin's points directly, and to

Gore chose Malthus's side, thus proving himself neither Christian, nor American.


defend Britain's policy of population reduction.

The Feeble-Minded Brits

Since the early days of the British Empire, its policy was to bring the U.S. back into its fold as part of a global Anglo-Saxon empire, though the specifics have evolved over the years since 1763. Their plan is to take over the world with a "master race," because, as Britain's 19th-Century imperialist Cecil Rhodes put it: "We are the finest race in the world and that the more of the world we inhabit the better it is for the human race. Just fancy those parts that are at present inhabited

52 National EIR August 29, 2008





Gore's intellectual mentor, Rev. Thomas Malthus, was among the first to promote the genocidal theory of zero population growth, in his Essay on the Principle of Population. His anti-scientific ravings have been plagiarized today, by the Club of Rome, as seen in Figure 1, reproduced from its fraudulent Limits to Growth. It purports to show world arable land supply vs. the agricultural land needed for food at present productivity levels.

FIGURE 1

by the most despicable specimens of human beings; what an alteration there would be if they were brought under Anglo-Saxon influence.... I contend that every acre added to our territory means in the future birth to some more of the English race who otherwise would not be brought into existence."

Look at how this policy worked in Zimbabwe (formerly called Rhodesia, after this "race patriot"), which the British South Africa Company colonized in 1890. Colonial policy had especially targeted Southern Rhodesia and four other countries—Canada, Australia, New Zealand, and South Africa—to be "white man's country." The 1894 figures for Zimbabwe estimate that for every white man, there were 17,000 natives. By 1961, that ratio had changed to 1 white to 13 natives. There is no other word for this but genocide. The British sought scientific legitimacy for their genocide, and named it eugenics.

A supposed "race science" to improve the human species through selective breeding, the eugenics movement, flourished in Britain in the early part of the 20th Century.¹

Their object was to cleanse the human gene pool of moral and physical defectives, criminals, inebriates, the feeble-minded, and the poor—all of whose afflictions were believed to be the result of hereditary traits.

Sir Francis Galton is the father of modern eugenics, and wrote *Hereditary Genius* in 1869, about breeding certain successful personalities. In the late 19th Century, the eugenics movement was directed primarily by Sir Arthur Balfour, who rose to become British prime minister during the reign of Edward VII (1901-10) and foreign minister during World War I. He coordinated the activities of top strategists of the British Empire and the British secret services, such as the Darwin and Huxley families, as well as subversive operations inside the United States. The eugenicist idea of a fixed inequality of human beings was a direct attack against the principles of the American Revolution.

The Eugenics Education Society was founded in 1908, and became the British Eugenics Society in 1926. The leading institution in the U.S. was the Eugenics Record Office, founded in 1910, in Cold Spring, N.Y., and run by geneticist Charles Davenport. Eugenics was heavily promoted by racist Anglophiles in the U.S. such as Teddy Roosevelt, Woodrow Wilson, and

August 29, 2008 EIR National 53

Despite their rigorous study of genetics, the European monarchies have overlooked the basics of inbreeding, which brings out recessive genes and defects, evident in their offspring.

the E.H. Harriman family.² The first International Congress of Eugenics was held in 1912, at the University of London, and keynoted by Balfour, who called eugenics "the first great applied science." In application, eugenicists contrived a biology of class, resulting in bigoted immigration laws, and tens of thousands of forced sterilizations.

Sir Julian Huxley was a Life Fellow of the Eugenics Society from 1925, its vice-president from 1937-44, and president from 1959-62, putting him front and center of the eugenicist racial hygiene movement, the Ku Klux Klan revival of the 1920s, and the British-sponsored Nazi genocide in Europe, of the 1930s and '40s, which was followed by the semantic shift in the 1960s to "conservation."

In his 1936 Galton Lecture, "Eugenics and Society," Huxley appealed to the "upper classes" to reproduce more offspring, warning that the genetically less wellendowed lower strata "are reproducing relatively too fast. Therefore birth-control methods must be taught them; they must not have too easy access to relief or hospital treatment, lest the removal of the last check on natural selection should make it too easy for children to be produced or to survive; lengthy unemployment should be grounds for sterilization, or at least relief should be contingent upon no further children being brought into the world; and so on.... Since a high degree of intellect and imagination, of scientific and artistic ability and other qualities, cannot be adequately expressed or utilized ... in the great majority of the lower strata, it is useless to plan for their genetic increase in these strata. Indeed, it is more than useless, it is dangerous; for the frustration of inherent capacity leads to discontent and revolution in some men, to neurosis and inefficiency in others. The case is strictly analogous to that of cattle in Africa; in an unfavorable environment, too drastic genetic improvement is worse than none."

The Unthinkable

In 1946, Julian Huxley was appointed director-general of the new United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization (UNESCO), where he continued to carry out the mission of eugenics and global

empire. Huxley prepared its official document, "UNESCO, Its Purpose and Its Philosophy," emphasizing how important education, science, and culture are for world peace (in the wake of World War II), and for human progress. He insisted that since mathematical and musical aptitudes are "well known" to have a genetic basis, UNESCO needed to be greatly concerned with finding a truly scientific eugenics. To increase human knowledge, and therefore human welfare, he also said that, "It will be necessary quite soon to face the fact that only a certain fraction of any human population is equipped by heredity to be able to take full or even reasonable advantage of a full higher or professional education," and cited "some authorities in the field" who assert that that fraction is only 10-20%.

