

State Minister for Africa, Asia, and the United Nations, in the Foreign and Commonwealth Office. In that capacity, Malloch-Brown recently called for British military intervention into the ongoing crisis in the D.R.C. province of North Kivu, as a pretext to target the sovereignty of any African nation that stands in the way of British imperial designs. Soros himself has echoed that call. Such an intervention would aggravate the carnage in the region, where millions of people have died since 1998.

“Are Soros and his British allies going to do in Brazil what they did in Congo?” LaRouche asked.

Expose Soros To Kill Drug Legalization Push

by Gretchen Small

Dec. 5—The campaign is on, to get the incoming Obama Administration to capitulate to the British Empire policy of legalizing the global drug trade again, as it was in the British Empire’s heyday. Ironically, the greatest vulnerability of that drive may prove to be its head: George Soros, the abhorrent speculator who offered to kill his own mother when she became ill, and who still relishes the memory of his work for Adolf Eichmann against his fellow Jews during the Nazi occupation of Hungary in the 1940s. An agent of the British Empire who justifies committing evil on the grounds that if he didn’t do it, someone else would.

The fact is, that wherever in the world someone is campaigning for drug legalization, Soros is found. Without exception.

Fronting for the Abhorrent

Legalization, being not yet accepted, outside of the small layer of degenerate Boomers who insist on their “right” to blow their minds away, the general strategy adopted to break the will of the American people to resist this evil, is to recruit “men above suspicion” to build the drumbeat that “the war on drugs has failed.” The line goes, that Americans must accept that the “alternative” is not that of crushing the British Empire and its *Dope, Inc.* cartel, which *would* win the war on drugs, but the so-called “harm reduction” strategies spreading across Europe, along the Dutch model of proliferating publicly sanctioned drug dens.

Enter former Mexican President Ernesto Zedillo, Soros’s latest “respectable” front for the Empire’s mass addiction drive, now operating from his post at his alma mater, Yale University.

Zedillo is one of three former Ibero-American Presidents chairing the Latin American Commission on Drugs and Democracy, a commission set up last April with Soros money, and run by Soros’s top legalization strategist, Ethan Nadelmann, a notorious advocate of drug use. The Commission’s self-appointed task is to line up Ibero-America behind Soros’s drive to dismantle existing international anti-drug conventions at the March 2009 United Nations review of anti-drug strategy. Until recently, the other two ex-Presidents, Brazil’s Fernando Henrique Cardoso and Colombia’s César Gaviria, had been more prominent in the dope drive.

With the Nov. 24 release of the report, “Rethinking U.S.-Latin American Relations; a Hemispheric Partnership for a Turbulent World,” Zedillo jumped to the fore. “Rethinking Relations” was produced by another commission co-chaired by Zedillo, the Brookings Institution’s Partnership of the Americas Commission, involving 18 “prominents” from north and south of the U.S.-Mexican border. The report does not advocate “legalization” as such, but gives the usual Soros line: the war on drugs strategy has failed, so the U.S. must look to Europe and “harm reduction.” Speaking in the name of *that* commission, Zedillo has taken the lead in pushing this line in the media, as a so-called “moderate” voice for change.

So He’s a Nazi; So What?

The discussion staged at the Nov. 24 release of the Brookings report typifies how these sophists orchestrate their campaigns. Co-chairs Zedillo and Ambassador Thomas Pickering presented the report’s conclusion on the need for the U.S. to study “other models” of counternarcotics policies, to replace the failed war on drugs. One of the policymakers who had advised the commission, the Center for Strategic and International Studies’ Sidney Weintraub, then challenged Zedillo and Pickering on why the report failed to demand, by name, what he thinks is needed: drug *legalization*.

Not to worry, Pickering assured Weintraub. While legalization is “a hand grenade or a bombshell issue of great controversy,” and there was no consensus within the Commission to move in that direction, the language of the report was “artfully crafted” to leave the door open for discussion of legalization.

