

narcissistic, Nero-like personality being advised by behaviorist fascists, and committed to implementing such a policy. From there, LaRouche went on to document the fact that Obama's health-care policy *is* based on the Hitler commitment to eliminating "lives not worthy of life." It stuck.

As LaRouche got the word out, the LaRouche PAC website became increasingly the center of the mobilization against what is now called Obamacare, including among intelligent Republicans. Soon, the scandal about the threatened "death panels" swept the country—and no lies Obama or any other member of the Administration could tell could sweep it away.

In effect, LaRouche *is* the leader of the mass strike movement—not just because he raised the clarion call, but because he uniquely has presented the solution to the crisis which lies behind the Administration's fascist policies. It is the bankrupt British imperial system of globalization that is demanding fascism today, just as it did in the 1930s, LaRouche has argued. That is what must be defeated, with the American System policies put forward by LaRouche.

It Will Get Hotter

There is no question but that the mass strike process will expand, although not necessarily in a linear manner. Americans have lost faith in their government—and the crisis which their government is refusing to confront, is about to get much, much worse.

Take joblessness, for example. While officially 9.7%, actual unemployment is counted, even by Bureau of Labor Statistics statisticians, to be at least at 20%—and probably much higher than that. On top of that, as many as one-third of the unemployed are getting no help at all, and many of those who are, are about to lose it over the next months.

Then take homelessness. One of the biggest scandals of the country is the zooming rate of homelessness, which has led to the setting up of makeshift shanty towns, or "tent cities," all around the United States. There is no exact count of the homeless, of course, but there are visible encampments in Columbus, Ohio; Chattanooga, Tenn.; Athens, Ga.; Sacramento, Los Angeles, San Diego, Ventura, Ontario, Calif., and undoubtedly many other cities. The situation is so desperate that local officials are demanding provision of sanitation, in order to head off the potential of contagious disease.

Which brings us back to the health crisis, which is about to get much, much worse with the expansion of

the flu epidemic. Already, ICU facilities are inadequate to deal with the outbreak of swine flu in certain regions of the country, and it's going to get a lot worse.

Combine this physical breakdown with the expected next round of financial blowout around the end of the fiscal year, and it's clear that the U.S. population is not going to buckle under—especially after they've defeated the health bill. They will instead be demanding solutions for the very salvation of the nation—and that will mean turning once again to LaRouche and his economic programs: bankruptcy reorganization and a massive reconstruction program, based on re-establishing the Hamiltonian credit system we never should have let the British take away from us.

To this, either Obama will submit, or the nation will face a disaster beyond belief. Unlike in Germany 1989, we have a leadership in place, and it must be heard.

Now, It's Official!

Baucus, Newsweek Back Hitler Health 'Reform'

by Nancy Spannaus

Sept. 18—It's been eight months a-coming, but Sen. Max Baucus's (D-Mont.) weekly meetings with White House staff, and a bipartisan group of Senators on his Finance Committee, finally produced a "health care reform" bill on Sept. 16. And what have all these months of maneuvering produced? Precisely the same Hitler-modelled cost-cutting bill that *EIR* and the LaRouche PAC accused the Administration of promoting many months ago!

And, to add to the problems of the Administration, which is desperately trying to deny the genocidal reality of its favored health bill, one of its biggest promoters, the *Washington Post*-owned *Newsweek* magazine, has just produced a feature issue emblazoned with the bold cover headline, "The Case for Killing Granny."

No wonder that leading Democrats, from Sen. Jay Rockefeller (W.V.), to Sen. Ron Wyden (Ore.), to AFL-CIO President John Sweeney are already denouncing the Baucus bill; nor does it have a single Republican

supporter. While the President and his foot-soldiers are gearing up for a frenetic campaign to pass their “reform,” so far, it would appear that the Congress has gotten the message from their constituents, and it’s DOA.

Baucus’s Bill

Baucus’s bill, in its current form, has what Obama has personally demanded, again and again—a national health board, or “Medicare Commission,” to cut and deny treatments, tests, procedures, imaging, etc., and thus cost lives, in order to cut Medicare/Medicaid spending after the multi-trillion-dollar bailout of Wall Street. Congress would only be able to react by making equivalent or deeper cuts each year starting in 2013—or the Medicare Commission’s cuts would take effect.

Otherwise, the bill still has the myriad of other means of cutting insured medical care, which were spelled out in Baucus’s Sept. 9 draft. These include prohibiting payments for treatment of what it calls “preventable conditions”; eliminating the State Children’s Insurance Program (SCHIP) in 2013; cutting Medicare reimbursement schedules by 0.5% each year, beginning 2013; penalizing doctors, hospitals, and other health-care institutions by 5-10% of payments, for “excessive care” and “preventable admissions”; and the like.

Equally enraging to the public is the fact that the Baucus plan’s provisions would put trillions more into the coffers of the insurance companies, while costing more than millions of Americans can afford to pay, and taxing union-won health plans. This is no surprise, of course, because the bill was basically written by insurance company employees, as from UnitedHealth Group, who were hired by Baucus and others to share their “expertise” in writing a bill for their own murderous benefit.

Letting It All Hang Out

The *Newsweek* feature, to appear in the issue dated Sept. 21, follows the same train of thought, and throws it in your face. It attacks the very idea of using medical

science to extend life. “Until Americans learn to contemplate death as more than a scientific challenge to be overcome,” the lead article argues, “our health-care system will remain unfixable.”

“The idea that we might ration health care to seniors (or anyone else) is political anathema,” writes *Newsweek* editor-at-large Evan Thomas. “Politicians do not dare breathe the R word, lest they be accused—however wrongly—of trying to pull the plug on Grandma. *But the need to spend less money on the elderly at the end of life is the elephant in the room in the health-reform debate.* Everyone sees it but no one wants to talk about it” (emphasis added).

Thomas then proceeds to talk about it—and promote it—at great length, contending that there is no way we can get control of costs unless we find a way “to stop overtreating patients.” He launches into a long defense of the Dartmouth Atlas lies about “overuse” of medical care, and even gets around to discussing setting up a British-

model NICE-type panel to decide what—i.e., who—gets cut from medical treatment. (NICE is the Orwellian-named British National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence.) Arguing that our present system is unsustainable, Thomas writes that, eventually, economic reality may force the United States to adopt a system like Britain or Canada.

While claiming that talk of “death panels” is demagoguery, Thomas notes that end-of-life counselling does hasten death. “A study by the *Archives of Internal Medicine* shows that such conversations between doctors and patients can decrease costs by about 35 percent—while improving quality of life at the end.”

“Our medical system does everything it can to encourage hope,” Thomas complains. “And American health care has been near miraculous—the envy of the world—in its capacity to develop new lifesaving and life-enhancing treatments. But death can be delayed only so long....”

Thomas is right. But the first death is likely to be that of the Obama-Hitler health plan itself.



Carolyn Bunce

Sen. Max Baucus’s long-awaited “health care reform” bill is, as expected, modelled on the Hitler-style program of cutting those lives “not worthy of live” out of medical care. It’s already been judged, “Dead on Arrival.”