If 80% of the population is genetically incapable of being educated, and education is necessary for progress, and progress is necessary for human civilization, is it necessary that we get rid of that 80% holding us back?

Huxley admitted: "Thus even though it is quite true that any radical eugenic policy will be for many years politically and psychologically impossible, it will be important for UNESCO to see that the eugenic problem is examined with the greatest care, and that the public mind is informed of the issues at stake so that much that now is unthinkable may at least become thinkable."

Don't You Care About the Planet?

The other issues central to UNESCO's task, were overpopulation and conservation. While at UNESCO in 1948, Huxley co-founded the International Union for the Conservation of Nature (IUCN), whose constitution was drafted by the British Foreign Office. In 1960, Huxley traveled through the former colonies in Africa, where independence was spreading at the time, and criticized the new governments as unable to be trusted to conserve wildlife. To help fund the expansion of IUCN activities, the World Wildlife Fund (WWF, now the World Wide Fund for Nature) was created in 1961.

The head of the WWF has always been His Royal Highness Prince Philip, who handpicked the late Prince Bernhard (former Nazi SS officer) and Princess Alexandra (first cousin of HRH Queen Elizabeth) to head the WWF-International, and various other Princes, Lords, Barons, and royal lackeys as presidents and vice-presidents of local branches of the WWF. The WWF is, in turn, funded by the secretive "1001 Club," whose exclusive membership numbers 1,001 people, requires a \$10,000 initiation fee, and is also selected by

54 National EIR August 29, 2008

^{2.} Edward H. Harriman's wife, Mary Averell Harriman, heavily supported the practice of sterilizing defectives. His daughter, Mary, enrolled in one of Cold Spring Harbor's Summer biology courses. She was so enthusiastic about eugenics, she was nicknamed "Eugenia" by her classmates at Barnard College. His son Averell was a partner at Brown Brothers Harriman bank when they funneled money to the Nazis.



Queen Elizabeth II, and her royal consort Prince Philip, head of the genocidal World Wide Fund for Nature (WWF), with some of their offspring, produced by generations of inbreeding. As the oligarchs like to say: "Breeding will tell."

Prince Bernhard and Prince Philip. Among the WWF's financial sponsors are the world's leading mining, oil, chemical, food, and banking corporations.

Award-Winning Gore

This is the movement that Al Gore works for. Popular culture would have you believe that Gore's crusade against man-made global warming is the next Civil Rights Movement. In reality, it's the same British eugenics policy for world government.

Were the intention to keep the environment clean, there would be massive investment in crash programs for nuclear power, and CO2 would be hailed as abundant plant food. However, Gore's solution is Londoncentered financial speculation. It's called "emissions trading," or "cap and trade." Emissions trading requires an upper limit on carbon emissions. Countries that produce fewer emissions earn "carbon credits" which they can sell to countries that produce above the limit. There are two authorities in the world that coordinate this: the Chicago Climate Exchange, which is still being developed, and the more dominant European Climate Exchange. Most of their activity is in CO, financial derivatives speculation. Furthermore, the environmentalist movement has turned to the United Nations Security Council, an institution mandated to preserve world peace and security, to compel observance of global environmental standards.

The real beneficiaries of the cap-and-trade system are the hedge fund operators making a killing in the

carbon markets, amongst whom is Gore. In November 2004, he launched, with partner David Blood, a London-based investment fund, Generation Investment Management, which is more aptly referred to as "Blood and Gore," and is at the center of the effort to create a carbon swap market.³

On Oct. 30, 2006, the British government held a high-profile press conference for the release of a 700-page report on climate change by a government economist, Sir Nicholas Stern. Then-Chancellor of the Exchequer, and now Prime Minister Gordon Brown announced there that he had "hired" Gore to "advise the British government on climate change."

In that capacity, as a British agent of influence and fund manager poised to reap immense profit, Gore has appeared at numerous venues to promote investment in carbon trading, including, in front of the U.S. Congress in March 2007.

"Carbon emissions" means industrial activity. The countries with low carbon emissions are the underdeveloped areas of the world, the ones most in need of industrial development, first to simply prevent mass death due to disease and starvation, and much more importantly, to go far beyond merely sustaining their current living standard. They are the ones who have been historically targeted for the most brutal side of colonization, which cripples them to this day. Without development, the population of Africa will be wiped out.

Neither are the wealthier, developed nations immune to the catastrophes of this scheme. Any emissions reduction mandate would be enforced by the exchanges, which operate by the laws of the free market (a mix between greed and London artistry). It would drive up the price of carbon credits to amounts that would bankrupt power companies and kill industries—and that is precisely the point. The foundation of American sovereignty and economic power was industry and manufacturing, and this is what the British Empire fears and hates the most. That is what Gore and the green ideology are out to destroy—civilization.

August 29, 2008 EIR National 55

^{3.} Remember when mortgage trading was just a burgeoning innovation at Salomon Brothers.