Zedillo stuck to his hobbyhorse, that what the Commission seeks to force through is “a more open and enlightened discussion” in the United States. In that context, he assured Weintraub, “recommendations like the one you expressed” can be raised.

In answer to a follow-up question from *EIR*, however, Zedillo made very clear that what the Soros crew fears, is precisely an “open and enlightened discussion” in the United States of the actual agenda of George Soros.

EIR pointed to Zedillo’s role in chairing the Latin American Drugs and Democracy Commission, “which was created, financed, and is directed by George Soros,” the leading financier of legalization internationally, “who has stated on the record repeatedly that his own outlook on life came from his early days working for the Nazis in Hungary against his fellow Jews.”

Zedillo did not deny that Soros was at the center of the global legalization drive, and he declared that Soros’s unrepentant defense, to this day, of his working with the Nazi regime is “of total irrelevance to me,” and to the urgency (in his view) of forcing the United States to discuss radical changes in its anti-drug policies, such as legalization.

Zedillo acknowledged, with cold anger, that raising Soros’s role in the sordid drug debate could sink the entire project. and complained that, in the United States, “when we start talking about this issue, immediately some firewalls around this topic are built,” adding that *EIR*’s comments were “a best example of that. You raised aspects of Mr. Soros’s biography which I would say are of total irrelevance to me to discuss this issue. . . . The Drugs and Democracy group, spearheaded by President Cardoso of Brazil, and President Gaviria of Colombia, and of which I am also a member,” hasn’t even issued its final report, and, “that work is beginning to be disqualified, supposedly on the basis of an association with Mr. Soros.

“I think that if that’s the level of discussion that we are going to have, then we are not going to get anywhere.”

Harvard Fronts for Soros

Zedillo’s media blitz was followed by the release of a Harvard study, suggesting the answer to government bankruptcy would be to make billions off the destruction of human minds on dope.

The study was released on Dec. 2 in Washington, D.C., by one of the many Soros drug legalization fronts,

Law Enforcement Against Prohibition (LEAP); written by another recipient of Soros largesse, Prof. Jeffrey Miron, director of Undergraduate Studies at Harvard University’s Department of Economics; and publicized by the *Baltimore Sun*’s resident Soros sycophant, Dan Rodricks, who unabashedly titled his column “Legalize Drugs, Gain \$77 Billion.”

The Harvard “study” proclaims that Federal, state, and, local governments can make tons of *money*, \$76.8 billion a year, by legalizing the production and consumption of all drugs.

This report is more honest, in its admission that what Soros and his British controllers aim at is legalizing *production*; in a word: drug-trafficking.

Using dubious statistical games, Harvard’s Miron comes to the conclusion that the United States could “save” \$44.1 billion per year by ending law enforcement against the drug trade, plus “produce tax revenue from the legal production and sale of drugs.” Miron assumes that if production and sale of drugs were taxed at rates comparable to those on alcohol and tobacco, the Federal, state, and local governments could “generate tax revenue of roughly \$32.7 billion annually.” He specifies: “Approximately \$6.7 billion of this revenue would result from legalization of marijuana, \$22.5 billion from legalization of cocaine and heroin, and \$3.5 billion from legalization of all other drugs.”

That estimate is based on the assumption that drug consumption—never mind production—would not rise if legalized, an assumption which Soros lackey Miron coyly acknowledges that “likely errs in the direction of understating the tax revenue from legalized drugs, since the penalties for possession potentially deter some persons from consuming.”

Miron has been campaigning for free drug use for close to two decades. He was given a grant from Soros’s Open Society Institute in 2000 to estimate the cost of enforcing anti-drug laws. In 2005, he issued a similarly bogus “study” on the “Budgetary Implications of Marijuana Prohibition in the United States,” which Soros’s team used to line up 500 so-called economists, led by the late monetarist guru Milton Friedman, to endorse its call for legalizing marijuana, based on money-based, “cost-benefit” criteria.

As for Harvard students, well . . . they voted Miron on the senior class list of Favorite Teachers at Harvard from 2006-08. How much dope are Harvard economics students using to dumb down their minds sufficiently to tolerate such crap?